An immune cell infiltration-based immune score model predicts prognosis and chemotherapy effects in breast cancer
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Abstract

**Background:** Immune cells have essential auxiliary functions and influence clinical outcomes in cancer, with high immune infiltration being associated with improved clinical outcomes and better response to treatment in breast cancer (BC). However, studies to date have not fully considered the tumor-infiltrating immune cell (TIIC) landscape in tumors. This study investigated potential biomarkers based on TIICs to improve prognosis and treatment effect in BC.

**Results:** We enrolled 5112 patients for analysis and used cell type identification by estimating relative subsets of RNA transcripts (CIBERSORT), a new computational algorithm, to quantify 22 TIICs in primary BC. From the results of univariate Cox regression, 12 immune cells were determined to be significantly related to the overall survival (OS) of BC patients. Furthermore, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) and multivariate Cox regression analyses were applied to construct an immune prognostic model based on six potential biomarkers. By dividing patients into low- and high-risk groups, a significant distinction in OS was found in the training cohort, with 20-year survival rates of 42.6% and 26.3%, respectively. Applying a similar protocol to validation and test cohorts, we found that OS was significantly shorter in the high-risk group than in the low-risk group, regardless of the molecular subtype of BC. Using the immune score model to predict the effect of BC patients to chemotherapy, the survival advantage for the low-risk group was evident among those who received chemotherapy, regardless of the chemotherapy regimen. In evaluating the predictive value of the nomogram, a decision curve showed better predictive accuracy than the standard tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system.

**Conclusion:** The immune cell infiltration-based immune score model can be effectively and efficiently used to predict the prognosis of BC patients as well as the effect of chemotherapy.

Key words: tumor-infiltrating immune cells, breast cancer, CIBERSORT, immune score, prognostic
Introduction

Tumor progression is a complex process that requires interaction between cancer cells, the microenvironment, and the immune system, influencing both tumor initiation and progression [1]. Recent research suggests that immune system cells have an essential accessory role of preserving tissue integrity and function during homeostasis, infection, and non-infectious perturbations by eliminating pathogens, exerting some influence on the clinical outcomes of tumors [2, 3]. Many studies have also demonstrated that high immune infiltration is associated with improved clinical outcomes and better response to treatment in breast cancer (BC) [4-11].

Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) comprise a considerable part of tumor-infiltrating immune cells (TIICs). It has been shown that TILs inhibit tumor growth and correlate with improved clinical outcomes in melanoma [12, 13], colorectal cancer [14-16], and ovarian cancer [17, 18]. Additionally, higher levels of TILs are associated with better disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive (HER2+) and triple-negative primary breast cancer (TNBC) [19], leading to clinical trials of several immunotherapeutic agents in TNBC [20]. Moreover, in patients with HER2-positive tumors and TNBC, TILs are also associated with a higher pathological complete response (pCR) rate following neoadjuvant therapy [9, 21].

TIICs also differentiate into tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and tumor-infiltrating dendritic cells (TIDCs), which can promote tumor growth and metastasis [22-24]. Therefore, it is not surprising that higher levels of TAMs and TIDCs are strongly associated with poor outcomes in BC [24-26]. However, as the immune microenvironment is complex and characterized by many immune cell networks, studies have not taken full account of the entire TIIC landscape in tumors. Accordingly, it is imperative to find potential biomarkers based on the complete TIIC landscape to improve prognosis prediction and treatment effect in BC.

Cell type identification by estimating relative subsets of RNA transcripts (CIBERSORT) is a new computational algorithm for enumerating immune cell subsets using bulk gene expression data [27]. In this study, we employed CIBERSORT to quantify 22 TIICs in primary BC. Moreover, using least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression and multivariate regression analysis, we established a novel immune-based model to provide a powerful means for predicting the survival and benefits of chemotherapy in patients with BC. We further validated the prognostic model using 175 BC tumor samples based on RNA sequencing data.

Results

**Gene expression profile database selection according to enrollment criteria**

The study workflow design is depicted in Figure 1. The following databases were selected to obtain gene expression profiles of BC tissues: (1) The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/), (2) Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), (3) Array-Express (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/), (4) International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC, https://icgc.org/), and (5) Molecular Taxonomy of Breast Cancer International Consortium (METABRIC, http://www.cbioportal.org/). We systematically searched these databases with the term “breast cancer”. The enrollment criteria for the prognostic model were as follows: datasets containing more than 50 human primary BC samples, series presented with OS time and survival status, and transcriptome profiling as the experiment type. As indicated in Figure 1, 29 series (6,844 BC samples in total) were ultimately included for constructing the prognostic model. The studies obtained from each of the databases are summarized together with accession numbers in Table S1.

**Establishment of the prognostic immune score model**

To explore the prognostic value of tumor-infiltrating immune cells, stratified sampling was used to divide 5,038 samples into a training cohort (N = 3,526, Table S2) and a validation cohort (N = 1,512, Table S2) in a ratio of 7:3. Figure 2A shows a forest plot of the associations between each immune cell subset and OS in the training cohort. According to the results of the univariate Cox hazard model, eosinophils (p = 0.015), resting dendritic cells (p < 0.0001), gamma-delta T cells (p < 0.0001), resting and activated CD4+ T cells (p < 0.0001; p = 0.0005), resting mast cells (p < 0.0001), M0 and M1 macrophages (p < 0.0001; p = 0.004), memory B cells (p = 0.013), activated NK cells (p < 0.0001), monocytes (p < 0.0001), and regulatory T cells (p < 0.0001) were significantly related to OS in BC patients. Subsequently, we performed LASSO Cox regression to select highly relevant variables from among the 12 (univariate Cox regression: p < 0.05), obtaining results of Lambda.min = 0.00115 [log (Lambda.min) = -6.766], lambda.1se = 0.0395 [log (Lambda.1se) = -3.231] (Figure 2B). Resting CD4+ T cells, regulatory T cells, gamma-delta T cells,
activated NK cells, monocytes, and M0 macrophages were included when log (Lambda.1se) = -3.231 (Figure 2C). Multiple Cox regression was performed to further identify independent predictors and calculate the prognostic index. We established the formula for the prognostic immune score model according to multiple Cox regression (Risk score = regulatory T cells * 2.526 - resting CD4 T cells * 1.761 - gamma-delta T cells * 2.334 + activated NK cells * 3.408 + monocytes * 2.645 + M0 macrophages * 1.591) (Table S3), with the immune score of each sample from the training cohort calculated according to this model. Subsequently, all samples from the training cohort were divided into high- or low-risk groups using the cutoff of 0.374 [28], as determined by the OptimalCutpoints package in R. To evaluate the OS of these low- and high-risk patients, Kaplan-Meier curves were generated, and a significant distinction was observed in the training cohort (Figure 3A), regardless of the molecular subtype of BC (Figure S1). The 20-year survival rates were 37.8% and 20.0% for the low- and high-risk groups, respectively [hazard ratio (HR): 2.72, 95% confidence interval (95%CI): 2.40-3.08, p < 0.0001] (Table 1).

Validation of the prognostic immune score model

To evaluate the effect of this prognostic model, the same formula and prognostic immune score model were applied to the validation cohort, the test cohort (cases from hospitals in China), and the combination of the validation and test cohorts. Patients from the validation and test cohorts were grouped by the cutoff value calculated from the training set (0.374), and Kaplan-Meier curves were generated for the cohorts. Based on the results, OS was significantly shorter in the high-risk group than in the low-risk group in the validation cohort (Figure 3B), test cohort (Figure 3C), and mixed cohort (Figure 3D), regardless of the molecular type of BC (Figure S2). In addition, the 20-year survival rates were 47.8% and 30.1% for the low- and high-risk groups, respectively, (HR: 2.10, 95%CI: 1.74-2.53, p < 0.0001) in the combined validation and test cohorts (Table 1).

Figure 1. Flow chart of the study design. In total, 6,844 breast cancer samples from 29 public data series were used to perform CIBERSORT. Six immune markers were ultimately screened from LM22 to construct a prognostic immune model. The training (N = 3,526) and validation (N = 1,512) cohorts used were derived these public datasets. Another test cohort (N = 74) was from hospitals in China. Part 1. Inclusion criteria were as follows (prognostic model): (1) datasets containing more than 50 human primary BC samples; (2) series providing overall survival time and survival status; (3) transcriptome profiling as the experimental method. Part 2. Enrollment criteria were as follows: (1) pathology confirmed as primary BC following surgery; (2) complete clinical records and follow-up information available; (3) no history of other tumors; (4) informed consent.
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The prognostic immune score model predicted the effect of chemotherapy

As neoadjuvant chemotherapy (neo-ACT), as well as adjuvant chemotherapy (ACT), has been reported to be related to immune infiltration [29], we further evaluated whether the application of chemotherapy (CT) would influence the prognosis of BC. According to the NCCN Guidelines in Oncology (National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology, Breast Cancer, Version 5, 2020 https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/default.aspx), anthracycline + cyclophosphamide (AC), AC followed by taxane (AC-T) and taxane + cyclophosphamide (TC) are major chemotherapy regimens. Information regarding the administration of CT was collected from TCGA and METABRIC datasets and hospitals in China. To evaluate the relationship between the immune score and chemotherapy effect, the same formula was applied to the cohorts from TCGA, the hospitals in China, and METABRIC. The patients of these three cohorts were divided by the cutoff value (0.374) into low- and high-risk groups, and the DFS advantage for the low-risk group was evident in all three cohorts, regardless of whether they received...
chemotherapy (Figure 3E-F, Figure S3). Detailed information on ACT was documented only for the cohort from TCGA. Compared with patients who did not undergo chemotherapy in the low-risk group, the survival advantage was evident in patients who received AC and ACT chemotherapy schemes ($p < 0.05; p < 0.001$). In contrast, the chemotherapy benefit in the high-risk group was only observed with the AC-T chemotherapy scheme ($p < 0.05$, Figure 3G). More importantly, further evaluation of subgroup interaction showed that low-risk patients obtained better chemotherapy effects, regardless of the chemotherapy regimen (AC: $p < 0.01$; AC-T: $p < 0.05$; TC: $p < 0.05$; Figure 3G). Furthermore, we used data from the test cohort of neo-ACT to assess the association between the immune prognostic model and the effect on chemotherapy. As illustrated in Figure S4, there was a tendency toward a higher immune score in the neo-ACT-sensitive group (pCR status) than in the neo-ACT-resistant group (non-pCR status), though no significant difference was observed ($p = 0.129$).

**The nomogram system improved the prognostic immune score model**

Univariable Cox regression analysis was performed to select independent clinicopathologically prognostic factors for OS, and the results showed significant relationships for age, tumor grade and tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage (Table 1). Subsequent multivariable Cox regression analysis showed that risk score, age, tumor grade, and TNM stage were independent prognostic factors for OS (Table 2). To create a quantitative method to predict OS, we integrated the immune score and independent clinicopathological prognostic factors, including age, tumor grade, and TNM stage, to construct a nomogram (Figure 4A).

**Table 1. Results of Univariable Cox regression analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Training cohort</th>
<th>Validation &amp; Test cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95%CI)</td>
<td>$P$-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk score*</td>
<td>2.72 (2.40-3.08)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ($&gt;$60 vs $\leq$60)</td>
<td>1.71 (1.51-1.95)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (High vs Low)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.45-1.92)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (vs stage I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1.38 (1.13-1.68)</td>
<td>$0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.47 (1.94-3.15)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>6.83 (5.30-8.81)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtype (vs basal-like)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>1.22 (1.02-1.46)</td>
<td>$0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LumA</td>
<td>0.55 (0.46-0.66)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LumB</td>
<td>0.99 (0.84-1.16)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal like</td>
<td>1.13 (0.85-1.50)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continuous variable.

**Table 2. Results of Multivariable Cox regression analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Training cohort</th>
<th>Validation &amp; Test cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95%CI)</td>
<td>$P$-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk score*</td>
<td>1.62 (1.24-2.11)</td>
<td>$0.0004$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ($&gt;$60 vs $\leq$60)</td>
<td>1.49 (1.24-1.80)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (High vs Low)</td>
<td>1.30 (1.06-1.60)</td>
<td>$0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (vs stage I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1.27 (1.02-1.60)</td>
<td>$0.03$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.86 (2.06-3.98)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>3.40 (2.26-5.12)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtype (vs basal-like)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>1.31 (0.99-1.74)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LumA</td>
<td>0.97 (0.73-1.30)</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LumB</td>
<td>1.14 (0.88-1.49)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal like</td>
<td>1.19 (0.77-1.83)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continuous variable.

**Table 3. Harrell’s concordance indexes of TNM stage and nomogram system**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>C-index (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td>Nomogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>0.630 (0.619-0.640)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>0.674 (0.657-0.691)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
<td>0.512 (0.466-0.557)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To evaluate the predictive value of the nomogram, we compared Harrell’s concordance index (C-index) of the nomogram with standard TNM staging in the training, validation, and test cohorts, and as shown in Table 3, the nomogram system improved the prognostic model of BC in all. Based on calibration plots, the predicted 5-, 10-, and 20-year survival probabilities of the nomogram performed well in both the training and validation cohorts (Figure 4B). Similarly, the decision curve showed better predictive accuracy than the standard TNM staging system (Figure 4C).

**The prognostic immune score model predicted the clinical characteristics of breast cancer patients**

The relationship between the prognostic immune score and clinical characteristics was further investigated in the training and validation cohorts. In the former, the grade level ($p < 0.0001$), TNM stage ($p < 0.0001$), M category ($p < 0.0001$) and molecular subtype of BC ($p < 0.0001$) were significantly related to immune score, whereas age, T category and N category were not (Figure 5A). In the validation cohort (Figure 5B), a high immune score was positively associated with tumor grade ($p < 0.0001$), TNM stage ($p < 0.0001$), M category ($p < 0.0001$), N category ($p < 0.01$) and molecular subtype of BC ($p < 0.0001$).
The prognostic immune score model predicted differential expression of genes involved in T-cell signal transduction, immune checkpoint, inflammation and EMT

The immune score of 836 TCGA samples was determined using the prognostic immune formula. All the samples were classified into low- and high-risk groups using the cutoff of 0.374. Gene-set enrichment analysis (GSEA) indicated that the low-risk group was highly enriched in activation of the T cell receptor signaling pathway, antigen receptor-mediated signaling pathway, immunoglobulin production, and activation of the immune response (Figure 6A).
Figure 4. Construction of the nomogram system. (A) Nomogram predicting 5-, 10-, and 20-year overall survival for breast cancer patients in the training cohort based on immune score and other clinicopathological parameters. (B) The calibration curves of nomograms between predicted and observed 5-, 10- and 20-year OS in the training cohort. The dashed line of 45° represents the perfect prediction of the nomogram. (C) Decision curves of nomogram and TNM stage for 5-, 10- and 20-year outcome in the training cohort.

Figure 5. Stratified analysis of clinical characteristics for the immune score of the immune prognostic model. (A) Training cohort. (B) Validation cohort.
Figure 6. Bioinformatics analysis of the characteristics and signaling pathways among patients in different risk groups. (A) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) for biological pathways and processes correlated with immune score values in the cohort from TCGA. NES, normalized enrichment score; NOM p: Nominal p-value. (B) PD-1, PD-L1, and CTLA4 mRNA expression between the low- and high-risk groups in the cohort from TCGA. (C) Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes between the low- and high-risk groups in the cohort from TCGA. Genes labeled in red or green are significantly differentially up- or downregulated, respectively. FC: fold change; FDR: false discovery rate. (D) Gene Ontology analysis of the differentially expressed genes (DEGs). ECM: extracellular matrix; ECS: extracellular structure. GPCR: G Protein-Coupled Receptors.

Immune checkpoint blockade with immunotherapies, such as CTLA-4, PD-1, and PD-L1, has been proposed to be a promising approach to treat a variety of malignancies [38]. Thus, we determined the expression level of several key immune checkpoint regulators as well as inflammatory mediators. As presented in Figure 6B, CTLA-4, PD-1, and PD-L1 expression was significantly higher in the low-risk group (p < 0.0001). In addition, other important immunomodulators or inflammatory mediators were
increased in the low-risk group, including LAG3 ($p < 0.0001$), IL12A ($p < 0.01$), IL12B ($p < 0.0001$), IL6 ($p < 0.05$), IFNG ($p < 0.0001$), IDO1 ($p < 0.0001$), GZMB ($p < 0.0001$), and CD47 ($p < 0.01$) (Figure S5).

As a significant correlation between the M stage and immune score was observed in the training (Figure 5A) and validation (Figure 5B) cohorts, we further analyzed differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the low- and high-risk groups in the cohort from TCGA. A total of 218 DEGs (38 upregulated and 180 downregulated genes, FDR $p$-value $< 0.05$, Table S4) were identified in the high-risk group compared with the low-risk group. Among them, epithelial-mesenchymal transformation (EMT) markers such as MMP9, SPP1, MMP12, MMP13, and MMP1 were significantly overexpressed in the high-risk group (FDR $p$-value $< 0.05$, log FC $> 0.5$, Figure 6C). Furthermore, according to Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis, the genes in the high-risk group are mainly involved in extracellular matrix organization, extracellular structure organization, collagen catabolic process, collagen metabolic process, and extracellular matrix disassembly (Figure 6D).

**Discussion**

The immune environment that surrounds cancer tissues can detect these tissues and inhibit their growth [30]. In BC in particular, it has been reported that high levels of immune infiltration are associated with good clinical outcomes [4]. In this study, we used CIBERSORT, which uses algorithm that well accommodates a large number of tumor samples that have been profiled by RNA sequencing, to estimate the proportion of immune cells in BC. This approach provides an alternative to flow or mass cytometry-based methods, and the cumbersome techniques of immunostaining are circumvented. CIBERSORT can also utilize archived RNA and cellular samples [27]. Previous studies have validated the efficacy of the CIBERSORT technique in identifying a specific immune subset, which is a vast improvement over other techniques with very limited abilities [31-33]. Additionally, LASSO regression was applied to construct an immune cell infiltration score model, a model capable of predicting near accurate survival times, as used in previous studies [34, 35]. This immune score model is a novel prognostic tool designed to improve survival prediction after BC diagnosis. In this study, the immune score model was based on 22 immune cells, of which 12 showed a significant hazard ratio.

Moreover, the prognostic value of the immune score model was confirmed in training and validation cohorts. Our results showed a distinct separation of OS curves between patients who had high and low immune scores. In addition, the immune score was able to predict survival in the groups of patients, similar to TNM staging, indicating that such a model can be used for prognosis and may complement the existing TNM staging method.

Sufficient correlation between the immune score and expression of known inflammatory mediators such as PD-L1, CTLA-4, and LAG3 further supports its potential value [36, 37]. The survival probability in the training, validation and test cohorts revealed significantly decreased survival. It has been observed that the immune score’s predictive value may be suitable for large-scale data, and statistical significance was observed when the validation and test cohorts were combined.

According to the nomogram that included the immune score with TNM stage, a significant prognostic value was obtained by the combination compared to TNM stage alone. This is an indication that for prognosis, the immune score might be used to reinforce the prognostic ability of the TNM method. Indeed, the immune score value was verified in the nonoverlapping, validation cohort and in the test cohort, an indication of its utility in BC.

Adjuvant or neo-ACT is now regarded as the gold standard for the treatment of patients with stage II or III BC [38, 39]. Nonetheless, candidates still face the challenge as to the selection criteria that are likely to be beneficial, and this remains a controversy. Many studies have assessed the connection between TILs and how efficient they are with ACT [40-42]. Another study emphasized that high infiltration of immune cells contributes to an increased response to neo-ACT and ACT, and the use of chemotherapy to stimulate an anticancer immune response has been reported [43]. Recently, a clinical trial found that the induction of chemotherapy in TNBC causes a favorable tumor immunologic microenvironment and increases sensitivity to PD-1 blockade [44]. In another study by Wesolowski et al., the authors concluded that neo-ACT influences the immune microenvironment by downregulating CD4+ and upregulating CD8+ cells, which leads to a reduction in the number of TILs and CD8+ T cells in breast cancer samples [45]. These reports are consistent with our present observations, as we observed a statistically significant potential link with chemotherapy for the METABRIC cohort. In contrast, no statistical significance was detected for the test cohort, which may be due to the small sample size as well as the difficulty in setting an optimum cutoff value. Previous studies have reported that chemotherapy sensitivity may be related to levels of lymphocyte infiltration into the tumor [46, 47]. A possible mechanism involves the secretion of interferons by lymphocytes, which can sensitize cells...
to chemotherapy [48]. In this study, levels of inflammatory mediators such as CTLA-4, PD-1, and PD-L1 were significantly higher in the lower-risk group. Some studies have, however, reported contrary results, whereby an increase in CTLA-4, PD-1, and PD-L1 has been associated with worse outcomes in cancer [49, 50]. It is therefore important to verify this observation in a much broader database and in clinical samples. A similar trend for other critical immunomodulators or inflammatory mediators, such as LAG3, IL12A, IL12B, IL6, IFNG, IFNA1, IFNA2, IDO1, GZMB and CD47, was observed, with significantly higher expression in the low-risk group. This finding supports that interferon secretion might participate in the biological process of chemotheraphy sensitization in BC patients with a low immune score. Innate and adaptive immunity may also be activated by immunogenic tumor cell death [51].

Although this study provides important evidence on the use of the immune score model in the prognosis of BC, it has some shortcomings. The study relied on retrospective data and might have missed some important information for each patient. For instance, anti-inflammatory drug use and the possible presence of any immune disorder that has a significant effect on the progression of the disease might have been examined, which hitherto have been excluded [52, 53]. There is also difficulty in using a standardized cutoff for interpreting immunomodulators or inflammatory mediators, such as LAG3, IL12A, IL12B, IL6, IFNG, IFNA1, IFNA2, IDO1, GZMB and CD47, was observed, with significantly higher expression in the low-risk group. This finding supports that interferon secretion might participate in the biological process of chemotheraphy sensitization in BC patients with a low immune score. Innate and adaptive immunity may also be activated by immunogenic tumor cell death [51].

Methods

Study population and gene expression profiling

The specimens for the test cohort were collected with the approval of hospitals in China. A total of 183 patients with BC who underwent synchronous neoadjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy (anthracycline + cyclophosphamide followed by taxane, AC-T) followed by mastectomy between 2002 and 2012 were included based on the following criteria: (1) pathology confirmed as primary BC following surgery; (2) complete clinical records and follow-up information available; (3) no history of other tumors; and (4) written informed consent. The exclusion criteria were insufficient breast tissue and insufficient clinical data regarding outcomes. We used the International Union against Cancer TNM classification system (5th and 6th editions) to classify resected tissues [54]. Histological grades were classified as well-differentiated, moderately differentiated, and poorly differentiated. Clinical data were used for analysis based on ER, PR, HER2, and KI67 expression levels. In our case, the staff members processing the clinical data were blinded to the details of the study. All patients provided written informed consent before enrolling in the study. The follow-up end date was September 30th, 2018, and the median follow-up time was 31 months.

A total of 130 core biopsy specimens and 53 surgical samples were immersed into RNAlater™ solution (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) and stored at -80 ºC until further analysis.

Estimation of immune cell type fractions

Processed gene expression data were downloaded from public databases or obtained from raw files using the MAS5.0 algorithm and normalized using the limma package in R software (version 3.5.2) [55]. To quantify the abundance of 22 TIICs in BC specimens, we applied CIBERSORT, an analytical tool, to provide an estimation of the proportions of cell types in a mixed cell population using normalized data [27]. To run CIBERSORT, the following packages are required in R software: “e1071”, “parallel”, and “preprocessCore”. A file called “LM22.txt”, which contains a “signature matrix” of 547 genes, in R (obtained under Menu > Download from CIBERSORT web: https://cibersort.stanford.edu/download.php) is also required [56]. The 22 types of infiltrating immune cells inferred by CIBERSORT include B cells, T cells, natural killer cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, eosinophils, and neutrophils. CIBERSORT derives a P-value for the deconvolution of each sample using Monte Carlo sampling, providing a measure of confidence in the results. At a threshold of $p < 0.05$, 5,038 samples of the inferred fractions of immune cell populations produced by CIBERSORT were considered accurate [10]. The proportions of...
immune cells were predicted in each dataset separately.

**Sampling method**

To improve the precision and accuracy of the prognostic model, the 5,038 samples were separated into training and validation sets in a ratio of 7:3 using stratified random sampling. Important clinical covariates, including age, molecular subtype, grade, TNM stage, and survival status, were taken into consideration to ensure equal distributions in the training and validation sets.

**GSEA**

The transcriptome data of 836 BCs from TCGA were selected for GSEA analysis. For the cohort from TCGA, GSEA 4.0.3 software (downloaded from https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/downloads.jsp) was used to identify GO terms enriched between the low- and high-risk groups in the c5 GO database (c5.all.v6.2.symbols). The significance threshold was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Statistical analysis**

The Mann-Whitney U test was utilized to compare two groups and the Kruskal-Wallis test to compare multiple groups. Univariate, LASSO, and multivariate Cox regression analyses were applied to identify the most significant immune cells to build a prognostic model. Immune cells were considered significant when the \( p \)-value was \(<0.05\) in univariate Cox regression analysis. Subsequently, we used LASSO-penalized Cox regression to filter out less relevant factors. Finally, multivariate Cox regression analysis was applied to optimize the model. The optimal cutoff values were calculated based on the association between OS and cell fraction in the training cohort using the survminer package in R.

**Acknowledgments**

We are grateful to the patients and sample donors for their dedicated participation in the current study.

**Funding**

This work was supported by funds from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81902681, 81773279, 81872156, 81972569, 81772925).

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets or codes used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Author contributions**

SS, AX, CX, ZL equally contributed to this work. SS, AX, XX, ML and WD designed the study. SS, AX, CX, ZL, YH, GH, SS, QL, YS, YS, MN, WG, MC and XX performed the experiments and data interpretation. SS, CX, MN, ML, XX and WD wrote and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Competing Interests**

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

**References**


