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Abstract

11C-methionine (MET) has recently emerged as an accurate marker of tumor burden and disease activity in patients with multiple myeloma (MM). This dual-center study aimed at further corroboration of the superiority of MET as positron emission tomography (PET) tracer for staging and re-staging MM, as compared to 18F-2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro-D-glucose (FDG).

78 patients with a history of solitary plasmacytoma (n=4), smoldering MM (SMM, n=5), and symptomatic MM (n=69) underwent both MET- and FDG-PET/computed tomography (CT) at the University Centers of Würzburg, Germany and Navarra, Spain. Scans were compared on a patient and on a lesion basis. Inter-reader agreement was also evaluated. In 2 patients, tumor biopsies for verification of discordant imaging results were available.

MET-PET detected focal lesions (FL) in 59/78 subjects (75.6%), whereas FDG-PET/CT showed lesions in only 47 patients (60.3%; p<0.01), accordingly disease activity would have been missed in 12 patients. Directed biopsies of discordant results confirmed MET-PET/CT results in both cases. MET depicted more FL in 44 patients (56.4%; p<0.01), whereas in two patients (2/78), FDG proved superior. In the remainder (41.0%, 32/78), both tracers yielded comparable results. Inter-reader agreement for MET was higher than for FDG (κ = 0.82 vs κ = 0.72).

This study demonstrates higher sensitivity of MET in comparison to standard FDG to detect intra- and extramedullary MM including histologic evidence of FDG-negative, viable disease exclusively detectable by MET-PET/CT. MET holds the potential to replace FDG as functional imaging standard for staging and re-staging of MM.
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Introduction

Multiple myeloma (MM) accounts for approximately 1% of all cancers and around 10% of hematological malignancies [1, 2]. Although MM essentially remains incurable, overall survival has improved significantly over the last decade due to availability of various novel drugs and treatment options. The utility of molecular imaging using positron emission tomography (PET) with the radiolabelled glucose analog [18F]-2'-deoxy-2'-fluoro--D-glucose (FDG) for diagnosis, staging and response
assessment has been demonstrated by several studies [3-7]. Moreover, the new International Myeloma Working Group response criteria include PET-based imaging in the evaluation of minimal residual disease [8]. However, glucose metabolism does not fully reflect the vast heterogeneity of the disease and does not allow for a refined understanding of tumor biology. Furthermore, diffuse bone marrow involvement and a rather low metabolic activity of biology. Furthermore, diffuse bone marrow infiltration by myeloma cells based on iliac crest biopsy was simultaneously assessed in 54/78 (69.2%) patients. Additionally, interphase molecular cytogenetics based on fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was available in 55/78 (70.5%) patients. Presence of del(17p), t(4;14), t(14;16), t(14;20) or chromosome 1 abnormalities were considered as elevated-risk, whereas all other karyotypes were classified as standard risk.

A subgroup of this cohort (32 heavily pre-treated and 11 newly diagnosed patients, all from Würzburg) had previously been published [14].

**PET/CT**

FDG and MET were synthesized in house with a 16 MeV Cyclotron (Würzburg; GE PETtrace 6; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, USA) and an 18 MeV Cyclotron (Navarra; Cyclone 18/9, IBA Radiopharma Solutions, Belgium). PET/CT was performed on a PET/CT scanner (Siemens Biograph mCT 64, Siemens, Knoxville, USA, both institutions) within a median interval of 1 day between scans (range, 0-21).

FDG (mean, 303 ± 33 MBq) and MET (mean, 657 ± 147 MBq) were injected intravenously after at least 4h fasting. CT scans were acquired after 60 (FDG) or 20 min. (MET), respectively, using contrast-enhanced (FDG; depending on kidney function; dose modulation with a quality reference of 210 mAs [Würzburg]), non-contrast-enhanced (Care Dose 4D with a quality reference of 120 mAs [Navarra]) or low-dose spiral CT (80 mAs, 120 kV, a 512 × 512 matrix, 5 mm slice thickness, increment of 30 mm/s, rotation time of 0.5 s, and pitch index of 0.8) including the skull to the proximal thighs. Consecutively, PET emission data were acquired in 3D-mode with a 200 × 200 matrix with 2 min (Würzburg) or 3 min (Navarra) emission time per bed position. After decay and scatter correction, PET data were reconstructed iteratively with attenuation correction using a dedicated software (HD. PET, Siemens Esoft, Erlangen, Germany).

**Image analysis**

Criteria to define lesions as PET-positive by FDG were those previously proposed by Zamagni et al. [17], while for MET, positive lesions were visually determined as focally increased tracer retention compared to surrounding normal tissue or contralateral structures as previously described [14]. Presence and number of intra- and extramedullary (EMD) disease as well as location of lesions were recorded. Analysis both on a patient and a lesion basis
was performed.

In order to correlate tracer uptake with BM involvement, the number of intramedullary lesions detected by each tracer was compared to infiltration of malignant myeloma cells, as determined by bone marrow biopsy.

Additionally, to assess the level of inter-reader agreement for presence or absence of disease on a patient basis, the nuclear medicine physicians from each center were blinded for clinical information and read the scans from the other hospital.

**PET-directed biopsies and histological assessment**

In two patients with discordant results between MET and FDG PET/CT, directed histopathological biopsies were performed in order to evaluate the specificity of the two PET radiolabels.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using PASW Statistics software (version 22.0; SPSS, Inc. Chicago, Illinois, USA). Quantitative values were expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median and range as appropriate. Comparisons of related metric measurements were performed using Wilcoxon-signed rank test. The Chi square- or Fisher exact test was conducted for comparison of frequency data between independent subgroups. For bivariate correlation analyses, Spearman or Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated. Levels of agreement between readers were measured using non-weighted \( \kappa \) statistics. \( \kappa \) values between 0.81 and 1.00 indicate very good agreement, 0.61 and 0.80 indicate good agreement, 0.41 and 0.60 indicate moderate agreement, and 0.21 to 0.4 indicate fair agreement [18]. All statistical tests were performed two-sided and a \( p \)-value < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. No correction for \( p \)-values was applied to adjust for multiple tests.

**Results**

69/78 (88.5%) of the patients investigated presented with symptomatic MM, while the remaining patients suffered from plasmacytoma (n=4, 5.1%) or SMM (n=5, 6.4%). High-risk cytogenetics were present in 25/78 (32.1%) subjects. Information on the degree of iliac BM infiltration with MM plasma cells was available for 54/78 (69.2%) patients and ranged from 0 to 90% (median, 20% plasma cells). Serum FLC ranged from 0.69 to 28.983 mg/l (median, 103.5) and M gradients from 0 to 58.9 g/dl (median, 1.8). Patients’ characteristics are summarized in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1.

**Table 1. Patients’ characteristics at the time of PET/CT evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Myeloma type</th>
<th>Disease duration (months)</th>
<th>M gradient (g/dl)</th>
<th>Involved serum FLC (mg/l)</th>
<th>BM involvement (%)</th>
<th>Previous therapies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>solitary plasmacytoma</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.0 (( \lambda ))</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>solitary plasmacytoma</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>solitary plasmacytoma</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>RTx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>solitary plasmacytoma</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>CTx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>SMM Ig G ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>8.7 (( \lambda ))</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>SMM Ig G ( \kappa )</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>791.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>SMM IgG ( \lambda )</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27.44</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>SMM Ig G ( \kappa )</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>SMM Ig G ( \lambda )</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Ig G ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4440 (( \kappa ))</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Ig A ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>233 (( \kappa ))</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Ig A ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>11.6 (( \kappa ))</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Ig A ( \lambda )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>345 (( \lambda ))</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Ig G ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>48.0 (( \kappa ))</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Ig G ( \lambda )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>337 (( \lambda ))</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>LC ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>14014 (( \kappa ))</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>LC ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>904.9 (( \kappa ))</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>LC ( \lambda )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4440.0 (( \lambda ))</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Ig G ( \lambda )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>5297 (( \lambda ))</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>IgA ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>53.82</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Ig G ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td>259.7</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>IgG ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>LC ( \kappa )</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Ig A ( \kappa )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>498 (( \kappa ))</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>CTx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Ig G ( \kappa )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>293 (( \kappa ))</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>CTx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In PET/CT examinations with MET, MM lesions were detected in 59/78 patients (75.6%). In contrast, FDG did not identify any focal lesion in 31 patients (FDG-positive lesions in 47/78 patients, 60.3%; p<0.01). The 19 MET-negative patients corresponded to either cases in complete response (CR; n=13) or very good partial response (VGPR; n=2) or cases with SMM (n=4). Of note, the remaining patient with SMM (patient #60) revealed increased MET uptake throughout the skeleton, whereas FDG-PET showed negative results (Figure 1).

Additionally, MET identified extramedullary lesions in 15/78 patients (19.2%), whereas FDG identified 13/78 patients (p=n.s.) with EMD (16.7%). These extramedullary lesions corresponded to: soft tissue (9/78 [MET] vs. 7/78 [FDG]); lymph nodes (8/78 [MET] vs 7/78 [FDG]); lung (2/78 [MET] vs. 2/78 [FDG] and liver (0/78 [MET] vs. 1/78 [FDG]).

Regarding intramedullary MM, MET revealed involvement of the appendicular skeleton in 52/78
patients (66.7%), whereas 14 cases were missed with FDG (FDG-positive lesions in 38/78 patients, 48.7%) (Supplementary Table 2).

**Inter-reader agreement**

Only one patient was not available for the inter-reader agreement evaluation due to technical reasons. Reproducibility of overall scan results was very good for MET scans with an agreement between readers in 72 of 77 scans. (κ = 0.82, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.66-0.97). For FDG inter-reader agreement was good with consensus reached in 67 of 77 examinations (κ = 0.72, 95% CI 0.56-0.88).

**Lesion-based analysis**

Imaging with MET identified more focal lesions than FDG in 44 patients (44/78, 56.4%, p<0.01), whereas FDG proved superior in only 2/78 (2.6%) cases (Figure 2). In the remaining 32/78 (41.0%) patients, an equal number of MM manifestations (identical lesions) was detected with both tracers.

**Figure 1.** Display of a patient (patient #8) with IgG κ SMM. Imaging with both tracers was performed on the same day. Whereas PET/CT with FDG did not depict hypermetabolic foci suspicious for active MM, MET demonstrated increased tracer uptake throughout the skeleton. Bone marrow biopsy revealed 45% clonal plasma cells. Blood tests showed an IgG κ M-spike of 8.6 g/dl, free light chain (FLC) κ levels of 1.22 mg/dl, and FLC λ levels of 0.13 mg/dl (ratio κ/λ= 9.38). Bence-Jones proteinuria was 191 mg/24 h-collected urine. The patient was diagnosed of high-risk smoldering MM and started treatment in a Spanish myeloma group clinical trial. After induction and consolidation treatment, she is in stringent complete response with MRD negativity as assessed by flow cytometry.

**Figure 2.** Display of a patient (patient #57) with a history of IgG λ MM. Imaging with both tracers was performed within 6 days. PET/CT with FDG depicted multiple hypermetabolic foci consistent with active MM which were partly missed by MET (transaxial slice of thoracic vertebra Th 7, arrows).
Figure 3. Display of a patient (patient #60) with a history of Ig A λ MM. Imaging with both tracers depicted multiple hypermetabolic foci consistent with active MM. However, due to high physiologic uptake, extramedullary liver lesions were missed by MET-PET (arrows).

MET-PET/CT detected ≥ 20 FL in 52 out of the 59 positive patients (88.1%): 11/59 (18.6%) had ≥ 20 focal lesions detected, 8/59 patients (13.6%) ≥50 and 33/59 patients (55.9%) ≥100 FL. The remaining 7/59 (11.9%) patients had less than 20 FL. With FDG, 21/47 (44.7%) subjects had <20 FL, 11/47 (23.4%) ≥20, 4/47 (8.5%) ≥50 and 11/47 (23.4%) ≥100 FL.

Interestingly, in 7/15 patients (46.7%) with EMD, MET detected lesions which were missed by FDG, whereas FDG outperformed MET in a single patient with hepatic EMD (Figure 3). In numbers, FDG detected a total of 44 EMD foci (soft tissue, n=20; lymph nodes, n=18; liver, n=4; lung, n=2), whereas MET depicted 72 lesions (p<0.01) in soft tissue (n=43), lymph nodes (n=24), and lungs (n=5). The lesions exclusively visualized by MET were found in soft tissue (n=23), lymph nodes (n=6), and lungs (n=3) (supplementary table 2).

**Correlation of tracer uptake with bone marrow involvement**

In the 54/78 (69.2%) patients in whom BM infiltration was histologically assessed by iliac crest biopsies or aspirates, the number of intramedullary lesions detected by PET imaging with either tracer correlated well with histologic plasma cell infiltration. Correlation with the histological findings was stronger for MET-PET (Spearman’s r=0.832) than for FDG-PET (Spearman’s r=0.635).

**PET-directed biopsies and histopathological assessment**

In order to confirm the specificity of MET-PET, directed histopathological biopsies were performed in two patients with discordant results between FDG-PET and MET-PET. In one case with FDG+ and MET− imaging (patient # 67, Figure 4), the guided biopsy did not show plasma cell infiltration whereas in the second case (MET+/FDG−, patient # 62, Figure 5), infiltration of clonal plasma cells was confirmed. Accordingly, in both cases the biopsy results were concordant with the MET-PET findings.

**Discussion**

This study aimed at further validation of the radiolabelled amino acid MET for functional imaging of multiple myeloma. The superiority of MET over FDG was confirmed with concordant results in both University centers. Most interestingly, in two cases in which PET-guided biopsies were performed to clarify discordant PET imaging results the histopathological examination confirmed the MET based findings, with clonal plasma cells being present in the MET+/FDG− but being absent in the MET-/FDG+ lesion. This adds evidence that this radiolabel has higher sensitivity and specificity for myeloma lesions than FDG.

In parallel with previously published data demonstrating higher sensitivity [14-16], MET provided more accurate information on the disease...
burden in the majority of patients and demonstrated higher inter-reader agreement. Based on PET-derived SUV, it also demonstrated a better correlation with histologic plasma cell infiltration as derived from iliac crest biopsy samples in comparison to FDG.

Of note, MET demonstrated more myeloma lesions not only in intramedullary, but also in extramedullary disease. In almost half of the patients with EMD, exclusively MET$^+$ lesions could be depicted. The biologically underlying implications are not yet fully understood. Further studies investigating samples from targeted biopsies (MET$^+$/FDG$^+$; MET$^-$/FDG$^+$; MET$^+$/FDG$^-$) are needed to derive a better estimate of the prognosis and refractoriness to treatment by the type of lesions displaying divergent MET and FDG uptake.

Figure 4. Display of a patient (patient #71) with a history of IgG$\kappa$ MM after treatment initiation with lenalidomide and dexamethasone. The patient was referred for further evaluation of a painful, growing lesion in the proximal third of the right clavicle. The lesion demonstrated focal FDG uptake. In contrast, MET-PET was negative (arrows). Biopsy was performed and no tumor infiltration was demonstrated, consistent with a benign fracture. MET-PET additionally revealed partial response with inhomogeneous, focally increased tracer uptake of the axial as well as appendicular skeleton, whereas FDG-PET did not depict hypermetabolic foci suspicious for active MM in these locations.

Figure 5. Display of a patient (patient #3) with a history of solitary plasmacytoma treated with radiotherapy. Imaging with both tracers was performed on the same day. Whereas PET/CT with FDG did not depict hypermetabolic foci suspicious for active MM, MET demonstrated focally increased tracer uptake in the left zygomatic bone (arrows). Biopsy confirmed monoclonal plasma cell infiltration.
MET-PET was negative in most patients with MM in CR/VGPR and smoldering myeloma. Interestingly, a single patient with SMM presented with MET+/FDG- disease (Figure 2). Whereas it is too early to comment on the suitability of MET-PET for the identification of high-risk SMM patients, tracer uptake might reflect true active myeloma [19] and might be potentially of use to identify patients in conversion from asymptomatic disease stages to early, treatment demanding MM. While the value of blood markers and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for the identification of high-risk SMM were recently established and incorporated in the MM diagnostic criteria of the International Myeloma Working Group [20, 21], the role of PET/CT in SMM—despite first reports on the general suitability of FDG [22-24]—has not yet been comprehensively elucidated. A study on the diagnostic and prognostic value of FDG, MET- and C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4-(CXCR4)- directed PET [25-27] to determine the role of PET imaging in MM is currently ongoing in Würzburg.

Additionally, another potential application of MET-PET might be considered in patients with (assumed) solitary plasmacytoma. Given the fact that a substantial proportion of patients develop MM within 2 years after radiotherapy of the solitary lesion [28], MET-PET performed at the initial presentation could contribute to detection of additional viable myeloma lesions and therefore, identify a patient subgroup benefitting from systemic therapy. Future research will clarify the role of MET-PET in this setting.

As a potential drawback of the amino acid tracer, reduced sensitivity within the liver has been considered [29]. Indeed, in a single patient with hepatic MM involvement, MET did not detect the lesions due to the high physiologic uptake. However, given the broad availability of combined PET/CT imaging, this drawback should be overcome by the simultaneously acquired anatomic information. Future studies should address the prognostic value of MET in comparison to FDG and the performance of the tracer in early response assessment. A shortcoming of the present study is the limited number of patients with biopsy for verification of discordant FDG and MET imaging results. Although tumor biopsies from sites with discordant biologic behaviour (MET+/FDG-) have been carried out for the first time in this study, the underlying biological implications of these discrepant cases remain to be elucidated. Furthermore, another distinct pattern of myeloma lesions has been shown by receptor-directed PET targeting CXCR4 [26, 30, 31]. A current PET-guided biopsy project at our centers aims at a more elaborated analysis of biologically different myeloma lesions in order to gain further insight into MM heterogeneity. Additionally, a comparison of MET with other metabolic tracers like 11C-choline or 11C-acetate is currently ongoing.

Of note, the phenomenon of about 10% of FDG-PET “false-negative” patients due to low expression of hexokinase-2 has been recently reported and might at least mechanistically explain the differences observed between the two PET tracers [32]. Since these patients cannot be monitored by FDG-PET, MET might overcome this limitation and aid in therapy monitoring in this relevant sub-group of patients.

Overall, this study adds sustained evidence on the suitability of MET-PET for assessment of myeloma tumor burden. It indicates superiority over standard FDG-based imaging. Importantly we report the first evidence of histologically proven FDG-negative MM detectable by MET. Taken together, it can be hypothesized that MET-PET adds significant benefit to our diagnostic armamentarium in MM. Further clinical trials including a comprehensive evaluation of the prognostic and predictive value of this technology are clearly needed.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, this study presents—for the first time—histologic proof of FDG-negative, viable MM detectable by MET-PET/CT. MET holds the potential to replace FDG as functional imaging standard for MM.
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