1	Endometrial organoids: a reservoir of functional mitochondria fo	r uterine repair			
2	Sun-Young Hwang ^{1,#} , Danbi Lee ^{1,#} , Gaeun Lee ^{1,#} , Jungho Ahn ² , Yu-Gyeong Lee ¹ , Hwa Seon Koo ³ , Youn-				
3	3 Jung Kang ^{1,2,*}				
4					
5	Affiliations				
6	1. Department of Biomedical Science, School of Life Science, CHA University; 335	5 Pangyo-ro, Bundang-			
7	gu, Seongnam-si, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea				
8	2. Department of Biochemistry, Research Institute for Basic Medical Science, Sc	hool of Medicine, CHA			
9	University; 335 Pangyo-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam-si, Gyeonggi-do, South Ko	orea			
10	3. CHA Fertility Center Bundang; 59, Yatap-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam-si, Gyeo	nggi-do, South Korea			
11					
12	*These authors contributed equally to this work				
13	*Corresponding Author: Youn-Jung Kang (yjkang@cha.ac.kr)				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					



22 Supplementary Figure 1. Successful generation of a murine model of AS

(A) Representative immunostaining images of H&E, MT, Col1a1 (green), and Ki67 (green) and DAPI (blue) of normal and AS-induced endometrium at indicated days (Day 7, 14 and 21). Scale bar; 25um. Collagen volume fraction (B), Col1a1 intensity (C), or Ki67⁺ fluorescence intensity (D) shown in (A) was quantified (Total number of mice=48; 3 mice per group; triplicates). Data were expressed as mean ± SD, analyzed using the ordinary two-way ANOVA with Turkey's multiple comparisons test including P-values (*<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001, NS; not significant). (E) Representative images of fetus and placenta from normal and AS-induced horn at pregnancy day 14. Scale bar; 1cm.



47 Supplementary Figure 2. Generation of human or mouse endometrial tissue-derived organoids

48 (A) Expansion and morphological observation of human endometrial organoids from each passage at day 49 1 and 6. Scale bar; 25um. (B) Growth curve of human endometrial organoids up to passage 6. (C) Flow 50 cytometry analyses of CD326 and CD44 (blue) versus isotype controls (grey) in human endometrial 51 organoids from each passage. (D) Immunostaining images of H&E, MUC1, CDH1, ERα, and Ki67 in human 52 endometrial organoids and its parental tissues. Scale bar; Tissue-100um (H&E, MUC1), 20um (CDH1, ERα 53 and Ki67), Organoid-100um (H&E, MUC1), 30um (CDH1, ERα and Ki67). QRT-PCR analyses of epithelial 54 markers (CDH1 (E) and EPCAM (F)) and stromal marker (VIM (G)) in human endometrial organoids 55 compared with its parental tissues (3 human endometrial organoids were used in characterization). Data 56 were expressed as mean ± SD, analyzed using the unpaired t test including P-values (*<0.05, **<0.01, 57 ***<0.001, ****<0.0001, NS; not significant). (H) Expansion and morphological observation of mouse 58 endometrial organoids from each passage at day 1 and 7. Scale bar; 25um. (I) Growth curve of mouse 59 endometrial organoids up to passage 15. (J) Flow cytometry analyses of CD326 (red) and CD44 (red) 60 versus isotype controls (grey) in mouse endometrial organoids. (K) Immunostaining images of H&E, Ki67, 61 CDH1, and CK14 in mouse endometrial organoids and its parental tissues (Four independent sets of human 62 endometrial organoids were used for characterization). Scale bar; Tissue-100um (H&E), 20um (Ki67, CDH1 63 and CK14), Organoid-100um (H&E), 30um (Ki67, CDH1 and CK14).

64

- 66
- 67
- 68
- 69
- 70
- . .
- 71
- 72



73

Supplementary Figure 3. Lasting duration time of mouse endometrial organoid transplantation in AS-induced endometrium

76 (A) An experimental design of GFP-mouse endometrial organoid transplantation to AS-induced uteri of 77 C57/B6 mice. (B) Immunofluorescent analyses of GFP (green) and DAPI (blue) in GFP-mouse endometrial 78 organoid-transplanted AS-induced endometrium 3 months after transplantation compared with 2-week time 79 point or non-transplanted AS-induced endometrium (Total number of mice=6; 3 mice per group). Scale bar; 80 50um, 10um (Magnified). Quantified intensity of GFP signals detected in AS-induced endometrium 3 81 months or 2 weeks after transplantation of GFP mouse-derived endometrial organoids was shown in a 82 graph (C). Data were expressed as mean \pm SD, analyzed using the unpaired t test including P-values (NS; 83 not significant).



Supplementary Figure 4. Therapeutic effects of mouse endometrial organoid transplantation in AS induced endometrium

87 (A) An experimental design of mouse endometrial organoid transplantation to AS-induced uteri of C57/B6 88 mice and further analyses including fertility assessments. (B) Immunohistochemical analyses of MT and 89 Col1a1 in mouse endometrial organoid-transplanted AS-induced endometrium compared with non-90 transplanted AS-induced endometrium at indicated days (Day 7, 14 and 21) (Total number of mice=48; 3 91 mice per group; triplicates and three independent sets of mouse organoids were used for each 92 transplantation). Scale bar; 25um. (C) Immunofluorescent analyses of CD31 (red) and Ki67 (green) with DAPI (blue) in in mouse endometrial organoid-transplanted AS-induced endometrium compared with non-93 94 transplanted AS-induced endometrium at indicated days (Day 7, 14 and 21). Scale bar; 25um. 95 Immunofluorescent CD31 and Ki67 intensity were quantified in graphs of (D-E). (F) Representative images 96 of uteri with implantation sites on pregnancy day 14 (n=3). (G) The total number of implantation sites was 97 counted in each horn and quantified. (H) Representative images of mouse litters with their mother with 98 endometrial organoids-transplanted AS-induced endometrium compared to groups with non-transplanted 99 AS-induced endometrium and normal endometrium. Comparisons of sizes (I) and weights (J) of litters from 100 mice with endometrial organoids-transplanted AS-induced endometrium compared to groups with non-101 transplanted AS-induced endometrium and normal endometrium. Data were expressed as mean ± SD, analyzed using the unpaired t test including P-values (*<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001, NS; not 102 103 significant).

104

105

- 106
- 107
- 108
- 109







Е



Torget	t Sample		Partitions		
Target			Valid	+	-
	Mana aultura	#1	25286	0	25286
	Mono-culture	#2	25442	1	25441
MMIDNA	Co-culture	#1	25403	1403	24000
		#2	25255	983	24272

н

F



T	Sample		Partitions		
Target			Valid	+	-
	Mono-culture DNA Co-culture	#1	25441	45	25396
		#2	25491	35	25456
MINUDINA		#1	25476	39	25437
		#2	25476	42	25434

Supplementary Figure 5. Endometrial organoid-originated mitochondrial migration into Tgf-β induced damaged cells

(A) Immunoblotting analysis of COL1A1 in mESCs with Tgf- β treatment at indicated concentration (0, 2, 5, and 10 ng/ml) and timepoints (0, 24, and 48 h). (B) Brightfield images about serial steps of cell loading for establishment of the endometrium-on-a-chip. Scale bar; 25um. (C) An experimental design of co-culture of CRL-4003 with mouse endometrial organoids. (D) An experimental design of transwell assay and brightfield image of co-cultured CRL-4003 with mouse endometrial organoids at day 2. (E-F) digital PCR analyses of mMtDNA (mouse mitochondrial DNA) and partitioning information in co-cultured CRL-4003 with mouse endometrial organoids compared with monocultured CRL-4003. (G-H) dPCR digital PCR analyses of mNuDNA (mouse nucleus DNA) and partitioning information in co-cultured CRL-4003 with mouse endometrial organoids compared with monocultured CRL-4003. Data were expressed as mean ± SD, analyzed using unpaired t test including P-values (*<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001, NS; not significant).

Species	Gene	Direction	Sequence
	Rpl7	Forward	TCAATGGAGTAAGCCCAAAG
		Reverse	CAAGAGACCGAGCAATCAAG
	Acth	Forward	GTGACGTTGACATCCGTAAAGA
	ACID	Reverse	GCCGGACTCATCGTACTCC
	Tailba	Forward	GTGAAACGGAAGCGCATCGAAG
	Igibi	Reverse	CATAGTAGTCCGCTTCGGGCTCC
	Time1	Forward	CTTGGTTCCCTGGCGTACTC
	ттрт	Reverse	ACCTGATCCGTCCACAAACAG
		Forward	CTGGCGGTTCAGGTCCAAT
	Conar	Reverse	TTCCAGGCAATCCACGAGC
	Dec.1c	Forward	ACGGTTTACATGAACACAGCTGC
	Pycia	Reverse	CTTGTTCGTTCTGTTCAGGTGC
	N Inf 1	Forward	GAACGCCACCGATTTCACTGTC
	INIT I	Reverse	CCCTACCACCACGAATCTGG
Mouroo	N 45 - 4	Forward	TGCATGTTTCACCACAGTTTC
wouse	IVIIII	Reverse	GTAGCTCACAACCACCTGTAA
	Fis1	Forward	AGGCTCTAAAGTATGTGCGAGG
		Reverse	GGCCTTATCAATCAGGCGTTC
		Forward	AGGCATGAAAGGACAGCACA
	MIMIDNA	Reverse	TTGGGGTTTGGCATTAAGAGGA
	mNuDNA	Forward	GAATTCAGATTTGTGCATACACAGTGACT
		Reverse	AACATTTTTCGGGGAATAAAAGTTGAGT
	Tnfa	Forward	CCCTCACACTCAGATCATCTTCT
		Reverse	GCTACGACGTGGGCTACAG
		Forward	GGAGGAACCAATTTCCCTGCTGCT
	TIKZ	Reverse	CCTTTGATCCCCATGTATCCAAGA
	Scd1	Forward	TTCTTGCGATACACTCTGGTGC
		Reverse	CGGGATTGAATGTTCTTGTCGT
	Gpr84	Forward	CTCCTGCTACCATGAGTCTGT
	Gpi04	Reverse	GTGCAGTAGAGTAGATCAGCCA
	ACTR	Forward	CATGTACGTTGCTATCCAGGC
Human	AUID	Reverse	GCCTTAATGTCACGCACGAT
	CDH1	Forward	AGTCACTGACACCAACGATAAT

137 Supplementary Table 1. Primer sequence pairs used for QRT-PCR and RT-DPCR.

	Reverse	ATCGTTGTTCACTGGATTTGTG
	Forward	GTCTGTGAAAACTACAAGCTGG
EFCAM	Reverse	CAGTATTTTGTGCACCAACTGA
\//\/	Forward	CGGCTGCGAGAGAAATTGC
VIIVI	Reverse	CCACTTTCCGTTCAAGGTCAAG
	Forward	AGTAACCTGCGGATTGGCTTC
ПGDS	Reverse	GTCACCTGGTCAGTTAGCGT
SDD1	Forward	GAAGTTTCGCAGACCTGACAT
3771	Reverse	GTATGCACCATTCAACTCCTCG