Evaluation of Polymethine Dyes as Potential Probes for Near Infrared Fluorescence Imaging of Tumors: Part – I
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Abstract

Near-infrared (NIR) organic dyes have become important for many biomedical applications, including in vivo optical imaging. Conjugation of NIR fluorescent dyes to photosensitizing molecules (photosensitizers) holds strong potential for NIR fluorescence image guided photodynamic therapy (PDT) of cancer. Therefore, we were interested in investigating the photophysical properties, in vivo tumor-affinity and fluorescence imaging potential of a series of heterocyclic polymethine dyes, which could then be conjugated to certain PDT agents. For our present study, we selected a series of symmetrical polymethine dyes containing a variety of bis-N-substituted indole or benzindole moieties linked by linear conjugation with and without a fused substituted cyclohexene ring. The N-alkyl side chain at the C-terminal position was functionalized with sulfonic, carboxylic acid, methyl ester or hydroxyl groups. Although, among the parent cyanine dyes investigated, the commercially available, cyanine dye IR783 (3) (bis-indole-N-butylsulfonate)-polymethine dye with a cyclic chloro-cyclohexene moiety showed best fluorescence-imaging ability, based on its spectral properties (λ<sub>abs</sub>=782 nm, λ<sub>flu</sub>=810 nm, ε = 261,000 M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>, Φ<sub>flu</sub>=0.08) and tumor affinity. In addition to 3, parent dyes IR820 and Cypate (6) were also selected and subjected to further modifications by introducing desired functional groups, which could enable further conjugation of the cyanine dyes to an effective photosensitizer HPPH developed in our laboratory. The synthesis and biological studies (tumor-imaging and PDT) of the resulting bifunctional conjugates are discussed in succeeding paper (Part-2 of this study).
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Introduction

Over the past few years, NIR fluorescence imaging techniques have advanced considerably and as such are increasingly becoming important translational tools from bench side research, with the use of small animals, to clinical application [1-7]. It is being used in challenging applications [8], on the microscopic and macroscopic platform such as the in vivo imaging of biological targets and diseases [9]. Animal models of human cancer and metastasis have been developed to aid in the understanding of disease progression and development of treatment [10].

Fluorescent probes have been shown to facilitate
**in vivo** characterization of tumors, significantly advancing tumor visualization [4, 11], enable detection and identification of small pre-neoplastic lesions, and metastasis [4]. *In vivo* fluorescence imaging is usually conducted within the “tissue transmission window” which corresponds to a near-infrared (NIR) spectral range of 700 – 900 nm. For this spectral range, scattering of the excitation light and tissue autofluorescence is minimal, resulting in facilitated deep tissue imaging due to substantially increased signal/noise ratio [12]. A great number of polymethine cyanine-based fluorophores are being used as exogenous probes for NIR fluorescence imaging. These compounds usually are not tumor-avid (therefore, tumor targeting becomes essential). Their excitation/emission wavelengths can be tuned to the desired spectral range by altering the indolenine or indolium nucleus. These groups shield the fluorophores attached to the aromatic benzindolenium or indole nucleus. These groups shield the fluorophores from non-specific hydrophobic interaction with other molecules, a process known to affect the emission of many fluorophores in aqueous media [13]. Additionally, dyes containing sulfonate or sulfonatoalkyl groups attached to the heterocyclic nucleus, tend to aggregate less [14]. Various structural modifications have been made to the polymethine chromophores to enhance its light and chemical stability [14]. These modifications usually include rigidization of the polymethine chain in order to inhibit radiationless internal conversion (IC) and subsequent isomerization [14]. The stability of the polymethine chain is lowered as the chain lengthens. However, incorporation of a central ring system such as a cyclohexenyl group enhances the rigidity of the polymethine chain, decreases the efficiency of IC and increases the fluorescence quantum yield.

This screening was performed in order to select the optimal fluorophore(s) for the synthesis of molecule(s) (photosensitizer-near infrared fluorophore conjugate (PS-NIRF)), see the part 2 related article from the same author within this journal, that can be used as a single unit combining photodiagnosis, fluorescence guided resection and phototherapy [15]. Herein, we report results of the evaluation of several polymethine dyes as near-infrared fluorescence probes for tumor imaging *in vivo*.

**Materials and Methods**

**In vitro studies**: *In vitro* tumor cell uptake (Colon 26 and U87 cell lines).

**Chemistry**: All compounds used for the synthesis were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used directly. The compounds were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, HRMS, and UV-Vis. Purification was done by flash column chromatography.

**Formulation**: For solubility in aqueous solution, all dyes were formulated in 1% DMSO/1% Tween-80 in D5W for *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies and in MeOH:DMSO (99:1 v/v) for photophysical studies.

**Photophysical characterization**: UV-Vis absorption spectra of compounds (MeOH solutions) were acquired using a Shimadzu UV-3600 spectrophotometer. The extinction coefficients of all compounds were determined by weighing a particular amount of solid and dissolving in a 50 ml volumetric flask using methanol as the solvent. First, the molar concentration (C) of each solution was calculated from its weight and volume. The absorbance (A) obtained from the UV-Vis spectrophotometric measurements was used to determine the extinction coefficient (ε) from Beer-Lambert’s Law (A = εdC), where d is optical path length (cuvette thickness, d = 1cm) Fluorescence spectra were recorded using a Fluorolog-3 spectrophotometer or a SPEX 270M Spectrometer (Jobin Yvon, Longjumeau, France). The SPEX 270M Spectrometer was utilized for measurements in NIR range; a 532 nm line from continuous wave solid state laser (Millenia, Spectra Physics) or laser diodes emitting at 630 and 785 nm were used as an excitation. Singlet oxygen, \(^{1}O_2^\ast\), generation was detected by its phosphorescence emission signal at 1270 nm. A SPEX 270M Spectrometer equipped with Hamamatsu IR-PMT was used for recording singlet oxygen phosphorescence spectra. The sample placed in a quartz cuvette was positioned directly in front of the entrance slit of the spectrophotometer, and the emission signal was collected at 90° relative to the excitation laser beam. Additional long-pass filters [a 950 LP filter and a 538 AELP filter (both from Omega Optical)] were used to attenuate the scattered light and fluorescence from the samples. \(^{1}O_2^\ast\) phosphorescence decays at 1270 nm was acquired using Infinium oscilloscope (Hewlett-Packard) coupled to the output of the PMT. A second harmonic (532 nm) from a nanosecond pulsed Nd: YAG laser (Lotis T11, Belarus) operating at 20 Hz was used as the excitation source.

**In vitro tumor models**: Colon 26 cells were grown in sterile RPMI-1640, 1x with L-glutamine with 10 % Fetal Calf Serum (FCS) (Atlanta Biologicals, triple 0.1μm filtered, Lawrenceville, GA), and 1 % Penicillin/Streptomycin/L-glutamine (P/S/G 10,000 IU/ml penicillin, 10,000 μg/ml streptomycin, 29.2 mg/ml L-glutamine) was maintained in 5 % CO₂, 95 % air and 100 % humidity. U87, glioblastoma astrocytoma, cells were grown in Medium Essential Medium.
Eagle (MEM), 1x with Earle’s Salt and L-glutamine, sterile with 10 % FCS, 1 % P/S/l-G, 1 % MEM. Non-essential Amino Acids 100x solution, sterile, 1 % Sodium Pyruvate, 100 mM solution, sterile, and 1 % P/S/l-G and maintained in 5 % CO₂, 95 % air and 100 % humidity. All reagents, except FCS, but including Trypsin/EDTA, 1x (0.25 % Trypsin / 2.21 mM EDTA in HBSS without sodium bicarbonate, calcium and magnesium, sterile, Porcine Parvovirus tested) and DPBS, 1x (Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline), without calcium and magnesium, sterile were purchased from MediaTech, Inc., Manassas VA 20109. The 96 and 6 well plates were purchased from VWR.

Animal and tumor models: Prior to commencement of in vivo studies all procedures or protocols were approved by the institutional animal care committee (IACUC). BALB/c mice 5-8 weeks of age were obtained from NCI Jackson Laboratory. The mice were inoculated subcutaneously (S.C.) on the right posterior shoulder with Colon 26 (1 x 10⁶ cells in 50 µl medium) between 7-14 weeks of age.

Tumor imaging: Three BALB/c mice per group bearing Colon 26 were imaged at three time points 24, 48 and 72 h after being anesthetized with Ketamine/Xylazine, delivered intraperitoneally or anesthetized with isofluorane. Compounds were imaged using a Maestro GNIR Flex In-vivo imaging system using a broadband excitation at 710 – 740 nm and an 800 nm long pass emission.

Tumor uptake (in vitro): In vitro cell uptake was determined by flow cytomtery using a modified Beckton Dickinson FACSscan with a single laser. Colon 26 and U87 cells were seeded at 5.0 x 10⁵ in 6 well plates in 2 ml complete media for 24 h. The dyes were added at a concentration of 1 µM and incubated in the dark at 37 °C for 24 h. Cells in each well were harvested and placed in 5 ml flow tubes with sieve caps, centrifuged cold at 4000 rpm at 10 °C for 10 minutes. After removing the supernatant the cells were re-suspended in cold 300 µl 2 % FCS in PBS (FCM Buffer), placed on ice then analyzed. A single diode laser excitation at 785 nm was used (maximum power 40mw (currently at 17mw). Emission was detected using 820 nm long pass (LP) filter. The data was plotted using Microsoft Excel after it was generated via FCS Express 4.0 as Microsoft power point slides.

2-((E)-2-((E)-2-chloro-3-((E)-2-(1,1-dimethyl-3-(4-sulfonatobutyl)-1H-benzo[e]indol-2(3H)-ylidene)ethylidene)cyclohex-1-en-1-yl)vinyl)-1,1-dimethyl-1H-benzo[e]indol-3(3H)-ylidene)butane-1-sulfonate (5): In a dry 100 mL round bottom flask (rfl), IR 820 (100 mg, 0.11 mmol) and 4-mercaptobenzoic acid (90 mg, 0.58 mmol) were dissolved in dry DMF (5 mL) and stirred for 16h at room temperature under Argon atm. DMF was removed under reduced pressure, the residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography by eluting with MeOH/ DCM (1:4) solvent system, and the desired product was obtained in 83% yield. UV-Vis λmax (in MeOH): 835 nm (ε = 1.96 x 10⁵ cm⁻¹); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ ppm): 8.87 (d, 2H, J = 14 Hz), 8.15 (d, 2H, J = 14 Hz), 8.79 (m, 6H), 7.57 - 7.63 (m, 4H), 7.44 (t, 2H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.36 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.40 (d, 2H, J = 14 Hz), 4.27 (t, 4H, J = 7.6 Hz), 2.85 - 2.95 (m, 8H), 1.93-2.10 (m, 10H), 1.77 (s, 12H). m/z calculated for [M]+ C₅₃H₅₆N₂NaO₈S₃ : 966.3018, found HRMS (TOFMS) [MH]+ 967.3127; low res (ESIMS) [M + Na]+ : 989.4

Synthesis of the Near Infrared Fluorophore (Cypate) (6): It was prepared by following the procedure published by Samuel Achilefu et al [19, 20].

Sodium-4-(2-((E)-2-((E)-2-((3-carboxyphenyl)thi o)-3-((E)-2-(1,1-dimethyl-3-(4-sulfonatobutyl)-1H-be nzo[e]indol-2(3H)-ylidene)ethylidene)cyclohex-1-en -1-yl)vinyl)-1,1-dimethyl-1H-benzo[e]indol-3-3-yl)butane-1-sulfonate (7): In a dry 100 mL round bottom flask (rfl), IR 820 (100 mg, 0.11 mmol) and 3-mercaptopenzoic acid (200 mg) was dissolved in dry DMF and stirred for 12 – 16 h at room temperature under Argon atm. After DMF was removed by high vacuum, the residue was purified by chromatography using MeOH/DCM (1:3) as the elute solvent and the product was obtained in 80% yield (89 mg). UV-Vis λmax (in MeOH): 834 nm (ε = 2.07 x 10⁵ cm⁻¹); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CHCl₃, δ): 8.88 (d, J=11.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-H of cyanine dye), 8.13 (m, 2H, Ar-H of cyanine dye), 8.13 (m, 2H, Ar-H of cyanine dye), 8.13 (m, 2H, Ar-H of cyanine dye), 8.13 (m, 2H, Ar-H of cyanine dye), 7.94 (t, J=8.5 Hz, 5H, 4H for Ar-H of cyanine dye, 1H for Ar-H of mercaptobenzoyl group), 7.59 (d, J=8.5Hz, 5H, 4H for Ar-H of cyanine dye, 1H for Ar-H of mercaptobenzoyl group), 7.43 (m, 4H, 2H for Ar-H of...
mercaptopbenzoyl group, 2H for -CH=CH-C=C-C=CH-C=C, 6.36 (d, J=12.9Hz, 2H, -CH=CH-C=C=CH-C=C), 4.27 (m, 4H, 2X-NCH(2)(CH3)2SO3), 2.90 (m, 4H, 2X-N(CH2)2CH2SO3), 2.84 (m, 4H, 2X-N(CH2)2CH2SO3), 2.01 (m, 10H, 6H for cyclohexene-(CH2)4, 4H for 2X-NCH(2)(CH3)2SO3), 1.75 (s, 12H, 4X-CH3). m/z calculated for [MH]+ C53H55N2NaO8S3: 967.3096, found HRMS (TOFMS) [MH]+: 967.3066; low res (ESIMS) [M – Na + 2H]+: 948.5

Sodium-4-((E)-2-((E)-2-((4-aminophenyl)thio)-3-(3,3-dimethyl-1-(4-sulfonatobutyl)-1H-benzo[e]indenol-2(3H)-ylidene)ethylidene)cyclohex-1-en-1-yl)vinyl)-1,1-dimethyl-1H-benzo[e[3-ium-2-yl]butane-1-sulfonate (9) IR-783 (60 mg) and 4-aminothiophenol (60 mg) was dissolved in dry DMF and stirred overnight. After DMF was removed by high vacuum, the residue was precipitated in ethyl ether purified by chromatography using MeOH/CH2Cl2 (1:3) as the elute solvent and 9 was obtained in ~ 80% yield. 1H NMR (400MHz, CDCl3 δ ppm): 8.77 (d, 2H), 7.70-7.80 (m, 2H), 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.30-7.41 (multiplets, 6H), 7.19 (t, 2H), 6.34 (d,2H), 4.18 (t, 4H), 2.81-2.86 (m,8H), 1.88-1.98 (m, 10H), 1.46 (s, 12H). ESI MS calculated: C44H53N3O6S3: 889.3; HRMS calculated C44H54N3O6S3: 867.2767.

**Results**

In an effort to prepare stable and suitably functionalized fluorophores with absorption and emission in the spectral range above 800 nm, high molar extinction coefficients and reasonably high fluorescent quantum yields, several parent fluorophores, such as, commercially available ICG, IR820, IR783 (3) and adapted fluorophores, NIRFs 1, 2, 4, previously synthesized by Lucjan Strekowski’s [16, 18], and NIRF 6 (otherwise known as Cygate) previously synthesized by Samuel Achilefu’s [19, 20] groups, were screened for their fluoroscopic properties.

Once the evaluation of the above mentioned parent near infrared fluorophores (NIRFs) was complete we selected the better candidate(s) for further modification in order to develop improved bifunctional agents [15] for tumor-imaging (fluorescence) and PDT. The synthesis of the suitably functionalized near infrared fluorophores (NIRFs) 5, 7–10, was performed under similar conditions, giving rise to predominantly green solids with yields above 50%. These modified fluorophores 5, 7–10 were obtained upon functionalizing commercially available IR820 and IR783.

**Spectral Characterization**

All of the polymethine cyanine-based fluorophores ICG, IR820 and NIRFs 1–10, discussed herein, displayed long wavelength electronic absorption and emission bands with maxima in the range of 760 – 868 nm (Table 1). Figures 1 – 3 show the absorption and emission spectra and the structures of the studied fluorophores. All the photophysical properties of NIRFs 1–10 were investigated using 5 μM solutions in methanol (Table 1) and 1 μM solutions in Colon 26 complete media (RPMI), Table 2. The fluorescence spectra were acquired for each compound and the fluorescence quantum yields were determined by comparing each spectrum with that of the indocyanine green (ICG), with known fluorescence quantum yield of 0.078 [21]. The obtained data suggested that compound 3 (commercially available IR783) could be the best candidate for conjugation to PS for further
assessment, based on highest among the studied dyes absorptivity (ε=261000 M⁻¹cm⁻¹) and fluorescence efficiency (Φr=0.084) (Table 1).

Table 1: Electronic absorption and fluorescence characteristics of ICG, IR820 and other cyanine dyes 1 – 10. The Fluorescence quantum yields of NIR dyes were obtained in methanol (5 µM) using ICG as a reference standard [21]. All dyes were excited at 785 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NIR Dyes</th>
<th>λ_max (nm)</th>
<th>Ext. Coeff. (ε)</th>
<th>Φr(Φo)</th>
<th>Fluor. Quantum Yield (Φr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>117,000</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>261,000</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>126,000</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>197,000</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>216,000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>207,000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>226,000</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>187,000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>218,000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICG</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>204,000</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR820</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>202,000</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Electronic absorption and fluorescence characteristics of ICG, IR820 and other cyanine dyes 1 – 10. The electronic absorption and fluorescence spectra of NIR dyes were obtained in Colon 26 complete media (RPMI) at (1 µM). All dyes were excited at 785 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NIR Dyes</th>
<th>λ_max (in Colon 26 Media)</th>
<th>λ_Emax (in Colon 26 Media)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICG</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR820</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Absorption (A), fluorescence (B) spectra of near infrared fluorophores (NIRFs) 1-4 and ICG in methanol (5 µM).
The excitation of ICG, IR820 and NIRFs 1-10 at 785 nm produced emission in the range of 798 – 868 nm in methanol. All fluorophores exhibited Stokes shifts in the range of 20-40 nm, Table 1. However, when the fluorophores were excited in RPMI, Colon 26 media, the emission ranged from 795 – 869 nm with Stokes shifts ranging from 4 – 25 nm, Table 2. The singlet oxygen ($^{1}O_2$) yields of all the fluorophores...
were also measured, using Rose Bengal as a reference [22, 23]. From the photophysical data summarized in Table 1 it can be seen that among the NIRFs investigated compounds 4, 8 and 9 showed minimal fluorescence quantum yields and singlet oxygen yields.

The NIRFs containing indolenine nucleus, such as 1–3, 9 and 10, show similar absorbance and emission as that of NIRFs ICG and 6, bearing a benzindolenine moiety. The NIRF 4 possessing a fused benzin-dole group (Strekowski et al. unpublished results) also displayed absorbance and emission spectra observed for ICG and Cypate. The spectroscopic characteristics of NIRFs 5–8 were found to be close to their parent analogs IR820. ICG, 4 and 6, possessing a linear polymethine chain without a rigid cyclohexenyl moiety showed reduced photostability. It is worth noting that the introduction of an amino-terminated fragment in both IR820 and IR783 (3) reduced the fluorescence quantum yield of the parent analogs 8 and 9. These results were in contrast to the NIRFs 5, 7 and 10 containing carboxylic acid functionality (Figures 2, 3).

Tumor uptake (in vitro): Cellular uptake was determined using flow cytometry with the modified Becton Dickinson FACScan and a single laser. Colon 26 and U87 cells were seeded as discussed above, in 6 well plates for 24 h. The dyes were added at a concentration of 1 µM and incubated for 24 h.

Upon harvesting and preparing the single cell suspension in cold 2 % FCS in PBS (FCM Buffer) they were analyzed. A single diode laser with an excitation at 785 nm and the 820 nm long pass (LP) emission filter were used to determine the NIR flow uptake of dyes ICG, IR820 and Compounds 1–10, in Colon 26 and U87 cells, Figure 4 (A,B). Since there is a difference in absorbance of dyes at 785 and their fluorescence response in the range above 820 nm is also different, the quantification of the cellular uptake, based on the raw flow cytometry data, is uncertain.

To resolve this issue, we have performed control experiment, measuring fluorescence of compounds suspended in cellular media with concentration of 1µM (i.e., conditions of cell treatment), using excitation with 785 nm laser diode. The acquired signal of fluorescence in the spectral range above 820 nm is presented in Figure 4 (C,D). Comparing difference between fluorescence from cells after cellular uptake (Figure 4A,B) with the initial fluorescence from cellular media (Figure 4C,D), one can estimate the cellular uptake of the investigated compounds in relation to each other.

Figure 4: A single diode laser with an excitation at 785 nm and an emission at 820 nm long pass (LP) was used to determine the NIR flow uptake of dyes ICG, IR820, 1–10, and 6 (cypate). Figures A and B presents the NIR flow uptake of the dyes (1 µM) in Colon 26 and U87 cells, whereas figures C and D illustrate the fluorescence of the dyes in Colon 26 and U87 media (RPMI and MEM) only.
**In vivo Imaging:** BALB/c mice bearing Colon 26 tumors on the right shoulder were injected intravenously (i.v.) with NIRFs 1-10, using a drug dose of 0.03 μmol/kg. The whole body fluorescence images were obtained using a Maestro GNIR Flex *in-vivo* imaging system (3 mice / time point) at 24 h (as shown in Figs. 5-7) 48 h and 72 h post injection (p.i) followed by *ex-vivo* imaging of the organs from the same mice at the respective time points. A broadband excitation at 710 - 740 nm and 800 nm long pass emission was used to acquire the images. *Ex vivo* images were used to determine the semi-quantitative fluorescence biodistribution of the compounds in several organs. The fluorescence spectra of compounds 1-8 in the tumor, obtained with the Maestro system, are shown in Figs. 8A and 8B. The biodistribution of the tumor, skin and liver at various time points (24 – 72h) are shown in Figs. 8C and 8D.

**Figure 5:** NIR whole body fluorescence images of BALB/c mice bearing Colon 26 tumors at 24 h post injection (p.i.) of the fluorophores 1-3 (dose: 0.03μmol/kg). The *ex vivo* image of NIRF 3 at 24 h p.i is shown.

**Figure 6:** NIR Fluorescence images (no spectral unmixing) of BALB/c mice bearing Colon 26 tumors at 24 h post injection of a non-tumor avid cyanine dye 4 (dose: 0.03μmol/kg).

**Figure 7:** Fluorescence images of BALB/c mice bearing Colon 26 tumors at 24 h post injection of fluorophores 5-8 (dose: 0.03μmol/kg).
Discussion

Based on the results shown in Figs. 8C and D, the tumor-fluorescence was approximately 10-fold intense for the NIRFs 1–3 than that observed for NIRFs 5–8. These results suggest that structural modification of the NIRFs by functionalizing with 4-aminothiophenol, 3-mercaptopbenzoic and 4-mercaptopbenzoic acid significantly decreases the tumor selectivity of NIRFs 5–10. Although, compounds 1–3 appeared to have significant uptake in the tumor when compared to 5–8, the uptake of compounds 1–3 in the skin and liver was also significant (Figs. 8C and 8D). Also, 5–8 seemed to have a high uptake in the liver over the ranges of 24 – 72h. This could be due to the fact that compounds 5-8 are being cleared rapidly from circulation by the liver due to the first pass effect [24, 25]. The liver is usually the primary route by which substances are metabolized and excreted. The fluorescence biodistribution of compounds 1–3 showed significant uptake in the tumor, skin, and the liver at 24 - 72h. Over time the quantity of drug remaining in each organ began to clear, but it was evident that 1–3 cleared from the liver more readily over time than they did from the tumor.

It is generally a challenge to deliver fluorophores selectively and in a high enough concentration to detect small tumors. It should be noted that compounds 2 and 3 showed enhanced tumor selectivity [26] compared to their counterparts; 3 showed the highest selectivity for the tumor within the series. The low fluorescent compound 4 was unsuitable as a fluorophore for fluorescence imaging. When the fluorescent images of 4 were compared to the control (non-injected tumored mice) no apparent difference between the two was observed (Figure 6).

The biodistribution of compounds 5–8, determined by the NIR fluorescence imaging, (Figure 8D) indicates that these compounds do accumulate more in tumor and the liver rather than the other organs. Accumulation in the skin, heart, lung, spleen and kidney are relatively low compared to that for compounds 1-3 (shown in supplementary materials). This also could, in part, be due to the rapid clearance of compounds 5–8 from these organs before imaging the mice at 24 hrs post-injection. Compounds 9 and 10, the modified NIRFs of IR783 (3) showed reduced in vivo fluorescence imaging ability (data not shown).
However, when conjugated to HPPH the fluorescence was very intense (discussed in the succeeding paper part-2 and shown in the supplemental section of part-2, pages 703 -718).

Conclusion

Among the cyanine dyes evaluated, compound 3 (IR783), the polyacrylamide cyanine-based dye with the indolenine nucleus, a chlorinated cyclohexenyl center and a sulfonate group, was found to be the best candidate for NIR fluorescence tumor imaging in the series of NIRFs 1–5, 7–10, Cypate (6), ICG and IR820 probed for their absorbance/fluorescence properties. Although, NIRF 3 was the best both in terms of the spectral properties and tumor affinity, it would not be feasible to use it in the original form for our purposes. It needed further functionalization before conjugation to HPPH (3-(1’-hexyloxyethyl)pyropheophorbide-a), a highly effective photosensitizer undergoing Phase II human clinical trials [27]. In addition, cyanine dyes 5, 7–10 were synthesized from their parent IR820 and 3 (IR783) by replacing the central chlorinated cyclohexenyl group with 3-mercaptopentanoic acid (to yield 7 and 10), 4-mercaptopentanoic acid (to yield 5) and 4-aminophenol (to yield 8 and 9). Also, among the functionalized NIRFs (5, 7–10), the best structural substitute in terms of in vivo tumor uptake was found to be 4-aminophenophenol. At the same time, the photophysical data showed that the substitution with 4-aminophenophenol caused quenching of the fluorescence in substituted NIRFs 8 and 9. Based on the results reported in this study, further studies were performed: the NIRFs 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 were conjugated with HPPH in the mono and di-forms. See the succeeding paper (Part-2, pages 703 - 718). NIRFs 5 and 7 were used to assess whether the position of the dye with respect to HPPH within the conjugate made a difference in PDT response.

There should be noticeable difference between the pharmacokinetic properties of the cyanine dyes versus the corresponding cyanine dye-photosensitizer conjugates. Therefore, for our studies, we selected a series of dyes for further conjugation to our photosensitizer, not specifically on the basis of their tumor selectivity, but mainly due to their comparative stability and photophysical properties. Considering that most of the cyanine dyes, in general do not selectively accumulate in tumor tissue, the idea was to take the advantages of tumor-avid PDT agents as vehicles to deliver the desired fluorophores to the tumor site and investigate the utility of the corresponding conjugates for imaging large and deeply seated tumors with an option of photodynamic therapy.

Supplementary Materials

Ex vivo fluorescence biodistribution of near infrared fluorophores (NIRFs) 1-3 and 5-8 at 24, 48 and 72 h postinjection. The 1H NMR spectra of NIRFs 5 and 7-10. http://www.thno.org/v03p0692s1.pdf
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NIR: near infrared; NIRF: near infrared fluorophore; PDT: Photodynamic therapy; CD: Cyanine dye.
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