SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

This file includes:

Supplementary Figures and Figure Legends

- Figure S1. The purity of the extracted CFs
- Figure S2. Expression of FccR1 and fibrotic genes after IgE treatment at different times and

doses in CFs

- Figure S3. Construction of FccR1-cKO mice
- Figure S4. Effect of CF FccR1 deletion on Ang II-infused mice
- Figure S5. Immunohistochemical staining of fibrotic markers in heart tissues from CF-
- specific FceR1 KO mice
- Figure S6. Basal levels of miRNAs in CFs
- Figure S7. Gene ontology and KEGG Pathway enrichment analyses for screening potential

miR-486a-5p targets

- Figure S8. Efficiency data for miR-486a-5p mimic and miR-486a-5p inhibitor
- Figure S9. Expression of SMAD2 and phospho-SMAD2 after miR-486a-5p overexpression

or knockdown in CFs

- Figure S10. SMAD1 mRNA expression after IgE stimulation in CFs
- Figure S11. Rescue assays performed in CFs
- Figure S12. Overexpression of lenti-miR486 indicated by GFP detection
- Figure S13. Effect of miR-486a-5p overexpression on Ang II-infused mice
- Figure S14. Immunohistochemical staining of fibrotic markers in heart tissues from miR-
- 486a-5p-overexpressed mice
- Figure S15. Effect of Ang II on WT and FccR1-KO CFs in vitro
- Figure S16. Effect of CF FccR1 deletion on Ang II-induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy

Figure S17. Expression of TGF-β after miR-486a-5p overexpression or knockdown in CFs

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Primer sequences (provided as an Excel file)

Table S2. Echocardiographic analysis of Ang II- or saline-infused FccR1-Flox and FccR1cKO mice

Table S3. Candidate miR-486a-5p targets predicted by Targetscan 7.1 and miRanda combined analyses (provided as an Excel file)

Table S4. GO analysis of the candidate miR-486a-5p targets (provided as an Excel file)

Table S5. KEGG analysis of the candidate miR-486a-5p targets (provided as an Excel file)

Table S6. Echocardiographic analysis of Ang II- or saline-infused WT mice treated with lenti-miR-486a-5p or scramble

Supplementary Figures and Figure Legends





Figure S1. The purity of the extracted CFs

Flow cytometry analysis of PDGFR- α (CD140a) ⁺ cells in the isolated primary mouse CFs.

Figure S2



Figure S2. Expression of FccR1 and fibrotic genes after IgE treatment at different times and doses in CFs

A. *Fcer1a* mRNA expression levels in mouse primary CFs after IgE stimulation at different concentrations (0, 1, 2, and 5 µg/ml). **B–D.** qPCR analysis of key fibrotic genes (*a-SMA*, *Col1a1*, and *Col3a1*) mRNA expression in 0, 1, 2, 5, and 10 µg/ml IgE-stimulated FccR1-WT CFs. **E.** Immunoblot analysis of α -SMA, COL1A1, and COL3A1 protein expression after IgE treatment of CFs at 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 hours. Data are mean ± SD from 3 independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ***p < 0.001 by *one-way ANOVA* with Bonferroni's post hoc test.



Figure S3. Construction of FccR1-cKO mice

A–B. The construction pipeline (A) and identification (B) of FccR1-cKO mice.





Figure S4. Effect of CFs FccR1 deletion on Ang II-infused mice

A. Systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and mean blood pressure (MBP) were measured by non-invasive tail-cuff monitor in Ang II- or saline-infused FccR1-Flox and FccR1-cKO mice. **B.** ELISA analysis of serum IgE in Ang II- or saline-infused FccR1-Flox and FccR1-cKO mice. **C.** Left ventricular weight versus body weight after 2-week Ang II treatment in FccR1-Flox and FccR1-cKO mice. **D-E.** Representative heart sections examined by Masson (**D**) and Sirius Red staining (**E**). Scale bars, 1 mm. Total n = 5 (Saline/FccR1-Flox), n = 5 (Saline/FccR1-cKO), n = 10 (Ang II/FccR1-Flox) or n = 9 (Ang II/FccR1-cKO) per group. The results are shown as mean \pm SEM. **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001, n.s. indicates no significance in *Two-way ANOVA* with Bonferroni's post hoc test.



Figure S5. Immunohistochemical staining of fibrotic markers in heart tissues from CFspecific FceR1 KO mice

A-H. Representative images of POSTN (A), α -SMA (C), COL1A1 (E) and COL3A1 (G) staining in heart tissues from Ang II- or Saline-infused FccR1-cKO or FccR1-Flox mice. Images were taken at 400X magnification. Scale bars, 50 µm. Quantification of POSTN (B), α -SMA (D), COL1A1 (F) and COL3A1 (H) staining. A total of nine fields from three sections (three fields from each section) per mouse were randomly selected for analysis. Total n = 5 (Saline/FccR1-Flox), n = 5 (Saline/



Figure S6. Basal levels of miRNAs in CFs

Basal expressions of three candidate miRNAs (miR-467a-3p, miR-196a-5p, and miR-486a-5p) in CFs detected by qPCR. Results are shown as mean \pm SD. Data are mean \pm SD from 3 independent experiments.



Figure S7. Gene ontology and KEGG Pathway enrichment analyses for screening potential miR-486a-5p targets

A–B. The predicted candidates from the Targetscan7.1 and miRanda intersection were analyzed by GO and KEGG pathway bioinformatic analyses. (A) Upper panel: bar chart of the top fifteen GO terms, listed by $-\log 10 p$ value. The x-axis shows the gene counts in each GO term and y-axis shows GO terms. Lower panel: The high-frequency genes enriched in top 15 GO. (B) upper panel: The enriched KEGG pathways of predicted targets of miR-486a-5p. The x-axis shows the gene counts in each KEGG pathway and y-axis shows KEGG pathways. Lower panel: The high-frequency genes enriched in top 10 KEGG pathways. By overlapping the results from GO and KEGG analyses, three genes (*Smad1, Smad2* and *Igf1r*) were identified and marked in red.



Figure S8. Efficiency data for miR-486a-5p mimic and miR-486a-5p inhibitor

A-B. Expression of miR-486a-5p in CFs after transfected with miR-486a-5p mimic (A) or miR-486a-5p inhibitor (B) detected by qPCR (fold change versus Scramble controls). Data are mean \pm SD from 3 independent experiments. All statistics were performed using Student's *t*-test. ****p < 0.0001, **p < 0.01.



Figure S9. Expression of SMAD2 and phospho-SMAD2 after miR-486a-5p overexpression or knockdown in CFs

A–B. Representative immunoblot (**A**) and quantification analysis (**B**) of SMAD2 and phospho-SMAD2 expression in CFs after transfected with miR-486a-5p mimic or scrambled control. **C–D.** Representative immunoblot (**C**) and quantification analysis (**D**) of SMAD2 and phospho-SMAD2 in CFs after transfected with miR-486a-5p inhibitor or scrambled control. Data are mean \pm SD from 3 independent experiments. All statistics were performed using Student's *t*-test. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.



Figure S10. Smad1 mRNA expression after IgE stimulation in CFs

A–B. qPCR analysis of *Smad1* mRNA expression in IgE-stimulated FccR1-WT (A) and FccR1-KO (B) CFs at indicated times (0, 3, 24 h). Data are mean \pm SD from 3 independent experiments. **p < 0.01, n.s. indicates no significance in *One-way ANOVA* with Bonferroni's post hoc test.



Figure S11. Rescue assays performed in CFs

A–B. CFs were transfected with miR-486a-5p mimic or scrambled control for 24 hours and then treated with IgE for another 24 hours. qPCR analysis of miR-486a-5p (**A**), *Smad1*, *Col1a1*, and *Col3a1* (**B**) expression. Data are mean \pm SD from 3 independent experiments. All statistics were performed using *Two-way ANOVA* with Bonferroni's post hoc test. ****p < 0.0001.



Figure S12. Overexpression of lenti-miR486 indicated by GFP detection

A. Immunoblot analysis of GFP expression to verify that the lentiviruses were delivered successfully to the heart tissue. **B.** Representative images of immunofluorescence analysis of α -SMA (red), GFP (green) and DAPI (blue) on the heart sections from GFP lentivirus-injected mice and negative controls. Scale bars, 50 µm. DAPI, 4'6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.

Figure S13



Figure S13. Effect of miR-486a-5p overexpression on Ang II-infused mice

A. Systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and mean blood pressure (MBP) were measured by non-invasive tail-cuff monitor in lenti-miR486 or scramble-treated Ang II- or saline-infused mice. **B.** Left ventricular weight versus body weight after lenti-miR486 or scramble-treated Ang II-or saline-infused mice. **C–D.** Representative heart sections examined by Masson (C) and Sirius Red staining (D). Scale bars, 1 mm. Total n = 5 (Saline/Scramble), n = 6 (Saline/Lenti-miR486), n = 10 (Ang II/Scramble), or n = 8 (Ang II/Lenti-miR486) per group. The results are shown as mean \pm SEM. **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001, n.s. indicates no significance in *Two-way* ANOVA with Bonferroni's post hoc test.



Figure S14. Immunohistochemical staining of fibrotic markers in heart tissues from miR-486a-5p-overexpressed mice

A-H. Representative images of POSTN (A), α -SMA (C), COL1A1 (E), and COL3A1 (G) staining of heart tissues from lenti-miR-486a-5p (lenti-miR486) and scramble treated Ang IIor saline-infused WT mice. Images were taken at 400X magnification. Scale bars, 50 µm. Quantification of POSTN (B), α -SMA (D), COL1A1 (F), and COL3A1 (H) staining. A total of nine fields from three sections (three fields from each section) per mouse were randomly selected for analysis. Total n = 5 (Saline/Scramble), n = 6 (Saline/Lenti-miR486), n = 10 (Ang II/Scramble), or n = 8 (Ang II/Lenti-miR486) per group. The results are shown as mean \pm SEM. ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, n.s. indicates no significance in *Two-way* ANOVA with Bonferroni's post hoc test.

Figure S15



Figure S15. Effect of Ang II on WT and FccR1-KO CFs in vitro

A-B. qPCR analysis of *a-SMA* and *Colla1* mRNA expression in WT (A) and FccR1-KO (B) CFs after IgE after IgE treatment for 24h. Results are shown as mean±SD. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by Student's *t*-test.



Figure S16. Effect of CF FccR1 deletion on Ang II-induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy

A-B. WGA (green) staining of cardiac sections (6 μ m) from Ang II- or saline-infused FccR1-Flox and FccR1-cKO mice. 450 cells per mouse were randomly selected from 9 fields in three sections (three random fields from each section) were measured. Scale bars, 50 μ m. Total n = 5 (Saline/FccR1-Flox), n = 5 (Saline/cKO), n = 10 (Ang II/FccR1-Flox), or n = 9 (Ang II/cKO) per group. Results are shown as mean ± SEM. ****p < 0.0001, n.s indicates no significance in *Two-way ANOVA* with Bonferroni's post hoc test.



Figure S17. Expression of TGF-β after miR-486a-5p overexpression or knockdown in CFs

A. Western blot analysis of TGF- β 1 protein expression in CFs after transfected with miR-486a-5p mimic or scrambled control. **B.** Western blot analysis of TGF- β protein expression in CFs after transfected with miR-486a-5p inhibitor or scrambled control.

Supplementary Tables

	Saline-treated		Ang II-treated	
-	FceR1-Flox mice (n = 5)	FceR1-cKO mice (n = 5)	FccR1-Flox mice (n = 10)	FceR1-cKO mice (n = 9)
LVAW;d (mm)	0.76 ± 0.01	0.75 ± 0.02	$1.11\pm0.02^{\dagger\dagger\dagger\dagger\dagger}$	$0.90 \pm 0.04^{****}$
LVAW;s (mm)	1.17 ± 0.04	1.08 ± 0.06	$1.59\pm0.03^{\dagger\dagger\dagger\dagger}$	$1.37 \pm 0.06 **$
LVID;d (mm)	3.99 ± 0.14	4.05 ± 0.09	3.65 ± 0.11	3.94 ± 0.07
LVID;s (mm)	2.80 ± 0.09	2.88 ± 0.07	2.64 ± 0.07	2.93 ± 0.10
LVPW;d (mm)	0.75 ± 0.01	0.82 ± 0.04	$1.18\pm0.08^{\dagger\dagger}$	0.97 ± 0.04
LVPW;s (mm)	1.04 ± 0.02	1.14 ± 0.10	$1.42\pm0.09^{\dagger}$	1.22 ± 0.04
EF (%)	57.62 ± 0.55	56.34 ± 1.03	54.04 ± 2.70	50.97 ± 2.73
FS (%)	29.89 ± 0.42	29.08 ± 0.68	27.57 ± 1.69	25.75 ± 1.65
LV mass AW	108.85 ± 4.73	117.71 ± 5.11	$169.02 \pm 6.31^{\dagger\dagger\dagger\dagger}$	$142.48\pm6.82\texttt{*}$
LV Mass (correct)	87.08 ± 3.78	94.17 ± 4.09	$135.22 \pm 5.05^{\dagger\dagger\dagger\dagger}$	$113.98 \pm 5.46*$
Heart rate (HR, beats/min)	498.80 ± 15.14	516.80 ± 16.33	482.40 ± 10.76	504.22 ± 10.83

Table S2. Echocardiographic analysis of Ang II- or saline-infused FccR1-Flox and FccR1-cKO mice

The results are shown as mean \pm SEM.

Ang II-treated FccR1-cKO versus Ang II-treated FccR1-Flox mice, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.0001.

Ang II-treated FccR1-Flox mice versus Saline-treated FccR1-Flox mice, $^{\dagger}p < 0.05$, $^{\dagger\dagger}p < 0.01$, $^{\dagger\dagger\dagger\dagger}p < 0.0001$.

Abbreviations: LVAW;d: left ventricular anterior wall thickness in diastole; LVAW;s: left ventricular anterior wall thickness in systole; LVID;d: left ventricular internal diameter in diastole; LVID;s: left ventricular internal diameter in systole; LVPW;s: left ventricular posterior wall thickness in systole; LVPW;d: left ventricular posterior wall thickness in diastole. EF: ejection fraction; FS: fraction shortening; LV mass AW: left ventricle mass anterior wall. LV Vol;d: left ventricular volume in diastole ; LV vol;s: left ventricular volume in systole.

	Saline-treated		Ang II-treated	
_	Scramble (n = 5)	Lenti-miR-486a-5p (n = 6)	Scramble (n = 10)	Lenti-miR-486a-5p (n = 8)
LVAW;d (mm)	0.82 ± 0.06	0.87 ± 0.05	$1.07\pm0.05^{\dagger}$	0.84 ± 0.04 **
LVAW;s (mm)	1.17 ± 0.07	1.25 ± 0.09	$1.59\pm0.05^{\dagger\dagger}$	1.19 ± 0.09 **
LVID;d (mm)	3.72 ± 0.08	3.74 ± 0.13	3.54 ± 0.10	3.79 ± 0.13
LVID;s (mm)	2.50 ± 0.08	2.50 ± 0.14	2.34 ± 0.11	2.71 ± 0.19
LVPW;d (mm)	0.82 ± 0.03	0.80 ± 0.04	1.01 ± 0.05	0.84 ± 0.04
LVPW;s (mm)	1.13 ± 0.03	1.24 ± 0.05	1.41 ± 0.08	1.26 ± 0.06
EF (%)	62.13 ± 1.81	62.59 ± 2.62	63.39 ± 3.45	55.44 ± 4.77
FS (%)	32.89 ± 1.24	33.34 ± 1.88	34.17 ± 2.44	28.89 ± 2.97
LV mass AW	107.95 ± 1.97	110.42 ± 4.80	$140.96 \pm 6.73^{\dagger\dagger}$	$114.83 \pm 5.86*$
LV Mass (correct)	86.36 ± 1.58	88.34 ± 3.84	$112.77\pm5.38^{\dagger\dagger}$	$91.86\pm4.69*$
Heart rate (HR, beats/min)	515.20 ± 7.61	509.33 ± 12.71	502.80 ± 10.30	527.25 ± 6.07

Table S6. Echocardiographic analysis of Ang II- or saline-infused WT mice treated with lenti-miR-486a-5p or scramble

The results are shown as mean \pm SEM.

Ang II-treated Scramble group versus Ang II-treated Lenti-miR-486a-5p group, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

Ang II-treated Scramble group versus Saline-treated Scramble group, $^{\dagger}p < 0.05$, $^{\dagger\dagger}p < 0.01$.

Abbreviations: LVAW;d: left ventricular anterior wall thickness in diastole; LVAW;s: left ventricular anterior wall thickness in systole; LVID;d: left ventricular internal diameter in diastole; LVID;s: left ventricular internal diameter in systole; LVPW;s: left ventricular posterior wall thickness in systole; LVPW;d: left ventricular posterior wall thickness in diastole. EF: ejection fraction; FS: fraction shortening; LV mass AW: left ventricle mass anterior wall. LV Vol;d: left ventricular volume in diastole ; LV vol;s: left ventricular volume in systole.