ATP-Responsive and Near-Infrared-Emissive Nanocarriers for Anticancer Drug Delivery and Real-Time Imaging
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Abstract

Stimuli-responsive and imaging-guided drug delivery systems hold vast promise for enhancement of therapeutic efficacy. Here we report an adenosine-5′-triphosphate (ATP)-responsive and near-infrared (NIR)-emissive conjugated polymer-based nanocarrier for the controlled release of anticancer drugs and real-time imaging. We demonstrate that the conjugated polymeric nanocarriers functionalized with phenylboronic acid tags on surface as binding sites for ATP could be converted to the water-soluble conjugated polyelectrolytes in an ATP-rich environment, which promotes the disassembly of the drug carrier and subsequent release of the cargo. In vivo studies validate that this formulation exhibits promising capability for inhibition of tumor growth. We also evaluate the metabolism process by monitoring the fluorescence signal of the conjugated polymer through the in vivo NIR imaging.
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Introduction

Nanocarrier-based drug delivery systems (DDSs) offer new opportunities to enhance therapeutic efficacy due to their improved bioactivity and enhanced bioavailability of drugs.[1-5] To further improve pinpoint therapeutic efficacy, various efforts have been devoted to explore stimuli-responsive[6, 7] and imaging-guided DDSs[8-11], which are specifically stimulated to release drugs within target sites and achieve real-time imaging-guided therapeutics. A broad range of stimuli have been explored to design smart DDSs, including the external triggers, such as light[12, 13], ultrasound[14], magnetism[15, 16], temperature[17], and electric field[18], as well as internal stimuli, such as pH[19, 20], redox potential[21], oxidative stress[22, 23], enzyme[24, 25], and glucose levels[26, 27]. Imaging-guided DDSs have been rapidly developed by inorganic nanosystems with contrast properties made of carbon dots[28], quantum dots[29], metals[30], and metal oxide frameworks[31]. In addition, conjugated polymer nanoparticles, as special organic nanosystems, have remarkable photophysical properties which are also suitable for biological fluorescence imaging.[32-37]
Herein, we report an innovative conjugated polymer-based nanocarrier capable of achieving near infrared (NIR) imaging and adenosine-5'-triphosphate (ATP)-responsive anticancer drug release (Figure 1). ATP, as the essential biogenic biomolecule for cellular energy metabolism and signaling[38-41], is recently attracting considerable interest as an effective stimulus due to the distinct difference in the ATP levels between extracellular and intracellular milieu.[42-45] This doxorubicin (DOX) encapsulated nanocarrier (designated DOX/PFFP NP) comprises of ATP-responsive and NIR-emissive FPBA- and PEG-grafted conjugated polymer (designated PFFP) (Figure 1). The NIR fluorescent core of the NP is hydrophobic conjugated polymer, which contains dithiophene-thienopyrazine[46] moiety as an NIR imaging agent. The 3-fluoro-4-carboxyphenylboronic acid (FPBA) tags on the nanocarrier surface are designed as binding sites to ATP through a specific recognition[47, 48]. At the intracellular ATP concentration, the FPBA groups interact with ATP to yield water-soluble conjugated polyelectrolytes[49], which promotes the disassembly of the drug nanocarrier.

After intravenous (i.v.) injection, DOX/PFFP NPs preferentially accumulate at the tumor sites by the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect[1], and the binding affinity toward the overexpressed glycans or sialic acids on the surface of cancer cells[50-53], followed by cellular internalization through endocytosis (Figure 1C). The hydrolysis of borate ester component promotes endosomal escape of the NPs, allowing the efficient transport of DOX/PFFP into the cytosol. At a significantly higher ATP level in the cytosol compared with that in the extracellular fluid[42, 45], DOX/PFFP NPs dissociate with the subsequent release of the cargo. The released DOX could accumulate into the nuclei to induce DNA damage-mediated cytotoxicity and apoptosis[54].

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the ATP-triggered DOX release system. (A) Chemical structure of the conjugated polymer (PFFP) described in the text. (B) The main components of DOX/PFFP nanoparticles (NPs): near-infrared (NIR) fluorescent core and flexible hydrophilic PEG chains as shell by self-assembly of amphiphilic conjugated polymer (PFFP), and the 3-fluoro-4-carboxyphenylboronic acid (FPBA) tags on the surface designed as binding sites for ATP. (C) ATP-responsive delivery of DOX by DOX/PFFP NPs to nuclei for the targeted cancer therapy.
Methods

Materials

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified and were used as received. Monomer 2 and 3 were purchased from Beijing Allmers Chemical S&T Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China).

Synthesis of CP-NH2

The synthesis route to the conjugated polymers containing amine groups (CP-NH2) was shown in Figure S1. Briefly, 2,7-dibromo-9,9-bis(3-aminopropyl) fluorene (1) was prepared according to the reported reference [55]. Monomer 1 (0.22 g, 0.5 mmol), monomer 2 (0.62 g, 1 mmol), monomer 3 (0.24 g, 0.5 mmol), and Pd(PPh3)4 (50 mg) were dissolved in an ice bath with argon. The mixture was firstly stirred for 1 h at 0 °C, and further 24 h at room temperature. N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) (0.15 mmol) in a degassed mixture of toluene (25 mL) and K2CO3 aqueous solution (2 mol/L, 12.5 mL). The mixture was stirred at 85-90 °C for 2 days under an argon atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was extracted with chloroform, washed with brine and distilled water, and then precipitated from acetone to afford a brown solid with 57% yield. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ): 7.36-7.78 (m, Ar-H), 2.75 (s, pyrazine-CH3), 1.90-2.20 (m, Fluorene-CH2, N-CH2), 0.72-0.90 (m, -CH2CH2CH3). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3, δ): 161.55, 157.64, 152.12, 149.38, 143.39, 141.05, 139.91, 137.72, 133.9, 130.9, 129.70, 128.74, 127.63, 123.78, 120.57, 53.14, 50.19, 48.55, 46.31, 37.10, 32.17, 30.06, 29.40, 27.33, 26.42, 23.22, 19.44, 15.72. The molecular weight of CP-NH2 was determined by GPC (calibrated by polystyrene standard). The weight-average molecular weight was 11 000 g/mol, and the polydispersity was 1.2. MS (MALDI-TOF, m/z): 12995 (Figure S2).

Synthesis of PFFP and PFP

CP-NH2 was allowed to react with 3-fluoro-4-carboxyphenylboronic acid and methoxypoly(ethylene glycol) succinimidy ester (PEG-SC), coupling their carboxyl groups with the amino groups of the conjugated polymer to yield conjugated polymer-g-FPBA/PEG (PFFP) (Figure S1). Briefly, CP-NH2 (0.12 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) (0.04 mmol) were added in anhydrous DMSO (25 mL) solution containing FPBA (0.15 mmol) and N, N’-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) (0.15 mmol) in an ice bath with argon. The mixture was firstly stirred for 1 h at 0 °C, and further 24 h at room temperature. Then, PEG-SC (0.09 mmol) was added and further stirred at room temperature for 3 days. After being placed in a fridge, 1,3-dicyclohexylurea (DCU) was filtered off, and the filtrate was further dialyzed against distilled water using a dialyser with a cutoff molecular weight of 8000-10 000 g/mol for 2 days. The resulting aqueous solution was then freeze-dried and the product was vacuum-dried overnight to yield PFFP. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3, δ): 7.42-8.00 (m, Ar-H), 6.83 (s, -NH-CO), 3.56-3.64 (m, CH3-O-CH2-CH2-O-), 2.75 (s, pyrazine-CH3), 2.0-2.20 (m, Fluorene-CH2, N-CH2), 0.74-0.88 (m, -CH2CH2CH3). 11B NMR (160.4 MHz, DMSO-d6, δ): 26.9. In addition, conjugated polymer-g-PEG (PFP) (without FPBA) was prepared by the same methods.

Preparation and characterization of DOX-loaded nanoparticles DOX/PFFP NPs

DOX/PFFP nanoparticles were prepared by a solvent-exchange method[56]. Briefly, PFFP (1.5 mg) was dissolved in THF (2 mL) and stirred for 3 h at room temperature for further use. A mixture solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (0.5 mL) and THF (1 mL) containing triethanolamine-treated doxorubicin hydrochloride (4 mg/mL) was stirred for 30 min at room temperature for further use. Then 0.1 mL of DOX was added into 2 mL of PFFP solution for stirring 10 min. 4 mL of deionized water was slowly added into the PFFP and DOX solution by syringe with stirring (850 rpm) at speed of 2 mL/h. The mixture solution was dialyzed against distilled water for 24 h, using a membrane with a cutoff molecular weight of 8000-10000 g/mol. The resulting nanoparticles (DOX/PFFP NPs) were cleaned and collected by repeating a procedure of centrifugation at 10 000 rpm and re-suspension in distilled water three times. The product was stored at 4 °C. The loading amount of DOX was determined by measuring the fluorescence intensity of DOX via microplate reader (Infinite M200 PRO, Tecan). DOX/PFFP NPs was characterized by the Zetasizer (Nano ZS, Malvern), TEM (JEM-2000FX, Hitachi), and SEM (Hitachi s-4800 high resolution SEM). Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra were collected on a Mapada Model UV-1800 spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectra were measured on Horiba Jobin Yvon Model FM-4NIR spectrophotometer. In addition, DOX/PFP NPs were prepared by the same methods.

In vitro ATP-triggered DOX release

DOX/PFFP NPs (0.6 mL) with 4.8 μg of DOX were added into a dialysis tube (3.5K MWCO) (Slide-A-Lyzer, Thermo Scientific) embedded into 1 mL of PBS buffer solution (pH=7.4) containing
different concentrations of ATP, and gently shaken at 37 °C in a shaker (New Brunswick Scientific). At predetermined time intervals, the total buffer solution was withdrawn, followed by replacing with 1 mL of fresh buffer solution with the same ATP concentration. The fluorescence intensity of DOX released was measured at 595 nm with an excitation wavelength of 480 nm by a microplate reader (Infinite M200 PRO, Tecan).

**Cell culture**

HepG2 cells were obtained from Tissue Culture Facility of UNC Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center and cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with 10% (v:v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin.

**Intracellular ATP-dependent DOX release**

HepG2 cells (1×10⁵ cells per well) were seeded in a confocal microscopy dish. After cultured for 24 h, the cells were incubated with DOX/PFFP NPs (2 μg/ml DOX concentration) at 37 °C for 2 h, and then washed by PBS twice, following by incubation with fresh FBS-free culture medium for additional 0 h or 4 h. Subsequently, the cells were stained by Lyso-Tracker Green (50 nM) (Life Technologies) at 37 °C for 30 min and Hoechst 33342 (1 μg/mL) (Life Technologies) at 37 °C for 10 min. Finally, the HepG2 cells were washed by PBS twice and observed via confocal laser scanning microscope (LSM710, Zeiss). Additionally, low temperature (4 °C) and iodoacetic acid (IAA) at 37 °C were used to inhibit ATP production in the cells. After incubated with DOX/PFFP NPs (2 μg/ml DOX concentration) at 37 °C for 2 h and then washed by PBS twice, the cells were incubated at 4 °C or with IAA (100 mM) at 37 °C for additional 4 h. For Hoechst 33342 detection, the excitation wavelength was 405 nm, and the emission filter was 455±20nm; For LysoTracker Green, DOX, PFFP detection, the excitation wavelength was 488 nm, and the emission filter band was 510±10nm, 605±20nm, and 710±30nm, respectively.

**Flow cytometry quantification of DOX in HepG2 cell nuclei**

HepG2 cells (1×10⁵ cells per well) were seeded in six-well plates. After cultured for 48 h, the cells were incubated with DOX/PFFP NPs (2 μg/ml DOX concentration) at 37 °C for 2 h, and then washed by PBS twice, following by incubation with fresh FBS-free culture medium at different conditions for additional 4 h to ensure the released DOX to migrate into the cell nuclei, including normal temperature (37 °C), low temperature (4 °C), and with IAA (100 mM) at 37 °C. Finally, after trypsinization, HepG2 cells were suspended at a concentration of 5×10⁶ cells/mL for 10 min at 4 °C in a 100 mM NaCl solution with 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100 and 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.4). The suspension was then centrifuged (15 min, 800 g), and the resulting precipitate of cell nuclei was separated from the supernatant cell cytosol, finally re-suspended in 0.2 mL of 1× PBS. The fluorescence intensity of DOX in the cell nuclei was measured using flow cytometry (CytoFLEX Flow Cytometry, Beckman Coulter Ltd.). In addition, untreated group, PFFP NPs, DOX/PFP NPs, and free DOX as control were analyzed by the same methods.

**In vitro cytotoxicity[57]**

HepG2 cells (5×10⁵ cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates. After incubation for 24 h, the cells were then treated with different samples at different DOX concentrations and further incubated for 24 h at 37 °C, including free DOX solution, DOX/PFP NPs, and DOX/PFFP NPs. Followed 20 μL of the MTT (5 mg/mL) was added into the HepG2 cells. After incubation for 4 h, the medium was replaced with DMSO (150 μL). The absorbance was measured at wavelength of 570 nm by the microplate reader (Infinite M200 PRO, Tecan).

**Animals and tumor model**

The animals were treated in accordance with the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, approved by the Model Animal Research Center (MARC) of Nanjing University. HepG2 tumor model was established by subcutaneous injection of HepG2 cells (1×10⁶) into the selected positions of the nude mice (6 weeks of age, purchased from MARC of Nanjing University). The tumor size was monitored by a vernier caliper, and the tumor volume (V) was calculated as $V = \frac{L \times W^2}{2}$, where $L$ and $W$ were the length and width of the tumor, respectively.

**In vivo imaging study**

When the HepG2 tumors reached 200-400 mm³, the mice were intravenously injected by DOX/PFFP NPs at PFFP dose of 3.6 mg/kg. The real-time NIR fluorescence imaging was performed using a MaestroTM EX fluorescence imaging system (Cambridge Research & Instrumentation, USA) after 4, 12, and 24 h post-injection. After the 24 h scanning, the mice were euthanized. The tumors and major organs were harvested, and subjected for ex vivo imaging. Region-of-interests were circled around the organs, and the fluorescence intensities were analyzed by Living Image Software. The excitation wavelength is 595 nm, and in vivo fluorescence imaging was collected from 680 to 780 nm (with 10 nm step). The
auto fluorescence of mice was removed by spectral unmixing software. Besides, DOX/PFP NPs were analyzed by the same methods.

To evaluate the metabolism process of DOX/PFFP NPs in mice by CLSM, the mice were intravenously injected by DOX/PFFP NPs at PFFP dose of 3.6 mg/kg, and then real-time NIR fluorescence imaging was performed within 5 days.

In vivo antitumor efficacy

The tumor-bearing mice were weighed and randomly divided into different groups when the tumor volume reached 50 mm³, and subjected to the following treatments: 1. Saline; 2. DOX solution; 3. DOX/PFP NPs; 4. DOX/PFFP NPs. The mice were intravenously injected with same DOX concentration (DOX of 2 mg kg⁻¹) in all DOX formulations every other day for 12 days, meanwhile the tumor sizes were measured. At day 14, the mice were euthanized, and the tumors were collected, weighed, washed with saline thrice and fixed in the 10% neutral-buffered formalin. For the hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) apoptosis staining, the formalin-fixed tumors were prepared by Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, and observed by the fluorescence microscope (IX71, Olympus).

Statistical analysis

Data are given as Mean ± SD. Statistical significance was performed using two-tailed Student’s t-test. Statistical significance was set at *P < 0.05, and extreme significance was set at **P < 0.01.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of DOX/PFFP NPs

To substantiate our design, we firstly synthesized an ATP-responsive and NIR-emissive conjugated polymer PFFP (Figure 1A) by alternating copolymerization of fluorene- (a high-efficient blue π-conjugated backbone, and the flexible hydrophilic PEG chains were selected due to its biocompatibility. The electron withdrawing amide carbonyl and fluorine on the FPBA moiety reduce the pKa of boronic acid monomer, which allows its response to ATP at a physiological pH. At the intracellular ATP concentration, the FPBA groups on the branched chains were expected to interact with ATP, resulting in water-solubility of the conjugated polymer, thus promoting disassembly of DOX/PFFP NPs. The signal at 26.9 ppm in ¹¹B NMR spectrum of PFFP is characteristic of trigonal boronic acid, indicated the successful conjugation. The ratio of FPBA group and the PEG group was determined as 2/1 via ¹H-NMR.

The rigid π-conjugated backbone is hydrophobic, while the grafted PEG chains easily dissolve in water. Thus this amphiphilic copolymer tends to form robust and spherical nanoparticles (NPs) in the aqueous solution. The NPs in aqueous suspension shown an average hydrodynamic diameter of 116 nm with a narrow size distribution measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) (Figure 2A). The morphology of the conjugated polymer nanoparticles was observed using TEM and SEM (inset of Figure 2A and Figure 2C). The spherical shape of the nanoparticles was clearly distinguished with a diameter of slightly smaller than that in aqueous suspension due to the collapse of outmost PEG layers during the drying process. The DOX loading capacity was measured to be 20 % (wt/wt). The UV/Vis absorption spectrum of PFFP NPs in aqueous suspension displayed two absorption bands at 392 and 583 nm, respectively (Figure 2B), which arise from the light absorption by the π-electron system of the conjugated backbone. The maximum emission wavelength of PFFP was 720 nm, indicating that the NPs have NIR emission suitable for in vivo imaging, with a Stokes shift of approximately 140 nm (excited at 480 nm) (Figure 2B and Figure S3).

In vitro ATP-triggered DOX release from DOX/PFFP

To investigate the ATP-responsive capability, PFFP and DOX/PFFP NPs were incubated at 0.4 mM and 4 mM ATP concentrations, which represent the typical ATP level in the extracellular fluid and intracellular cytosol, respectively[42-45]. As shown in Figure 2C and Figure S4, a remarkable disassembly of the NPs was observed in the presence of 4 mM ATP concentration. In contrast, the NPs were nearly intact when treated with 0.4 mM ATP. DLS measurement also confirmed that the NPs were responsive to the high concentrations of ATP (Figure 2D and Figure S4). To evaluate their colloidal stability, DOX/PFFP NPs were incubated in the DI water, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4), and Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM). The average sizes of the nanoparticles had no significant change after incubation for 7 days (Figure 2E). Of note, the nanoparticles can maintain high stability in the
glycemic concentration (5 mM). Moreover, the average sizes and morphology of the nanocarriers have no significant change after incubation with 1 mM H₂O₂ for 24 h at 37 °C (Figure S5).

The ATP-triggered DOX release behaviors of DOX/PFFP NPs were further tested (Figure 2F). In the presence of 0.4 mM ATP solution (pH=7.4), only 5% of DOX was released from DOX/PFFP NPs in the first 4 h and about 20% was released within 24 h. In the same buffer solution with 4 mM ATP, comparable to intracellular ATP levels, the release of DOX was dramatically accelerated. About 18% of DOX was released from DOX/PFFP NPs in the first 4 h and more than 55% was released within 24 h. It is also noteworthy that the release of DOX from DOX/PFP NPs, where the lack of FPBA groups in PFP led to the nanoparticles without ATP sensitivity, is slow even in the presence of 4 mM ATP. It indicated that FPBA groups were essential for the fast release of the intercalated DOX from the nanoparticles in an ATP-rich environment.

Figure 2. Characterization and in vitro ATP-responsive DOX release from DOX/PFFP. (A) Hydrodynamic size distribution and TEM image (inset) of DOX/PFFP NPs. Scale bar is 200 nm. (B) Normalized UV/Vis absorption and emission spectra of PFFP NPs and DOX/PFFP NPs (excitation at 480 nm). (C) SEM images and (D) size distribution of DOX/PFFP NPs at different ATP concentration. Scale bars are 200 nm. (E) Average size changes of DOX/PFFP NPs when incubated in DI water, PBS buffer, DMEM, and glucose for 7 days. (F) In vitro release of DOX from DOX/PFFP NPs in 0.4 mM, 4 mM ATP, and DOX/PFP NPs (without ATP sensitivity) in 4 mM ATP. Error bars indicate SD (n = 3).
Intracellular ATP-triggered DOX release from DOX/PFFP

The intracellular delivery of DOX/PFFP NPs was explored in the human liver carcinoma (HepG2) cells using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). The HepG2 cells were incubated with DOX/PFFP NPs for 2 h, and then incubated with fresh culture medium for an additional 4 h at 37 °C. As shown in Figure 3A, most of the endocytic DOX/PFFP NPs were located in the endolysosomes judged by the yellow fluorescence during the first 2 h of the cellular uptake of DOX/PFFP NPs. Notably, as evidenced by the magenta fluorescence, a significant increase of DOX fluorescence intensity in the nuclei was observed after an additional incubation for 4 h. Moreover, we studied the microscopy images of DOX/PFP NPs as control, as shown in Figure 3B. Most of the endocytic DOX/PFP NPs were located in the endolysosomes, and no significant DOX fluorescent signal in the nuclei was observed after an additional incubation for 4 h.

To further demonstrate DOX/PFFP NPs-mediated intracellular ATP-triggered DOX release, HepG2 cells were incubated with DOX/PFFP NPs at a low temperature (4 °C) or in the presence of iodoacetic acid (IAA)[42, 58], which can inhibit the ATP generation. Cell incubation at 4 °C or with IAA at 37 °C both led to a significant decrease in ATP generation within the cells. The cells incubated both at 4 °C and with IAA at 37 °C showed insignificant DOX fluorescence signals in the nuclei (Figure 4A). We also tracked the intracellular ATP-triggered hydrolysis of PFFP NPs. As shown in Figure S6, PFFP were mainly distributed in the cytoplasm, and as contrast, the cells incubated both at 4 °C and with IAA at 37 °C showed that PFFP was mainly located in the endolysosomes indicated by the white fluorescence. In addition, the quantitative analysis of the cellular uptake of DOX by HepG2 cell nuclei was carried out using the flow cytometry. As shown in Figure 4B, more DOX molecules were found within DOX/PFFP NPs-treated cells incubated at 37 °C. However, at 4 °C and with IAA at 37 °C, cellular uptake of DOX was inhibited. Of note, for DOX/PFP NPs without ATP sensitivity, no remarkable DOX fluorescent signal was observed. Collectively, these results substantiate that the DOX release from DOX/PFFP NPs is an ATP level-dependent process.

The in vitro cytotoxicity of DOX/PFFP NPs against HepG2 cells was evaluated by using 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay[57]. Compared with ATP-insensitive DOX/PFP NPs, DOX/PFFP NPs exhibited obviously enhanced cytotoxicity towards HepG2 cells at whole studied DOX concentrations.
Notably, the cytotoxicity of DOX/PFFP NPs increased along with the concentration of DOX with a half lethal dose (IC₅₀) of 2.1 μg mL⁻¹ at incubation time of 24 h. Moreover, efficient intracellular release of DOX from DOX/PFFP NPs provided higher cytotoxic activity than that of the DOX solution (IC₅₀ = 3.8 μg mL⁻¹), indicating that an enhanced potency could be achieved using ATP-responsive chemotherapy.

**In vivo NIR imaging and antitumor efficacy of DOX/PFFP**

The therapeutic efficacy of DOX/PFFP was investigated in the mice bearing human liver carcinoma tumors. To explore the in vivo NIR imaging capability of PFFP, PFFP and DOX/PFFP NPs were administrated intravenously into the HepG2 tumor-bearing mice via tail vein. As shown in Figure S7 and 5A, a strong fluorescence signal of PFFP was detected at the tumor site after administration for 4 h. As time extended, an enhanced PFFP signal was found in the tumor than that in the normal tissues, suggesting a notable tumor targeting ability of DOX/PFFP NPs (Figure 5A). After 24 h post injection, the tumor and normal tissues were harvested for ex vivo imaging. The strongest fluorescence signal of PFFP was observed in the tumor and liver compared with other organs (Figure 5B). The quantitative region-of-interest (ROI) analysis (Figure 5C) indicated that DOX/PFFP NPs allowed high accumulation of the drugs at the tumor site via a combination of passive and active targeting effects, since phenylboronic acid tags on DOX/PFFP NPs can selectively bind to the over-expressed glycans or sialic acids on the surface of HepG2 cells[50-53]. Additionally, the enhanced accumulation of DOX/PFFP NPs at tumor site was further validated as compared with DOX/PFP NPs (without phenylboronic acid) by ex vivo imaging and ROI analysis, and the fluorescence signal at the tumor site of DOX/PFFP-treated mice was approximately 2.5-times as much as that of DOX/PFP-treated mice 24 h after injection (Figure 5D and 5E).

![Figure 4. Intracellular DOX/PFFP NPs-mediated ATP-triggered DOX release.](http://www.thno.org)
Figure 5. In vivo NIR imaging of DOX/PFFP NPs. (A) In vivo fluorescence images of the HepG2 tumor-bearing mice at 4, 12, and 24 h after intravenous injection of DOX/PFFP NPs. Arrow indicates the sites of tumor. (B) Ex vivo fluorescence images of the tumor and normal organs collected from the mice 24 h after administration. 1: heart, 2: liver, 3: spleen, 4: lung, 5: kidney, 6: tumor. (C) The quantitative region-of-interest (ROI) analysis of fluorescent signals of the tumor and normal organs. The relative fluorescence signal biodistribution of DOX/PFFP and DOX/PFP NPs in the tumor and liver: (D) Ex vivo fluorescence images of the tumor and liver collected from the mice 24 h after administration. (E) The quantitative region-of-interest (ROI) analysis of fluorescent signals of the tumor and liver. Error bars indicate SD (n = 3).

Figure 6. In vivo fluorescence images of the HepG2 tumor-bearing mice in 5 days after intravenous injection of DOX/PFFP NPs. The red ellipse indicates the site of the tumor.

We also evaluated the metabolism process of DOX/PFFP NPs administered intravenously by monitoring the PFFP fluorescence signals (Figure 6). The NPs were accumulated in the tumor site within 1 day, and reached the maximum around 1-3 days' post-injection, then started to be discharged from the tumor at day 4, which suggested that the successive administration every other day was able to maintain a high drug concentration in the systemic circulation within a prolonged period. These results indicate that PFFP has potential to be exploited as an efficient drug-delivery system for imaging-guided therapeutics[59-61]. Moreover, we further studied the biodistribution of DOX and PFFP in various organs by quantitative analysis. The mice bearing the tumors were intravenously treated with DOX/PFFP NPs at a DOX-equivalent dose of 2 mg kg⁻¹. After 24 h post injection, the tumor and normal tissues were harvested, following by tissue homogenization, acidic isopropanol extraction, and fluorometric analysis. As shown in Figure S8, DOX/PFFP NPs allowed high accumulation of the drugs at the tumor site after 24 h of intravenous administration.

Next, to assess the in vivo efficacy of DOX/PFFP NPs for antitumor treatment, the HepG2 tumor-bearing mice were exposed to treatment with
different samples, including the saline as a negative control, DOX, DOX/PFP NPs and DOX/PFFP NPs. The growth of the tumor was significantly inhibited after the treatment with different DOX formulations (Figure 7A and 7B). DOX/PFFP NPs and DOX/PFP NPs showed the remarkably higher inhibition efficacy towards tumor growth than free DOX, which could be attributed to the EPR effect of the NPs. Of note, DOX/PFFP NPs showed the smaller tumor volume compared with that of DOX/PFP NPs, which indicated that the efficient intracellular delivery and ATP-responsive DOX release of DOX/PFFP NPs enabled the reinforcement on the anticancer efficacy. Meanwhile, the body weight of the mice treated with different samples remained stable except free DOX, because of the strong side-effect of DOX to the normal organs[54] (Figure 7C). We further applied the hematoxylin and eosin (HE) and the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining assay to evaluate the treatment efficacy (Figure 7D). The images of HE-stained tumor tissues treated by DOX/PFFP NPs presented prominent necrosis of the tumor cells. The images obtained from the TUNEL staining showed the highest level of cell apoptosis in the tumor tissue of the mice receiving DOX/PFFP NPs. Furthermore, no obvious pathological abnormalities were observed in normal organs (Figure S9). Taken together, these results validated that ATP-responsive DOX/PFFP NPs efficiently accumulated at the tumor site, and thereby achieved optimal therapeutic efficacy in vivo through effective intracellular delivery and stimuli-triggered drug release.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an ATP-responsive and NIR-imaging drug delivery system for imaging-guided chemotherapy. This conjugated polymer-based delivery system presents both targeting and controlled release behaviors associated with the surrounding ATP levels. This system provides guidelines to design conjugated polymer-based theranostic formulation for enhancing efficacy of pinpoint cancer therapy. Further investigation includes detailed assessment of systemic and long-term toxicity as well as the bio-environment-associated photoluminescence property of the nanocarriers.

Figure 7. Antitumor efficacy of DOX/PFFP NPs. (A) Representative images of the HepG2 tumors after treatment with different samples at day 14 (from top to bottom, 1: saline, 2: DOX, 3: DOX/PFP NPs, 4: DOX/PFFP NPs. (B) The HepG2 tumor growth curves after treatment with different samples. (C) The body weight variation of HepG2 tumor-bearing mice during treatment. (D) Histological observation of the tumor tissues stained with HE after treatment with different samples, and detection of apoptosis in the tumor tissues after treatment with the TUNEL staining assay. Scale bars are 100 μm. Error bars indicate SD (n = 5); *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
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