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## Supplementary Methods

## Genomic and Transcriptomic Data from PanCanAtlas

RNA-Seq gene expression and somatic copy number alteration (SCNA) of 80 "core-list" from 276 "fulllist" DNA Damage Repair (DDR) genes [1, 2] in 10,489 cancer patients of 32 cancer types were collected from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Genomic Data Commons (GDC) legacy archive [3] and the PanCanAtlas publication page [4]. The copy number segmentation data were obtained from the Circular Binary Segmentation (CBS) [5] algorithm, and used for the correlation analysis. The copy number alteration calls, including -2 (deletion), -1 (loss), 0 (diploid), 1 (gain), and 2 (amplification) (Supplement 2), were made from GISTIC2.0 [6] method on the pan-cancer patient samples. The tumor mutation information, including mutation burden, mutation signatures for the patient samples were obtained from the cancer genome atlas (TCGA) database [7].

## Patient Clinical Chemotherapy, Radiotherapy and Survival Information

The chemotherapy, radiotherapy and patient survival information [8] of 10,237 TCGA patients across 33 cancer types were obtained from the GDC using the R package TCGAbiolinks [9] with further cleaning and correction.

## Cancer Cell Line Gene Copy Number Alteration, Expression and Drug Response Data

For cancer cell lines, the copy number alteration and mRNA expressions of the 80 core DDR genes were downloaded from the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC) [10]. Drug response data of 265 agents including 37 genome-stability targeting drugs across 1005 cancer cell lines were downloaded from the GDSC database and processed as in our previous report [11], with 505 cell lines with multidimensional genomic and drug treatment response data available retained for the following analysis. The logarithmic transformed half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) value was used to indicate the drug response in each cell line.

## Summary for DDR Pathway Alteration across PanCanAtlas

Recurrently amplified/deleted DDR genes: Genes with over $5 \%$ of samples harboring GISTIC score $=-$ 2 or 2 in more than two cancer types were defined as recurrently copy number deleted or amplified. Pathway level DDR amplification: A pathway is called amplified in one sample if at least one gene in the pathway showed amplification in the sample.

## Association Analysis between DNA Repair Gene Copy Number Alteration and mRNA Expression

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to detect the correlation between the gene expression and copy number alteration for each gene in the cell lines and patient samples respectively. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) [12] was performed based on the protein-coding gene list ranked by the signed log transformed Spearman's rank correlation $P$-values using different DDR pathway gene sets from the DDR "full-list" to further interpret the association between the DDR gene amplification and mRNA overexpression.
To further confirm the correlation between $N B N$ expression and copy number variations serous ovarian carcinoma, we obtained $N B N$ gene expression and copy number variation data of two independent studies (GSE13813/GSE9891 [13, 14] and GSE102094 [15]) from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), and performed Spearman's rank correlation analysis for the gene expression and copy number alteration.

## Association Analysis between DDR Gene Copy Number Alteration and Genome Mutation Burden and Mutational Signatures

Two-sample $t$-test was used to assess the difference in mutation burden/mutation signature scores between samples containing copy number amplifications (GISTIC score $=2$ ) of a specific gene vs. the other samples. So as to identify the mutation burden reduction related DDR pathway amplification event, Wilcoxon rank-sum test was done for each gene to compare the mutation burden between amplified samples (GISTIC score $=2$ ) and other samples in a cancer-specific manner. Then GSEA was performed based on the protein-coding gene list ranked by the signed log transformed Wilcoxon rank-sum test $P$ values using the full-list DDR pathway genes.

## Survival Analysis

The overall survival rates were estimated by Kaplan-Meier analysis to assess differences in survival between patient groups stratified by the DDR gene GISTIC calls in each specific cancer type. Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to detect the correlation of DDR gene copy number alterations with overall patient survival rates.

## Association Analysis between DDR Gene Copy Number Alteration and Cell Line Drug Response

The correlation between the copy number alteration of individual genes and treatment responses for each drug was determined by Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. The difference between drug responses in the cell lines bearing different DDR gene copy number alteration was identified by Wilcoxon ranksum test.

## Antibodies

Antibodies used for immunofluorescence and immunoblotting were purchased from the manufacturers as follows. Primary antibodies: phosphorylated ATM (S1981) (Cell Signaling Technology, \#4526), BRCA1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, \#sc-6954), NBN (Abcam, \#ab32074), HA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, \#sc-805), $\gamma \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{AX}$ (Abcam, \#ab266350), RAD51 (Protein Atlas, \#HPA039310), betaactin (Sigma-Aldrich, \#A5316), SNRP70 (Abcam, \#ab51266). Secondary Antibodies: HRP-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary (Thermo Fisher, \#31460), HRP-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG (Thermo Fisher, \#31430), Alexa Fluor 488 labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary (Abcam, \#ab150077), Alexa Fluor 594 labeled goat anti-mouse IgG secondary (Abcam, \#ab150116).

## Digital Droplet PCR (ddPCR) for NBN Copy Number Quantification in Ovarian Cancer Tissues

 FFPE ovarian cancer/para-cancerous tissues were collected from 31 serous epithelial ovarian cancer patients in the Department of Gynecological Surgery in Obstetrics \& Gynecology Hospital of Fudan University. Written informed consent was received from all the participants. In compliance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 as revised in 1996, this study was approved by both the Institutional Review Boards of the Obstetrics \& Gynecology Hospital and Huashan Hospital of Fudan University. The FFPE tissue samples were digested by incubation overnight in the lysis buffer and proteinase K at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to fully digest the tissues. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was then extracted using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, \#56404) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The gDNA was further fragmented with restriction enzyme (New England BioLabs, \#R0136S) digestion for 1 hour.ddPCR was performed to quantify the gene copy number of $N B N$ and chromosome 8 centromere control
$C E B P D$. For every sample, two ddPCR reactions were scaled in $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ volume with $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} 2 \times \mathrm{QX} 200$ ddPCR EvaGreen Supermix (Bio-Rad, \#1864033), 10-20 ng of digested gDNA, forward primers (NBNFP: 5'- GGGAAATATGAATTGTTAGTTG-3' or CEBPD-FP: 5'-TCTACATCTTACTCCTGTTGAT-3') and reverse primers (NBN-RP: 5'-CACTCAGTACATTCACTTC-3' or CEBPD-RP: 5'-CAAATGCTGCTTTATTCTTACAA-3'), each at a final concentration of 500 nM . Droplets were then generated in the QX200 droplet generator (Bio-Rad) by loading $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the reaction mixture and $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of droplet generation oil for EvaGreen (Bio-Rad, \#1864005) onto matched wells of a DG8 cartridge (BioRad). $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the droplet/oil mixture was peptide to a semi-skirted 96 -well plate (Bio-Rad). The plate was sealed with a pierce-able foil heat seal and loaded on a PTC-200 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad). The amplification was performed with the following protocol: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , followed by 50 cycles of thermal cycling: denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s ; annealing at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min ; extension at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s . The sample was heated at $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min to deactivate the enzyme and then held at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cycling between the temperatures was set to a ramp rate of $2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{sec}$. Upon completion of the PCR protocol, the plate was read using the QX200 droplet reader (Bio-Rad). Droplet counts and amplitudes were then exported to and analyzed with QuantaSoft ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ software (Bio-Rad). The copy number of $N B N$ was normalized to CEBPD.

## Immunohistochemistry for NBN semi-quantification in Ovarian Cancer Tissues

FFPE tissue samples were sectioned at $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thickness on a microtome and floated in $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ distilled water bath, and then transferred onto histological slides (ThermoFisher, \#6776214). The slides were further deparaffinized by two times of 5-minute xylene treatment followed by 2 times of 3-minute $100 \%$ alcohol treatment and once through $95 \%, 70 \%$, and $50 \%$ alcohols respectively for 3 minutes each. The slides were then treated by $3 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution in methanol at room temperature for 10 minutes to block endogenous peroxidase activity and then rinsed three times in PBS for 5 minutes. The slides were immersed in 10 mM citrate buffer ( pH 6.0 ) in a staining container and incubated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then cooled down to room temperature for 20 minutes. After three times of 5-mintue rinse in PBS, the slides were incubated with 5\% goat serum (ThermoFisher, \#PCN5000) in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature, followed by 1:200 diluted NBN primary antibody solution in $5 \%$ goat serum overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The slides were rinsed three times for 5 minutes and then incubated with Ready to use Biotinylated Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (Abcam, \#ab64256) solution for 15 minutes at room temperature, followed by PBS rinse for five times. Streptavidin peroxidase complex (Abcam, \#ab64269) was applied to the section and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature and rinsed by PBS for five times. The visualize reaction was carried out in Diaminobenzidene chromogen (Abcam, \#ab64238) solution room temperature incubation for 1 minute and PBS rinse for 5 times. The slides were counterstained with Harris hematoxylin (ThermoFisher, \#6765001), followed by serial dehydration and xylene treatment, and sealed with resinence. The stained histological sections were independently reviewed by two pathologists and rated for the grade of NBN staining ranging from + to ++++ .
Fisher's exact test was performed to test whether the tumors bearing $N B N$ amplification (normalized $N B N$ copy $\geq 3$ ) have significantly increased NBN protein expression compared to those $N B N$ nonamplified (normalized $N B N$ copy $<3$ ) tumors.

## Cell Culture and Stable Cell Line Establishment

Human breast cancer cell line MCF-7 was a kind gift from Dr. Shilpa Sant and cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), and $1 \%$ penicillin, $1 \%$ streptomycin ( $1 \%$

PS). Human ovarian cancer cell line OVCAR4 was purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Fredrick, MD) and cultured in RPMI 1640 medium with $10 \%$ FBS and $1 \%$ PS. Human ovarian cancer cell line SK-OV3 was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA) and cultured in McCoy's 5A medium with $10 \%$ FBS and $1 \%$ PS. Lentiviruses were prepared by HEK (human embryonic kidney) 293 T cells (ATCC) transfection with pMD2.G envelope and psPAX2 packaging plasmid together with viral transfer plasmid pLVX-HA-NBN-hygro or pLVX-HA-hygro vehicle for $N B N$ overexpression or vehicle control by Lipofectamine ${ }^{\text {TM }} 2000$ (ThermoFisher, \#11668019). MCF-7, OVCAR4, and SK-OV3 cell lines were infected by the NBN overexpression virus or control vehicle and then selected by hygromycin B (ThermoFisher, \# 10687010) treatment (MCF-7, $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; OVCAR4, $60 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; SK-OV3, $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 14 days.

## NBN Knockout by lentiCRISPR/Cas9 Gene Targeting

The lentiCRISPR-NT non-targeting control plasmid and lentiCRISPR-NBN\#1 (sgRNA: /\#2 plasmids targeting $N B N$ was prepared with lentiCRISPRv2 (Addgene, \#52961). The sequences of the guide RNA target sites are as follows, with the protospacer adjacent motif sequence underlined: 5'-GACGGAGGCTAAGCGTCGCAA-3' (non-targeting control), 5’CGAACTTTGAAGTCGGGGGATGG ( $N B N$ targeting \#1), $5^{\prime}$ '-TTCCCGAACTTTGAAGTCGGGGG$3^{\prime}$ ( $N B N$ targeting \#2). The plasmids targeting $N B N$ or non-targeting control were further packed with pMD2.G envelope and psPAX2 packaging plasmid in HEK 293T cells with Lipofectamine ${ }^{\text {TM }} 2000$. MCF-7 and OVCAR4 cell lines were infected by the NBN targeting plasmids or control virus followed by puromycin (ThermoFisher, \# A1113803) selection (MCF-7, $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$; OVCAR4, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 7 days.

## siRNA Interference

siRNA transfection of MCF-7, OVCAR4 and their derived NBN overexpressing and vehicle control cells was undertaken using Lipofectamine RNAiMax (ThermoFisher, \#13778150) according to the manufacturer's instructions. siRNA oligonucleotide master mix targeting ATM (sc-29761) and NBN (sc36061) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. siRNA targeting BRCA1 (Slincer ${ }^{\text {TM }} \mathrm{S} 457$ and S458) was purchased from ThermoFisher (\#4390824 and \#4390824). siRNA targeting the firefly luciferase gene (sense sequence 5'-CACGUACGCGGAAUACUUCGA-3') was synthesized by Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO) and used as the negative interference control. All the cancer cell lines subjected to RNA interference were exposed to siRNA for 48 hours before further experimental usage.

## Drug Treatment

Olaparib (LC laboratories, \#O-9201) and (S)-(+)-camptothecin (Sigma-Aldrich, \#C9911) were purchased from the manufacturers and dissolved as DMSO chemical stock. Cisplatin (Selleckchem, \#S1166) was purchased and dissolved in PBS stock. Fresh drug solutions were prepared by stock diluting by cell culture medium containing $5 \%$ FBS before every treatment, and the untreated control was parallelly prepared to get the final concentration of DMSO or PBS as the drug treating solution.

## In vitro Drug Response Assay

The cancer cell lines were passaged by $0.05 \%$ trypsin before the day of plating. After overnight recovery, the cells were again trypsinized and seeded to 96 well plates at the final density of 1,500 per well. Untreated medium control and serial dilution of the drug were added to each well after 24 hours. Three well triplications were performed for each drug concentration. The medium and drug solution were
replaced after three days. Five days after first drug administration, the cell viability was determined as cell viability by MTT assay using CellTiter cell proliferation assay kit (Promega, \#G4100) according to the manufacturers' instructions.

For the cell line drug response experiment with BRCA1 or ATM RNA interference, the drug treatment duration was reduced to three days since the BRCA1 or ATM knockdown lead to significantly decreased cell proliferation.
Clonal genic assay was performed by seeding cancer cells into 12 well plates at the final density of $10^{4}$ cell per well. The cells were treated with medium control or serial dilutions of the drug in the culture medium for seven days before staining by $0.05 \%$ crystal violet in $10 \%$ methanol PBS solution.

## In-vivo Xenograft Drug Response Assay

The 4- to 6-week-old female athymic nude mice (Shanghai Model Organisms Center) were used for the xenograft model. SK-OV3 cancer cell lines with or without $N B N$ stable overexpression were trypsinized and washed twice with cold PBS. 0.2 mL PBS containing $5 \times 10^{6}$ cells was subcutaneously injected into the flank of the mice. After two weeks of tumor growth, the mice were further administrated with olaparib ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ per day), cisplatin ( $160 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ mouse per week) or saline by intraperitoneal injection according to the protocol from Liu, et al. [16]. In keeping with the policy for the humane treatment of tumor-bearing animals, the mice were sacrificed 4 weeks after the drug administration and their tumors were harvested. The drug response was measured as the tumor weight [drug treatment]/tumor weight [saline treatment]. All the animal studies were performed in accordance with the institutional guidelines and project protocols approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Huashan Hospital.

## Protein isolation and Immunoblotting

Cancer cell samples were harvested with $0.05 \%$ trypsin and washed twice with PBS. The pellets were resuspended in RIPA buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.1 \%$ SDS, $1 \%$ NP40, $0.5 \%$ sodium deoxycholate, 0.5 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, \#P8340)) and ice bathed for 30 min .
The cell lysates were diluted with equal volume of $2 \times$ SDS sample buffer and resolved by denaturing SDS-PAGE and transferred onto the PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, \#162-0177). The blot was blocked with 5\% non-fat milk (LabScientific, \#M0841) in PBST at room temperature for 30 minutes and then incubated with primary antibody solution overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The blot was washed with 10 -minute PBST rinsing for three times and then incubated with HRP-labeled secondary antibody solution with agitation for 1 hour at room temperature. After three times of 10 -minute rinsing of the blot in PBST, specific bands were visualized by X-ray film exposure with enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) substrate (ThermoFisher, \#32106). The film was finally developed by an AX 700LE film processor (ALPHATEK).

## Immunofluorescence and Foci Assay

The genetically modified cancer cells were seeded into the Nunc Lab-Tek II CC ${ }^{2}$ chamber slide (ThermoFisher, \#154941) at a final density of $2 \times 10^{4}$ per well. After specific culture treatment, the slide was washed with cold PBS and fixed with $4 \%$ formaldehyde (ThermoFisher, \#F79-1) in PBS. The fixed cell samples were penetrated by $0.25 \%$ TritonX-100 (ThermoFisher, \#HFH10) for 5 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and rinsed by PBS for three times. The slide was blocked by $5 \%$ goat serum (ThermoFisher, \#PCN5000) in PBS and then incubated with 1:100 diluted primary antibody solution in $5 \%$ goat serum overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The slide was then washed for 5 minutes, three times in PBS with agitation and then incubated with the
fluorescent secondary antibody solution at room temperature in the dark. The slide was washed for 5 minutes, three times in PBS and then mounted and sealed with ProLong ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Gold Antifade Mountant with DAPI (ThermoFisher, \#P36931).
Immunofluorescence assay was performed on the KEYENCE BZ-X710 fluorescence microscope with BZ-X Viewer software (Keyence) or Olympus FV1000 confocal laser scanning microscope with FV10AWS software (Olympus). The Foci scoring was carried out blindly with $>800$ foci/sample being scored. All foci analysis represents the mean $\pm$ SEM of over 10 separated microscope views.

## References

1. Wood RD, Mitchell M, Lindahl T. Human DNA Repair Genes. 2014.
2. Knijnenburg TA, Wang L, Zimmermann MT, Chambwe N, Gao GF, Cherniack AD, et al. Genomic and Molecular Landscape of DNA Damage Repair Deficiency across The Cancer Genome Atlas. Cell Rep. 2018; 23: 239-54 e6.
3. The Cancer Genome Atlas. The GDC legacy archive. 2018.
4. The Cancer Genome Atlas. PancanAtlas publication page. 2018.
5. Olshen $A B$, Venkatraman $E S$, Lucito R, Wigler M. Circular binary segmentation for the analysis of array-based DNA copy number data. Biostatistics. 2004; 5: 557-72.
6. Mermel CH, Schumacher SE, Hill B, Meyerson ML, Beroukhim R, Getz G. GISTIC2.0 facilitates sensitive and confident localization of the targets of focal somatic copy-number alteration in human cancers. Genome Biol. 2011; 12: R41.
7. Ellrott K, Bailey MH, Saksena G, Covington KR, Kandoth C, Stewart C, et al. Scalable Open Science Approach for Mutation Calling of Tumor Exomes Using Multiple Genomic Pipelines. Cell Syst. 2018; 6: 271-81 e7.
8. Liu J, Lichtenberg T, Hoadley KA, Poisson LM, Lazar AJ, Cherniack AD, et al. An Integrated TCGA Pan-Cancer Clinical Data Resource to Drive High-Quality Survival Outcome Analytics. Cell. 2018; 173: 400-16 e11.
9. Colaprico A, Silva TC, Olsen C, Garofano L, Cava C, Garolini D, et al. TCGAbiolinks: an R/Bioconductor package for integrative analysis of TCGA data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44: e71.
10. Iorio F, Knijnenburg TA, Vis DJ, Bignell GR, Menden MP, Schubert M, et al. A Landscape of Pharmacogenomic Interactions in Cancer. Cell. 2016; 166: 740-54.
11. Wang Y, Wang Z, Xu J, Li J, Li S, Zhang M, et al. Systematic identification of non-coding pharmacogenomic landscape in cancer. Nat Commun. 2018; 9: 3192.
12. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102: 15545-50.
13. Etemadmoghadam D, deFazio A, Beroukhim R, Mermel C, George J, Getz G, et al. Integrated genome-wide DNA copy number and expression analysis identifies distinct mechanisms of primary chemoresistance in ovarian carcinomas. Clin Cancer Res. 2009; 15: 1417-27.
14. Tothill RW, Tinker AV, George J, Brown R, Fox SB, Lade S, et al. Novel molecular subtypes of serous and endometrioid ovarian cancer linked to clinical outcome. Clin Cancer Res. 2008; 14: 5198-208.
15. Ducie J, Dao F, Considine M, Olvera N, Shaw PA, Kurman RJ, et al. Molecular analysis of highgrade serous ovarian carcinoma with and without associated serous tubal intra-epithelial carcinoma. Nat Commun. 2017; 8: 990.
16. Liu G, Yang D, Rupaimoole R, Pecot CV, Sun Y, Mangala LS, et al. Augmentation of response to chemotherapy by microRNA-506 through regulation of RAD51 in serous ovarian cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2015; 107.

Figure S1. Overview of DDR core genes amplification across pan-cancer

A) Cancer-specific DDR pathway copy number amplification (red) / gain (pink) prevalence. HDR is the most prevalently amplified DDR pathway.
B) Similar gene amplification patterns are found in tumor tissues from the same origin as indicated by the radar plot.

The copy number of 80 core DDR genes showed a highly positive correlation in the three tumor pairs with the same
histological origin as COAD-READ, HNSC-ESCA, LIHC-CHOL, but not in SKCM-UVM. Pearson correlation coefficient and $P$-value are indicated.
C) DDR gene expression demonstrated a significant positive correlation with its copy number alteration in the patient samples (top, scatter plot) and cancer cell lines (bottom, jitter plot) respectively. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient and $P$-value are indicated.

Figure S2. DDR gene amplified tumors harbor reduced genome instability

A) Bar chart showing the silent or non-silent mutation burden reduction between tumors with (pink) or without (green) specific DDR gene amplification across multiple cancer types. Median of the mutation burden in each genotype was shown in the bar chart. Error bars indicate mean $\pm$ SEM * $P<.05$, ** $P<.005$, *** $P<.0005$. (Student' $t$-test)
B) Volcano plot showing the DDR gene amplification is associated with specific mutational signature reduction.

Figure S3. DDR gene copy number CNAmp in the tumor correlates with poor patient survival and drug resistance

A) Patients bearing tumors with DDR gene amplification showed general poor survival. Volcano plot shows the hazard ratio and $P$-value from Cox regression analysis for the survival of patient with or without specific DDR gene amplification in a specific cancer type. Hazard ratio > 1 means patients mean patients with a specific DDR gene amplification have poor prognosis, compared to patients without the amplification.
B) DDR gene copy number gain/amplification (CNAmp, GISTIC calls = 1 and 2 ) is associated with patients' poor overall survival (Kaplan-Meier curve with hazard ratio from Cox regression analysis).
C) mRNA expression of NBN demonstrated a significant positive correlation with its copy number alteration in the ovarian cancer datasets (GSE13813/GSE9891 and GSE102094). Spearman's rank correlation coefficient and $P$ value are indicated.
D, E) NBN knockdown by siRNA sensitizes MCF-7 cells to cisplatin (D) or olaparib (E) treatment.

F, G) NBN knockdown by siRNA sensitizes OVCAR4 cells to cisplatin (F) or olaparib (G) treatment.

Table S1. Summary of the copy number alterations of $\mathbf{8 0}$ core-list DDR genes in TCGA PanCanAtlas cohort $(\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{1 0 , 4 8 9 )}$

| Gene <br> Symbol | DDR Pathway |  | Alias <br> Gene Description | TCGA_Gain <br> PlusAmp_fre | TCGA <br> PlusDel_fre |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| NBN | HDR |  |  |  |  |


| UNG | BER ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | uracil-DNA glycosylase | 0.17 | 0.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALKBH2 | DR ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | alkB homolog 2, alpha-ketoglutarate dependent dioxygenase | 0.17 | 0.13 |
| TDG | BER ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | thymine-DNA glycosylase | 0.17 | 0.13 |
| ERCC5 | NER ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | XPG | excision repair cross-complementation group 5 | 0.17 | 0.26 |
| REV1 | TLS ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | REV1L | REV1, DNA directed polymerase | 0.16 | 0.08 |
| PMS1 | MMR ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  | PMS1 homolog 1, mismatch repair system component | 0.16 | 0.11 |
| RNMT | Others ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  | RNA guanine-7 methyltransferase | 0.15 | 0.23 |
| MUS81 | HDR ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | MUS81 structure-specific endonuclease subunit | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| APEX1 | $\mathrm{BER}^{\text {c }}$ |  | apurinic/apyrimidinic endodeoxyribonuclease 1 | 0.15 | 0.21 |
| BLM | $\mathrm{HDR}^{\dagger}$ |  | Bloom syndrome, RecQ helicase-like | 0.14 | 0.20 |
| RBBP8 | $\mathrm{HDR}^{\dagger}$ | CTIP | retinoblastoma binding protein 8 | 0.14 | 0.23 |
| APEX2 | BER ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | apurinic/apyrimidinic endodeoxyribonuclease 2 | 0.14 | 0.18 |
| FANCI | FA ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | KIAA1794 | Fanconi anemia, complementation group I | 0.14 | 0.20 |
| XRCC3 | HDR ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | X-ray repair cross complementing 3 | 0.14 | 0.24 |
| FEN1 | BER ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | flap structure-specific endonuclease 1 | 0.13 | 0.15 |
| RAD50 | $\mathrm{HDR}^{\text {f }}$ |  | RAD50 double strand break repair protein | 0.13 | 0.24 |
| FANCA | FA ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Fanconi anemia, complementation group A | 0.13 | 0.30 |
| FANCM | FA ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Fanconi anemia, complementation group M | 0.13 | 0.23 |
| BRCA2 | $\mathrm{HDR}^{f}$ |  | breast cancer 2, early onset | 0.13 | 0.32 |
| FANCB | FA ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Fanconi anemia, complementation group B | 0.13 | 0.21 |
| TDP1 | BER ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase 1 | 0.13 | 0.25 |
| POLE3 | BER ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | polymerase (DNA directed), epsilon 3, accessory subunit | 0.13 | 0.24 |
| CHEK2 | Others ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  | checkpoint kinase 2 | 0.13 | 0.28 |
| MRE11A | HDR ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | MRE11 homolog A, double strand break repair nuclease | 0.13 | 0.22 |
| FANCD2 | FA ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Fanconi anemia, complementation group D2 | 0.12 | 0.28 |
| BARD1 | HDR ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | BRCA1 associated RING domain 1 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| XRCC5 | NHEJ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | KU80 | X-ray repair cross complementing 5 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| NHEJ1 | NHEJh |  | nonhomologous end-joining factor 1 | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| FANCC | FA ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | Fanconi anemia, complementation group C | 0.12 | 0.25 |
| MLH3 | MMR9 |  | mutL homolog 3 | 0.12 | 0.26 |
| $X P A$ | NER ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  | xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group A | 0.12 | 0.25 |
| XPC | NER ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  | xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group C | 0.12 | 0.28 |


| XRCC6 | NHEJ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | KU70 | X-ray repair cross complementing 6 | 0.12 | 0.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALKBH3 | DR ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | alkB homolog 3, alpha-ketoglutarate dependent dioxygenase | 0.11 | 0.18 |
| CUL5 | NER ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  | cullin 5 | 0.11 | 0.26 |
| ATM | Others ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  | ATM serine/threonine kinase | 0.11 | 0.26 |
| MSH3 | MMR9 |  | mutS homolog 3 | 0.11 | 0.27 |
| XRCC4 | NHEJh |  | X-ray repair cross complementing 4 | 0.11 | 0.27 |
| CHEK1 | Others ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  | checkpoint kinase 1 | 0.11 | 0.27 |
| MLH1 | MMR9 |  | mutL homolog 1 | 0.10 | 0.29 |
| SHPRH | TLS ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  | SNF2 histone linker PHD RING helicase | 0.10 | 0.27 |
| POLN | TLS ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  | polymerase (DNA) nu | 0.10 | 0.26 |
| TOP3A | $\mathrm{HDR}^{\mathrm{f}}$ |  | topoisomerase (DNA) III alpha | 0.10 | 0.33 |
| ERCC6 | NER ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | CSB | excision repair cross-complementation group 6 | 0.10 | 0.25 |
| REV3L | TLS ${ }^{\text {j }}$ |  | REV3 like, DNA directed polymerase zeta catalytic subunit | 0.10 | 0.28 |
| MGMT | DR ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase | 0.09 | 0.30 |
| ATRIP | Others ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  | ATR interacting protein | 0.09 | 0.32 |
| TREX1 | Others ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | AGS1, CRV, DRN3, HERNS | three prime repair exonuclease 1 | 0.09 | 0.32 |
| TP53BP1 | HDR ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  | tumor protein p53 binding protein 1 | 0.08 | 0.27 |
| RAD51 | $\mathrm{HDR}^{\text {f }}$ | FANCR | RAD51 recombinase | 0.08 | 0.27 |
| POLL | NHEJ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  | polymerase (DNA directed), lambda | 0.07 | 0.31 |

a. Frequency of samples with DDR gene copy number gain (GISTIC score $=1$ ) and amplification (GISTIC score $=2$ ) in TCGA PanCanAtlas cohort;
b. Frequency of samples with DDR gene copy number loss (GISTIC score $=-1$ ) and amplification (GISTIC score $=-2$ ) in TCGA PanCanAtlas cohort;
 Repair; j. TLS: Translesion Synthesis; k. Others: Damage Sensor and Others

Table S2. Recurrently amplified or deleted DDR genes in TCGA PanCanAtlas cohort ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{1 0 , 4 8 9 \text { ) }}$

| Gene <br> Symbol | Recurrently_Amplified_ <br> in_Cancer_Types | P-value_mRNA <br> _Amp_vs_Others | Recurrently_Deleted_ <br> in_Cancer_Types | P-value_mRNA_ <br> Del_vs_Others |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NBN | UCS, BRCA, PRAD, LIHC, BLCA | $2.50 \times 10^{-60}$ |  |  |
| SHFM1 | ESCA, STAD, UCS | $3.20 \times 10^{-43}$ |  |  |
| UBE2T | BRCA, LIHC, CHOL | $2.17 \times 10^{-20}$ |  |  |
| EXO1 | BRCA, LIHC, OV, CHOL | $6.80 \times 10^{-22}$ |  |  |
| PARP1 | BRCA, CHOL, LIHC, UCS | $1.86 \times 10^{-44}$ |  |  |
| PRKDC | UCS, LIHC, BRCA | $1.20 \times 10^{-34}$ |  |  |
| ATR | LUSC, ESCA, CESC OV, HNSC | $1.89 \times 10^{-56}$ |  |  |
| TOPBP1 | LUSC, CESC | $5.67 \times 10^{-41}$ |  |  |
| POLB | UCS, BRCA, ESCA, LUSC, BLCA | $2.16 \times 10^{-111}$ |  |  |
| RAD52 | UCS, OV | $1.84 \times 10^{-59}$ |  |  |
| POLQ | LUSC, CESC | $7.33 \times 10^{-32}$ |  |  |
| EME1 | UCS, BRCA | $2.78 \times 10^{-28}$ |  | $5.99 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| RBBP8 | PAAD, ESCA, STAD | $1.08 \times 10^{-41}$ |  | $9.18 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| CHEK1 |  |  | TGCT, UVM |  |
| SHPRH |  |  | UVM, DLBC |  |
| REV3L |  |  | DLBC, PRAD, UVM |  |

a. $P$-value for mRNA expression comparison between samples with specific DDR gene amplification (GISTIC score $=2$ ) and the other copy numbers (Wilcoxon rank-sum test)
b. $P$-value for mRNA expression comparison between samples with specific DDR gene deletion (GISTIC score $=-2$ ) and the other copy numbers (Wilcoxon rank-sum test)

Table S3. DDR gene copy number alteration correlates with mRNA expression across TCGA PanCanAtlas patient samples and GDSC cancer cell lines

| Gene <br> Symbol | Patient samples |  |  | Cancer Cell lines |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number_of_Patients | Spearman Rho | Spearman $P$-value | Number_of_Cell_Lines | Spearman Rho | Spearman $P$-value |
| ALKBH2 | 8858 | 0.29 | $1.92 \times 10^{-170}$ | 255 | 0.04 | 0.50 |
| ALKBH3 | 8847 | 0.34 | $2.75 \times 10^{-232}$ | 659 | 0.32 | $5.00 \times 10^{-17}$ |
| ATM | 8829 | 0.34 | $3.55 \times 10^{-233}$ | 344 | 0.33 | $6.48 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| ATR | 8840 | 0.45 | $<10^{-300}$ | 763 | 0.26 | $3.14 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| ATRIP | 8852 | 0.48 | $<10^{-300}$ | 869 | 0.26 | $4.49 \times 10^{-15}$ |
| BARD1 | 8830 | 0.19 | $1.91 \times 10^{-69}$ | 970 | 0.04 | 0.19 |
| BLM | 8812 | 0.25 | $6.01 \times 10^{-123}$ | 192 | 0.07 | 0.36 |
| BRCA1 | 8782 | 0.31 | $4.37 \times 10^{-193}$ | 724 | 0.13 | $5.95 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| BRIP1 | 8786 | 0.27 | $1.22 \times 10^{-143}$ | 874 | 0.07 | 0.032 |
| CHEK1 | 8848 | 0.33 | $7.68 \times 10^{-227}$ | 568 | 0.27 | $9.21 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| CHEK2 | 8837 | 0.31 | $1.21 \times 10^{-189}$ | 815 | 0.16 | $3.52 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| CUL5 | 8834 | 0.52 | $<10^{-300}$ | 344 | 0.51 | $3.82 \times 10^{-24}$ |
| EME1 | 8853 | 0.30 | $2.11 \times 10^{-181}$ | 822 | 0.18 | $2.12 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| ERCC1 | 8819 | 0.42 | $<10^{-300}$ | 588 | 0.28 | $6.30 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| ERCC2 | 8833 | 0.36 | $1.71 \times 10^{-267}$ | 591 | 0.27 | $1.87 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| ERCC4 | 8845 | 0.37 | $1.73 \times 10^{-285}$ | 663 | 0.21 | $8.61 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| ERCC5 | 8450 | 0.59 | $<10^{-300}$ | 125 | 0.59 | $4.80 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| ERCC6 | 8844 | 0.37 | $1.16 \times 10^{-284}$ | 233 | 0.02 | 0.82 |
| EXO1 | 8828 | 0.32 | $1.37 \times 10^{-207}$ | 944 | 0.16 | $9.66 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| FANCC | 8821 | 0.45 | $<10^{-300}$ | 197 | 0.13 | 0.068 |
| FANCD2 | 8826 | 0.36 | $3.43 \times 10^{-263}$ | 19 | -0.01 | 0.95 |
| FANCI | 8846 | 0.31 | $4.83 \times 10^{-193}$ | 216 | 0.13 | 0.066 |
| FANCL | 8819 | 0.29 | $1.28 \times 10^{-167}$ | 950 | 0.15 | $4.45 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| FANCM | 8828 | 0.40 | $<10^{-300}$ | 710 | 0.24 | $1.35 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| GEN1 | 8848 | 0.32 | $2.50 \times 10^{-213}$ | 970 | 0.00 | 0.96 |
| LIG4 | 8855 | 0.41 | $<10^{-300}$ | 218 | 0.35 | $7.79 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| MDC1 | 8854 | 0.43 | $<10^{-300}$ | 797 | 0.15 | $1.22 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| MGMT | 8769 | 0.26 | $4.15 \times 10^{-133}$ | 500 | 0.17 | $1.54 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| MLH1 | 8844 | 0.51 | <10-300 | 893 | 0.30 | $2.30 \times 10^{-20}$ |


| MLH3 | 8851 | 0.42 | $<10^{-300}$ | 426 | 0.31 | $7.68 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MRE11 | 8822 | 0.42 | $<10^{-300}$ | 256 | 0.44 | $8.50 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| MSH2 | 8809 | 0.35 | $3.01 \times 10^{-250}$ | 951 | 0.15 | $4.44 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| MSH3 | 8822 | 0.48 | $<10^{-300}$ | 413 | 0.21 | $2.41 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| MSH6 | 8829 | 0.38 | $6.40 \times 10^{-295}$ | 947 | 0.10 | $2.86 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| MUS81 | 8852 | 0.37 | $1.00 \times 10^{-288}$ | 505 | 0.33 | $3.88 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| NBN | 8817 | 0.41 | <10-300 | 374 | 0.33 | $4.30 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| PALB2 | 8846 | 0.41 | <10-300 | 618 | 0.24 | $9.42 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| PARP1 | 8841 | 0.54 | $<10^{-300}$ | 938 | 0.13 | $5.31 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| PMS1 | 8840 | 0.34 | $1.61 \times 10^{-231}$ | 970 | 0.06 | 0.083 |
| POLB | 8819 | 0.51 | $<10^{-300}$ | 336 | 0.49 | $1.44 \times 10^{-21}$ |
| POLE | 8831 | 0.34 | $1.92 \times 10^{-232}$ | 555 | 0.13 | $1.69 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| POLE3 | 8858 | 0.51 | $<10^{-300}$ | 450 | 0.09 | 0.049 |
| POLL | 8857 | 0.52 | $<10^{-300}$ | 68 | 0.21 | 0.085 |
| POLM | 8816 | 0.35 | $6.48 \times 10^{-251}$ | 627 | 0.16 | $7.06 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| POLN | 8775 | 0.21 | $3.11 \times 10^{-91}$ | 716 | -0.01 | 0.80 |
| POLQ | 8847 | 0.37 | $1.70 \times 10^{-277}$ | 550 | 0.12 | $4.21 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| RAD50 | 8832 | 0.43 | $<10^{-300}$ | 704 | 0.13 | $4.26 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| RAD51 | 8850 | 0.19 | $1.06 \times 10^{-71}$ | 698 | 0.13 | $9.19 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| RAD52 | 8825 | 0.40 | <10-300 | 58 | -0.01 | 0.93 |
| RBBP8 | 8755 | 0.36 | $3.97 \times 10^{-266}$ | 518 | 0.33 | $2.74 \times 10^{-14}$ |
| REV1 | 8841 | 0.27 | $1.25 \times 10^{-146}$ | 30 | 0.23 | 0.22 |
| REV3L | 8800 | 0.32 | $8.12 \times 10^{-204}$ | 477 | 0.21 | $2.47 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| RNMT | 8845 | 0.52 | $<10^{-300}$ | 506 | 0.42 | $1.67 \times 10^{-22}$ |
| SEM1 | 8814 | 0.42 | $<10^{-300}$ | 212 | 0.39 | $5.90 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| SHPRH | 8835 | 0.29 | $3.79 \times 10^{-169}$ | 739 | 0.20 | $5.04 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| TDG | 8855 | 0.36 | $3.24 \times 10^{-275}$ | 118 | 0.20 | 0.030 |
| TDP1 | 8835 | 0.42 | <10-300 | 194 | 0.39 | $2.29 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| TOP3A | 8772 | 0.47 | <10-300 | 639 | 0.28 | $7.48 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| TOPBP1 | 8845 | 0.49 | $<10^{-300}$ | 718 | 0.15 | $7.01 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| TP53BP1 | 8835 | 0.37 | $2.10 \times 10^{-292}$ | 705 | 0.26 | $5.88 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| TREX1 | 8856 | 0.23 | $1.61 \times 10^{-103}$ | 870 | 0.13 | $8.48 \times 10^{-5}$ |


| UBE2T | 8853 | 0.37 | $2.21 \times 10^{-284}$ | 894 | 0.16 | $2.27 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNG | 8856 | 0.39 | $<10^{-300}$ | 256 | 0.11 | 0.087 |
| XPA | 8850 | 0.43 | $<10^{-300}$ | 137 | 0.25 | $3.67 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| XPC | 8855 | 0.40 | $<10^{-300}$ | 752 | -0.10 | $7.74 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| XRCC2 | 8855 | 0.30 | $1.33 \times 10^{-183}$ | 851 | 0.23 | $7.89 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| XRCC3 | 8847 | 0.37 | $3.73 \times 10^{-288}$ | 92 | 0.24 | 0.022 |
| XRCC4 | 8791 | 0.33 | $7.74 \times 10^{-224}$ | 373 | 0.23 | $5.11 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| XRCC5 | 8845 | 0.48 | <10-300 | 970 | 0.15 | $1.60 \times 10^{-6}$ |

Table S4. DDR gene copy number alteration correlates with the drug response (IC50) of $\mathbf{3 7}$ genome-instability targeting drugs in 505 GDSC cancer cell lines

| Drug_Name | Drug_Target | Drug_Targeted_Pathway | Gene | Spearman P-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 681640 |  |  |  |  |
|  | WEE1, CHEK1 | Spearman Rho |  |  |


|  |  |  | 0.0006 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  | 0.0033 | 0.1458 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  | CHEK2 | 0.0269 | 0.1171 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EME1 | 0.0420 | 0.1098 |
|  |  |  | ERCC4 | 0.0179 | 0.1416 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0022 | 0.3046 |
|  |  |  | EXO1 | 0.0006 | 0.1704 |
|  |  |  | FANCL | 0.0056 | 0.1374 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0006 | 0.1989 |
|  |  |  | FEN1 | 0.0272 | 0.1517 |
|  |  |  | GEN1 | 0.0330 | 0.1050 |
|  |  |  | LIG4 | 0.0194 | 0.2396 |
|  |  |  | MDC1 | 0.0360 | 0.1157 |
|  |  |  | MGMT | 0.0026 | 0.2097 |
|  |  |  | MSH2 | 0.0098 | 0.1285 |
|  |  |  | MSH6 | 0.0150 | 0.1213 |
|  |  |  | NBN | 0.0090 | 0.2071 |
|  |  |  | NHEJ1 | 0.0285 | 0.1079 |
|  |  |  | PALB2 | 0.0325 | 0.1339 |
|  |  |  | PARP1 | 0.0005 | 0.1729 |
|  |  |  | PMS1 | 0.0311 | 0.1062 |
|  |  |  | RAD50 | 0.0253 | 0.1285 |
|  |  |  | REV3L | 0.0171 | -0.1689 |
|  |  |  | SLX1A | 0.0430 | 0.1321 |
|  |  |  | TDG | 0.0377 | 0.3143 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 | 0.0146 | 0.1411 |
|  |  |  | UBE2T | 0.0077 | 0.1349 |
|  |  |  | XPC | 0.0275 | 0.1239 |
| CGP-082996 | CDK4 | p53 pathway | FANCA | 0.0358 | -0.1651 |
|  |  |  | FANCC | 0.0218 | -0.4396 |
|  |  |  | LIG4 | 0.0171 | 0.3620 |
|  |  |  | PMS2 | 0.0389 | 0.2794 |
|  |  |  | SHFM1 | 0.0335 | 0.3710 |
|  |  |  | XPA | 0.0223 | -0.4956 |


| CGP-60474 | CDK1,CDK2,CDK5,CDK7,CDK9 | p53 pathway | APEX2 | 0.0151 | 0.2487 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0227 | 0.3354 |
|  |  |  | FANCC | 0.0430 | -0.3922 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0160 | 0.2040 |
|  |  |  | PMS1 | 0.0486 | 0.1437 |
| Cisplatin | DNA crosslinker | Genome integrity | NBN | 0.0016 | 0.2498 |
|  |  |  | REV3L | 0.0381 | -0.1472 |
|  |  |  | XPA | 0.0363 | -0.2856 |
| CP466722 | ATM | DNA replication | APEX1 | 0.0113 | 0.1270 |
|  |  |  | ATR | 0.0217 | 0.1198 |
|  |  |  | BRCA2 | 0.0054 | 0.1425 |
|  |  |  | CHEK2 | 0.0290 | 0.1096 |
|  |  |  | EME1 | 0.0272 | 0.1107 |
|  |  |  | ERCC4 | 0.0493 | 0.1088 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0097 | 0.2260 |
|  |  |  | FANCL | 0.0159 | 0.1129 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0087 | 0.1397 |
|  |  |  | GEN1 | 0.0399 | 0.0951 |
|  |  |  | MLH3 | 0.0243 | 0.1543 |
|  |  |  | PMS1 | 0.0038 | 0.1337 |
|  |  |  | PMS2 | 0.0292 | 0.1764 |
|  |  |  | POLQ | 0.0112 | 0.1583 |
|  |  |  | RAD50 | 0.0321 | 0.1163 |
|  |  |  | SHFM1 | 0.0267 | 0.2076 |
|  |  |  | TDP1 | 0.0312 | 0.2224 |
|  |  |  | TOP3A | 0.0096 | 0.1481 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 | 0.0085 | 0.1410 |
|  |  |  | XPC | 0.0193 | 0.1227 |
|  |  |  | XRCC2 | 0.0383 | 0.1019 |
| Cytarabine | DNA synthesis | Genome integrity | ATM | 0.0244 | 0.1808 |
|  |  |  | ATR | 0.0436 | 0.1132 |
|  |  |  | CUL5 | 0.0243 | 0.1802 |


|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0318 | 0.2170 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EXO1 | 0.0115 | 0.1261 |
|  |  |  | PARP1 | 0.0378 | 0.1038 |
|  |  |  | REV3L | 0.0113 | -0.1797 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 | 0.0211 | 0.1338 |
| Doxorubicin | DNA intercalating | Genome integrity | BRIP1 | 0.0235 | 0.1123 |
|  |  |  | ERCC1 | 0.0323 | 0.1286 |
|  |  |  | ERCC2 | 0.0393 | 0.1235 |
|  |  |  | ERCC5 | 0.0218 | 0.2820 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0134 | 0.2173 |
|  |  |  | FANCC | 0.0157 | -0.2676 |
|  |  |  | FANCI | 0.0034 | 0.2891 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0253 | 0.1214 |
|  |  |  | POLB | 0.0115 | 0.2024 |
|  |  |  | XPA | 0.0009 | -0.4160 |
|  |  |  | XRCC2 | 0.0490 | 0.0978 |
| Etoposide | TOP2 | Genome integrity | ERCC4 | 0.0199 | 0.1305 |
|  |  |  | ERCC5 | 0.0016 | 0.3759 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0047 | 0.2475 |
|  |  |  | FANCC | 0.0355 | -0.2340 |
|  |  |  | FANCI | 0.0247 | 0.2223 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0016 | 0.1700 |
|  |  |  | LIG4 | 0.0305 | 0.2074 |
|  |  |  | MGMT | 0.0162 | 0.1570 |
|  |  |  | RAD52 | 0.0167 | 0.4738 |
|  |  |  | REV3L | 0.0014 | -0.2122 |
|  |  |  | SHPRH | 0.0128 | -0.1349 |
|  |  |  | XPA | 0.0061 | -0.3499 |
| Gemcitabine | DNA replication | Genome integrity | APEX2 | 0.0287 | 0.1430 |
|  |  |  | BRIP1 | 0.0424 | 0.1009 |
|  |  |  | ERCC5 | 0.0083 | 0.3226 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0152 | 0.2150 |


|  |  |  | 0.0319 | 0.1358 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  | ATR | 0.0181 | 0.1295 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BARD1 | 0.0425 | 0.0993 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0231 | 0.1301 |
|  |  |  | GEN1 | 0.0259 | 0.1090 |
|  |  |  | NHEJ1 | 0.0271 | 0.1081 |
|  |  |  | PALB2 | 0.0198 | -0.1467 |
|  |  |  | PMS1 | 0.0031 | 0.1444 |
|  |  |  | POLM | 0.0102 | 0.1565 |
|  |  |  | POLQ | 0.0266 | 0.1478 |
|  |  |  | RAD50 | 0.0208 | 0.1330 |
|  |  |  | RAD52 | 0.0054 | 0.5000 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 | 0.0141 | 0.1391 |
|  |  |  | $X P C$ | 0.0083 | 0.1455 |
|  |  |  | XRCC5 | 0.0470 | 0.0972 |
| Methotrexate | Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) | Genome integrity | APEX1 | 0.0035 | 0.1564 |
|  |  |  | ATR | 0.0129 | 0.1393 |
|  |  |  | EXO1 | 0.0367 | 0.1044 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0066 | 0.1573 |
|  |  |  | MDC1 | 0.0385 | 0.1143 |
|  |  |  | MSH2 | 0.0333 | 0.1062 |
|  |  |  | MSH6 | 0.0233 | 0.1133 |
|  |  |  | PARP1 | 0.0291 | 0.1090 |
|  |  |  | POLM | 0.0129 | 0.1508 |
|  |  |  | RAD50 | 0.0002 | 0.2115 |
|  |  |  | RAD52 | 0.0000 | 0.5000 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 | 0.0344 | 0.1228 |
|  |  |  | XPC | 0.0134 | 0.1392 |
| Mitomycin C | DNA crosslinker | Genome integrity | BRIP1 | 0.0491 | 0.0976 |
|  |  |  | FANCB | 0.0100 | 0.1617 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0437 | 0.1096 |
|  |  |  | MLH1 | 0.0425 | 0.0989 |
|  |  |  | NHEJ1 | 0.0448 | 0.0942 |


|  |  |  | POLB | 0.0132 | 0.1987 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | REV3L | 0.0317 | -0.1439 |
|  |  |  | XRCC2 | 0.0290 | 0.1084 |
| NSC-207895 | MDMX | cell cycle | ATR | 0.0276 | 0.1155 |
|  |  |  | BARD1 | 0.0093 | 0.1207 |
|  |  |  | BRIP1 | 0.0369 | 0.1027 |
|  |  |  | EME1 | 0.0080 | 0.1336 |
|  |  |  | ERCC4 | 0.0277 | 0.1225 |
|  |  |  | ERCC5 | 0.0232 | 0.2793 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0133 | 0.2183 |
|  |  |  | EXO1 | 0.0403 | 0.0965 |
|  |  |  | FANCA | 0.0321 | 0.1079 |
|  |  |  | FANCL | 0.0087 | 0.1234 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0020 | 0.1646 |
|  |  |  | GEN1 | 0.0246 | 0.1044 |
|  |  |  | MGMT | 0.0070 | 0.1756 |
|  |  |  | MSH2 | 0.0039 | 0.1352 |
|  |  |  | MSH6 | 0.0042 | 0.1344 |
|  |  |  | NHEJ1 | 0.0191 | 0.1089 |
|  |  |  | PMS1 | 0.0077 | 0.1237 |
|  |  |  | POLM | 0.0160 | 0.1376 |
|  |  |  | RAD50 | 0.0015 | 0.1719 |
|  |  |  | REV3L | 0.0238 | -0.1510 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 | 0.0091 | 0.1405 |
|  |  |  | XRCC2 | 0.0370 | 0.1028 |
|  |  |  | XRCC4 | 0.0416 | 0.1524 |
|  |  |  | XRCC5 | 0.0248 | 0.1043 |
| NU-7441 | DNAPK | DNA replication | APEX1 | 0.0326 | 0.1134 |
|  |  |  | APEX2 | 0.0080 | 0.1771 |
|  |  |  | ATR | 0.0024 | 0.1665 |
|  |  |  | BARD1 | 0.0192 | 0.1147 |
|  |  |  | BRCA1 | 0.0123 | 0.1422 |


|  |  |  | BRIP1 | 0.0227 | 0.1182 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EME1 | 0.0019 | 0.1644 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0154 | 0.2370 |
|  |  |  | EXO1 | 0.0032 | 0.1458 |
|  |  |  | FANCL | 0.0025 | 0.1496 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0024 | 0.1737 |
|  |  |  | GEN1 | 0.0124 | 0.1225 |
|  |  |  | MDC1 | 0.0271 | 0.1211 |
|  |  |  | MSH2 | 0.0014 | 0.1582 |
|  |  |  | MSH6 | 0.0015 | 0.1577 |
|  |  |  | NHEJ1 | 0.0060 | 0.1346 |
|  |  |  | PARP1 | 0.0037 | 0.1439 |
|  |  |  | PMS1 | 0.0026 | 0.1472 |
|  |  |  | POLM | 0.0073 | 0.1637 |
|  |  |  | POLQ | 0.0048 | 0.1883 |
|  |  |  | RAD50 | 0.0004 | 0.2044 |
|  |  |  | RAD52 | 0.0098 | 0.5000 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 | 0.0019 | 0.1757 |
|  |  |  | UBE2T | 0.0165 | 0.1214 |
|  |  |  | XPC | 0.0022 | 0.1687 |
|  |  |  | XRCC5 | 0.0220 | 0.1123 |
| Nutlin-3a (-) | MDM2 | cell cycle | APEX1 | 0.0001 | 0.2066 |
|  |  |  | ATR | 0.0009 | 0.1814 |
|  |  |  | BLM | 0.0405 | 0.2254 |
|  |  |  | CHEK2 | 0.0055 | 0.1463 |
|  |  |  | EME1 | 0.0490 | 0.1043 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0059 | 0.2657 |
|  |  |  | EXO1 | 0.0183 | 0.1166 |
|  |  |  | FANCI | 0.0042 | 0.2929 |
|  |  |  | FANCL | 0.0003 | 0.1770 |
|  |  |  | FANCM | 0.0001 | 0.2296 |
|  |  |  | FEN1 | 0.0497 | 0.1328 |


|  |  |  | GEN1 | 0.0044 | 0.1387 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MDC1 | 0.0008 | 0.1819 |
|  |  |  | MLH3 | 0.0061 | 0.1988 |
|  |  |  | MSH2 | 0.0003 | 0.1762 |
|  |  |  | MSH6 | 0.0001 | 0.1876 |
|  |  |  | MUS81 | 0.0467 | 0.1328 |
|  |  |  | PARP1 | 0.0105 | 0.1266 |
|  |  |  | PMS1 | 0.0035 | 0.1422 |
|  |  |  | POLE3 | 0.0104 | 0.1875 |
|  |  |  | POLE3 | 0.0104 | 0.1875 |
|  |  |  | POLM | 0.0052 | 0.1701 |
|  |  |  | RAD50 | 0.0280 | 0.1262 |
|  |  |  | RNMT | 0.0037 | 0.1936 |
|  |  |  | SHPRH | 0.0470 | 0.1131 |
|  |  |  | TDG | 0.0212 | 0.3354 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 | 0.0152 | 0.1375 |
|  |  |  | XPC | 0.0042 | 0.1578 |
|  |  |  | XRCC6 | 0.0474 | 0.0973 |
| Olaparib | PARP1, PARP2 | DNA replication | APEX1 | 0.0173 | 0.1275 |
|  |  |  | ATR | 0.0030 | 0.1652 |
|  |  |  | ATR | 0.0191 | 0.1240 |
|  |  |  | BARD1 | 0.0041 | 0.1410 |
|  |  |  | BLM | 0.0051 | 0.2913 |
|  |  |  | BRCA1 | 0.0328 | 0.1237 |
|  |  |  | BRIP1 | 0.0305 | 0.1138 |
|  |  |  | EME1 | 0.0437 | 0.1090 |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 | 0.0343 | 0.2130 |
|  |  |  | EXO1 | 0.0016 | 0.1569 |
|  |  |  | FANCB | 0.0202 | 0.1511 |
|  |  |  | FANCI | 0.0000 | 0.3971 |
|  |  |  | FANCI | 0.0424 | 0.2098 |
|  |  |  | FANCL | 0.0006 | 0.1704 |


|  |  | 0.0005 | 0.2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |




|  |  |  | BRIP1 |  | 0.0330 | 0.1122 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ERCC6 |  | 0.0060 | 0.2744 |
|  |  |  | EXO1 |  | 0.0001 | 0.1992 |
|  |  |  | FANCB |  | 0.0024 | 0.1971 |
|  |  |  | FANCL |  | 0.0003 | 0.1805 |
|  |  |  | FANCM |  | 0.0000 | 0.2595 |
|  |  |  | GEN1 |  | 0.0007 | 0.1664 |
|  |  |  | MDC1 |  | 0.0356 | 0.1159 |
|  |  |  | MSH2 |  | 0.0001 | 0.1892 |
|  |  |  | MSH6 |  | 0.0002 | 0.1875 |
|  |  |  | NBN |  | 0.0070 | 0.2136 |
|  |  |  | NHEJ1 |  | 0.0019 | 0.1523 |
|  |  |  | PARP1 |  | 0.0001 | 0.1926 |
|  |  |  | PMS1 |  | 0.0002 | 0.1842 |
|  |  |  | POLE3 |  | 0.0105 | 0.1903 |
|  |  |  | POLE3 |  | 0.0105 | 0.1903 |
|  |  |  | POLM |  | 0.0283 | 0.1334 |
|  |  |  | POLQ |  | 0.0087 | 0.1765 |
|  |  |  | RAD50 |  | 0.0007 | 0.1933 |
|  |  |  | TDG |  | 0.0335 | 0.3213 |
|  |  |  | TOPBP1 |  | 0.0046 | 0.1633 |
|  |  |  | UBE2T |  | 0.0187 | 0.1192 |
|  |  |  | $X P C$ |  | 0.0080 | 0.1488 |
|  |  |  | XRCC5 |  | 0.0016 | 0.1549 |

