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Plaque-targeted, proteolysis-resistant, activatable and MRI-visible nano-GLP-1 receptor agonist targets smooth muscle cell differentiation in atherosclerosis
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Abstract

**Background:** Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R) agonists are powerful glycemia-lowering agents, which have systematically been shown to lower cardiovascular events and mortality. These beneficial effects were difficult to pinpoint within atherosclerotic plaque due to lack of particular specificity of such agonists to the vascular cells and an inadequate understanding of the GLP-1R expression in atherosclerosis. Here, we hypothesized that the direct engagement of the GLP-1R in atherosclerosis by targeted agonists will alleviate vascular inflammation and plaque burden, even at a very low dose.

**Methods:** The expression of GLP-1 receptor (GLP-1R, Glp1r mRNA) in human lesions with pathologic intimal thickening, Apoe−/− mouse atheroma and cultured immune/non-immune cells was investigated using genetic lineage tracing, Southern blotting and validated antisera against human GLP-1R. Protease-resistant and “activatable” nanoparticles (NPs) carrying GLP-1R agonist liraglutide (GlpNP) were engineered and synthesized. Inclusion of gadolinium chelates into GlpNP allowed for imaging by MRI. Atherosclerotic Apoe−/− mice were treated intravenously with a single dose (30 µg/kg of liraglutide) or chronically (1 µg/kg, 6 weeks, 2x/week) with GlpNP, liraglutide or control NPs, followed by assessment of metabolic parameters, atheroma burden, inflammation and vascular function.

**Results:** Humal plaque specimens expressed high levels of GLP-1R within the locus of de-differentiated smooth muscle cells that also expressed myeloid marker CD68. However, innate immune cells under a variety of conditions expressed very low levels of Glp1r, as seen in lineage tracing and Southern blotting experiments examining full-length open reading frame mRNA transcripts. Importantly, de-differentiated vascular smooth muscle cells demonstrated significant Glp1r expression levels, suggesting that these could represent the cells with predominant Glp1r-positivity in atherosclerosis. GlpNP resisted proteolysis and demonstrated biological activity including in vivo glycemia lowering at 30 µg/kg and in vitro cholesterol efflux. Activatable properties of GlpNP were confirmed in vitro by imaging cytometry and in vivo using whole organ imaging. GlpNP targeted CD11b+/CD11c+ cells in circulation and smooth muscle cells in aortic plaque in Apoe−/− mice when assessed by MRI and fluorescence imaging. At a very low dose of 1 µg/kg, previously known to have little effect on glycemia and weight loss, GlpNP delivered i.v. for six weeks reduced triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in plasma, plaque burden and plaque cholesterol without significant effects on weight, glycemia and plasma cholesterol levels.
Conclusions: GlpNP improves atherosclerosis at weight-neutral doses as low as 1 µg/kg with the effects independent from the pancreas or the central nervous system. Our study underlines the importance of direct actions of GLP-1 analogs on atherosclerosis, involving cholesterol efflux and inflammation. Our findings are the first to suggest the therapeutic modulation of vascular targets by GlpNP, especially in the context of smooth muscle cell inflammation.

Key words: GLP-1, atherosclerosis, nanomedicine, MRI, smooth muscle cells

Introduction

Glucagon like peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R) agonists have been shown to have an important role in reducing major adverse cardiovascular events [1]. However, the mechanisms of action have been difficult to unravel given the pleiotropic impact of these agents on the cardiovascular system, including weight loss, reduction in atherogenic lipoproteins and inflammation, as well as blood pressure lowering [2]. In vitro studies and experimental animal models with GLP-1R agonists have demonstrated consistent reduction in atherosclerosis which have been theorized, at least in part, to be mediated through GLP-1R and cAMP signaling pathways [3]. This benefit on atherosclerosis has resulted in the implicit assumption that the GLP-1R is expressed widely in the cardiovascular system. However, a number of studies primarily in non-atherosclerotic models and in heart transplant recipients have demonstrated a rather restricted spatial expression of the receptor in the vasculature and myocardium, where a low levels of Glp1r mRNA transcripts were found in specific cells including smooth muscle cells and atrial cell populations [4,5]. Importantly, examining full-length Glp1r mRNA encoding for GLP-1R open reading frame remains the only reliable method for investigation of the Glp1r expression in rodent tissues and cells, as many commercially available antibodies proved to be non-specific [5,6].

Here, we set out to investigate whether plaque-specific delivery of ultra-small, targeted doses of GLP-1R may allow decoupling of systemic effects of GLP-1R activation from those that may be spatially restricted to the vascular compartment. In a proof-of-concept study we show that the delivery of microdoses of Glp1r agonist by means of “activatable” nanoparticles, via an engineered drug delivery vehicle enables spatially restricted and site-specific activation in atherosclerosis. Additionally, we investigated the cell-specific expression and localization of Glp1r in the atherosclerotic plaque, plaque-derived cells and cultured primary cells together with coordinate experiments in human atherosclerosis.

Methods

Detailed methods are provided in the Supplementary material online. Specific identifiers, such as catalog numbers, of reagents, supplies and materials used in this study are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Animals

Mice homozygous for the Apoe<sup>tm1Unc</sup> mutation were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Glp1<sup>−/−</sup> and Glp1r<sup>Cre/ROSA26R-YFP</sup> were obtained from Dr. Jixin Zhong’s laboratory and generated as previously described [7]. Mice were housed in AAALAC-accredited facilities at Case Western Reserve University, University of Maryland, and Ohio State University. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approved the experimental procedures described herein. Mice were purchased at 10 weeks old and acclimated in the facility for 2 weeks, following which a high-fat 1.25 % cholesterol diet (Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ) was given ad libitum (western diet, WD). In chronic GlpNP treatment studies, male mice were fed for 24 weeks followed by randomization and treatment. Nine mice were in liraglutide, eight mice in GlpNP, and seven in the control group. In Glp1r expression determination experiments, 10 mice were fed WD for 15 weeks and 11 mice were fed WD for 30 weeks. Eight littermate controls were fed a chow diet for 30 weeks. For atherosclerosis studies, liraglutide or nanoparticles were administered via tail-vein or penile-vein injections at 30 µg/kg (based on liraglutide) in a single dose experiment and 1 µg/kg/two times a week for six weeks in chronic experiments. For baseline sample collection, mice were fasted for 12 h and 20-50 µL of blood was drawn from the tail nick. Blood was analyzed as described below. In terminal chronic experiments, mice were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation followed by death and is more than 30% of the chamber volume per minute in euthanasia apparatus. The methods for euthanasia used are consistent with the recommendations of the Panel on Euthanasia of the American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA). Accordingly, animals were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation at gas flow rates recommended by AVMA as follows: displacing no more than 30% of the chamber volume per minute in euthanasia apparatus. This method allows for slow onset CO₂ narcosis followed by death and is more humane than higher flow rates. Secondary euthanasia
method (cervical dislocation) was always used to ensure non-revival. Blood was drawn by cardiac puncture and aortas were collected either in optimal cutting temperature compound (for laser capture) or in buffered 4% formaldehyde (for immunohistochemistry). Liver and other tissues were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at -80°C until RNA and lipid isolation for further analysis.

**Primary mouse aortic smooth muscle cells (MASMCs)**

MASMCs were obtained from 4-6 week old ApoE<sup>-/-</sup> female mouse aortas as previously described [8]. Briefly, aortas were cleaned and digested in a cocktail consisting of 1 mg/mL collagenase II, 1 mg/mL soybean trypsin inhibitor, and 0.7 U/mL elastase in Hank’s balanced salt solution with calcium and magnesium (HBSS) for 10 min at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The adventitia was then removed under a dissecting microscope, and endothelial cells were scraped off using a pipet tip to expose the luminal surface. The 4-5 aortas were pooled and minced into <1 mm pieces that were further digested for 1 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ to release MASMCs. The cells were then cultured in a 48-well plate at a density of cells from 2 aortas/well in DMEM-F-12 containing 20% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 1 mM GlutaMAX. When the cells were 80-90% confluent, they were passaged into a 24-well Corning Primaria plate at a 1:4 ratio and cultured as indicated below when ~70% confluent. This was followed by cell lysis in TRIzol reagent and RNA isolation. In some experiments, the MASMCs were seeded in Ibidi 8-well chamber slides followed by treatment, fixation, staining (see below) and immunofluorescence analysis. MASMCs were used between passages 2 and 3 in all experiments.

**Cell treatment**

BMDMs, MASMCs and 3B11 were switched from normal culture medium to serum-free DMEM containing 0.1% BSA. Primary endothelial cells were cultured in complete medium. The following treatments were applied for 48 h: 10 µg/mL cholesterol water-soluble (Chol), 2 µg/mL CP-113,818 (ACATi), combination of both Chol and ACATi, 100 nM liraglutide, combination of three: Chol, ACATi and liraglutide. Separately, 100 µg/mL of 2 µm polystyrene latex beads (Beads) were also used. In separate experiments, modified lipoproteins were added to BMDMs at a concentration of 0.5 µg/mL for 48 h. UV-induced apoptotic bodies (UV-AB) were generated by exposure of viable Jurkat cells to UV light (254 nm) for 5 min followed by culture at 37°C until 30-50% viable as per trypan blue exclusion test. These UV-AB were then added to BMDMs at 10<sup>4</sup> dead cells per 10<sup>6</sup> of viable BMDMs and incubated for 48 h. For TGFβ treatment of bone-marrow monocytes, the bone marrow cells were isolated in the same manner as for BMDM differentiation and cultured in the presence of 40 ng/mL of macrophage colony-stimulating factor (MCSF) for 2 days. This was followed by the withdrawal of MCSF and the addition of mouse recombinant TGFβ at 1 ng/mL. These cells were cultured for an additional 4 days with a new medium containing fresh TGFβ replenished daily. For TGFβ treatment of primary mouse carotid artery endothelial cells, the cells were treated in complete medium supplemented with 50 ng/mL of mouse recombinant TGFβ and cultured for seven days with a new medium containing fresh TGFβ replenished daily.

**Southern blotting**

At least 200 ng of total RNA from the tissue or cell lysates was isolated, digested with DNase I to remove genomic DNA and purified using Zymo Clean & Concentrator kit as per manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA synthesis and PCR amplification were performed using Superscript IV and SuperFi II high fidelity polymerase respectively and as per the manufacturer’s instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific). The sequences for primers and probes used in PCR and hybridization are presented in Supplementary Table S1 and were custom-synthesized according to previously described sequences [9]. PCR products resulting from 35 amplification cycles (for both Glp1r and Hprt) were loaded on 1% agarose gel and resolved in borate-lithium acetate buffer pH 7 at 200 V. The products were transferred overnight at room temperature to a positively-charged nylon membrane using a standard downward transfer [10] in a 10xSSC buffer (see below). The membranes were then cross-linked (UV light, 5 min, 254 nm) using Azure C400 transilluminator, washed with water and dried at 60°C for 30 min. Rolled membranes were placed in a hybridization tubes and pre-hybridized for 1 h at 44°C in a hybridization oven in a hybridization buffer consisting of 5xSSC, 1% dextran sulfate, 4 M urea and 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). 20xSSC contained 3 M NaCl and 300 mM sodium citrate, pH 7.0 and was diluted with distilled water as required. After pre-hybridization, the membranes were hybridized for 18 h at 44°C in the same buffer with 1 pM internal digoxigenin-labeled probe (see Supplementary Table S1). Next, the membranes were subjected to stringency washes: twice under a low stringency (2xSSC, 0.1% SDS, 5 min, room temperature) and three times under a high stringency (0.1xSSC, 1% SDS, 30 min, 44°C) conditions. After stringency washes, the
membranes were washed and blocked using DIG Wash and Block Buffer Set (Roche/Sigma-Aldrich) according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Finally, the membranes were immunoprobed with a polyclonal anti-digoxigenin alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibody at 1:20,000 dilution and developed with CDP-star chemiluminescent substrate (both from Roche/Sigma-Aldrich), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The signal was recorded using Azure C400 imager and quantified with Azure Spot software. Agarose gels containing Hprt-amplified PCR products were stained with SYBR Gold nucleic acid gel stain applied at 1:10,000 in borate-lithium acetate buffer pH 7 for 30 min, and were imaged using UV transilluminator of Azure C400 and quantified with Azure Spot software. The chemiluminescence signal from southern hybridization was normalized to the signal from SYBR Gold-stained gels.

**Immunofluorescence studies**

MASMCs were seeded in chambered coverslips (Ibidi GmbH) as per manufacturer’s instructions. After cells were attached, the cells were treated as described above and in the figure legend. The cells were washed with PBS three times and fixed in 2% buffered formalin for 10 min at 4°C. The cells were incubated in a blocking buffer consisting of 50% fish serum (Aqua block) and 0.2% Triton X100 in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Next, the cells were probed overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies against mouse αSMA mAb at a 1:500 dilution, rabbit SM22 and SM-MHC pAbs both at a 1:200 dilution, rat Mac3 (Cd107b) mAb at a 1:30 dilution, and rat LGALS3 (galectin-3) mAb at a 1:50 dilution, GLP-1R mAb 7F38 at a 1:30 dilution in 50% Aqua block containing 0.1% Triton X100. After five washes with cold PBS, the cells were incubated with secondary antibodies: goat anti-rat IgG Alexa Fluor 594 for Mac3 and galectin-3 mAbs-treated coverslips, goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor Plus 488 for αSMA treated coverslips, goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor Plus 594 for SM22 and SM-MHC treated coverslips and goat anti-mouse IgG2a (isotype-specific) Alexa Fluor 647. All secondary antibodies were used at a 1:250 dilution from a 2 mg/mL stock and the incubation proceeded for 2 h at a room temperature in the dark. During the last 10 min of incubation, Hoechst 33342 was added at 10 µg/mL. The coverslips were washed five times with PBS and imaged immediately with Olympus FV1200 confocal microscope or Keyence BZX-700 fluorescence microscope.

For immunofluorescence studies in mouse brachiocephalic artery (BCA) and aortic root, 10 µm pre-dried frozen sections were fixed by immersion in acetone for 10 min at -20°C, drying and rehydrating. Blocking and staining with primary and fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies was accomplished as above for chambered coverslips, with the exception that Triton X100 was omitted from incubation buffers. Antibodies were LGALS3 used at 1:50 dilution and αSMA used at 1:200 dilution or goat anti-αSMA used at 1:500 dilution. GLP-1R mAb 7F38 was used at a 1:20 dilution. Secondary antibodies were used as described above for cultured MASMCs staining. Vectashield mounting medium with DAPI was used to stain nuclei and mount the slides.

**Nanoparticle and GlpNP synthesis and analysis**

GlpNP and control nanoparticles (with and without PtdSer or liraglutide) were prepared by vesicle fusion and screened using different concentrations of phosphatidylinerine (PtdSer), gelatin nanoparticles, and DSPE-PEG2000 (1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[amino(polyethylene glycol)-2000). First, gelatin nanoparticles were prepared as previously described with modifications [11]. Briefly, gelatin type A was dissolved at 50 mg/mL in deionized (DI) water at 40°C using continuous stirring on a temperature-regulated water bath. To the resulting solution an equal volume of acetone was added at 6.0 mL/min using a syringe pump while stirring at room temperature. Upon acetone addition, the stirring was stopped and the solution was left at room temperature for one minute to allow for layer separation. The upper layer containing the low molecular weight gelatin was then discarded and an equal amount of DI water was added to the lower layer and mixed by bath sonication. The resulting gelatin nanoparticles were analyzed by dynamic light scattering and the protein concentration was determined using Bradford protein assay with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard.

Latex nanoparticles containing PtdSer, gadolinium lipids and fluorophore were prepared as previously described in great detail by our group [12]. Next, a library of gelatin-latex nanoparticles was created using various concentrations of gelatin, DSPE-PEG2000 and latex (0.028±0.02 µm). The concentration of the components and physicochemical properties of some such nanoparticles is presented in Supplemental Table S2. To fuse gelatin and latex nanoparticles, the particles were mixed at various ratios in the presence or absence of 1 mg/mL liraglutide and bath-sonicated for 10 min at 200 W on ice. The particles were pelleted at 150,000 g x 20 min at 4°C (Thermo Sorvall MX 120 Plus micro-ultracentrifuge, S120-AT3 rotor) and resuspended in
Bone marrow and peritoneum of Apoe-/- mice. The transcripts in cultured primary cells derived from the cells [14], we analyzed the levels of evaporative light scattering detector at 50 °C and other lipids, including Gd-lipids (SEDEX 90LT MultiporePW-H column and TSKgel G5000PXL both connected in series. These were TSKgel Super chromatography on two Tosoh TSKgel columns.

The particle size was measured using dynamic light scattering (DLS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) after negative staining with uranyl acetate. FEI Technai G2 Spirit TEM operating at 80 kV was used to visualize GlpNP. The liraglutide concentration was determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as described below. The nanoparticle purity (absence of non-incorporated gelatin and lipids) was confirmed using gel filtration chromatography on two Tosoh TSKgel columns connected in series. These were TSKgel Super MultiporePW-H column and TSKgel G5000PXL both from Tosoh Bioscience (King of Prussia, PA). Shimadzu HPLC system was used as described below for liraglutide analysis. The analysis of the nanoparticles was performed after injection of 20 µL 0.1 mg/mL GlpNP or corresponding individual components of GlpNP and elution at 0.6 mL/min with 0.1 M ammonium formate in 10% acetonitrile in water at pH 6.5. Multimode detection was used to simultaneously detect gelatin (UV detector at 280 nm), fluorescent polystyrene (fluorescence detector at 480 nm excitation and 520 nm emission), and DSPE-PEG + other lipids, including Gd-lipids (SEDEX 90LT evaporative light scattering detector at 50°C and nitrogen gas pressure set at 3.5 bar).

**Results**

**Glp1r is expressed in human and murine atherosclerotic plaque**

Given the importance of myeloid cells in pathogenesis of atherosclerosis [13] and the previously reported expression of Glp1r in myeloid cells [14], we analyzed the levels of Glp1r mRNA transcripts in cultured primary cells derived from the bone marrow and peritoneum of Apoe-/- mice. The expression of Glp1r was low or undetected in these cells (Supplementary material online, Figure S1A). The differentiation of these cells into macrophages and dendritic cells, or treatment with a panel of cytokines, oxidized LDL and apoptotic bodies to modulate their inflammatory/anti-inflammatory sub-phenotypes did not result in the induction of Glp1r expression (Supplementary material online, Figure S1A). Using a lineage tracking model of mice expressing Cre recombinase under the Glp1r promoter crossed with a ROSA26 eYFP reporter strain, low levels of GLP-1R expression were detected in splenic lymphoid and myeloid cell populations consistent with our observations in cells from Apoe-/- mice (Supplementary material online, Figure S1B). However, Glp1r mRNA was notably elevated in whole atherosclerotic aortas of high-fat-fed (30 weeks on a Western diet) Apoe-/- mice as compared to those from wild type, age-matched animals (Supplementary material online, Figure S1C).

To understand the relevance of these findings in human atherosclerosis, a library of human atherosclerotic plaques with varying stages of atherosclerosis was screened for their immuno reactivity to extensively validated 3F52 anti-GLP-1R monoclonal antibody [15]. GLP-1R expression was noted in areas of the intima and adventitia in close proximity to CD68+ cells in plaque (Supplementary material online, Figure S1E). Similarly, in brachiocephalic arteries (BCA) of high-fat-fed Apoe-/- mice (30 weeks on a Western diet) GLP-1R expression assessed with a validated monoclonal antibody mAb 7F38 demonstrated disperse staining with high intensity in artery media (Figure SIF). When examined across a range of atherosclerosis stages (e.g. diffuse intimal thickening, fatty infiltration, fatty streak, and pathological intimal thickening (PIT)), GLP-1R expression (Figure 1A-H), Supplementary material online, Figure S2-S4) appeared to be expressed predominantly in Type III lesions (PIT) which are known for the accumulation of intimal smooth cells with macrophage infiltration and lipid pool formation [16]. GLP-1R expression in human specimens was noted almost exclusively in the intima (Figure 1D, E) with some expression in the adventitia (Figure 1F). Notably, most of the GLP-1R signal in the intimal lesions was observed within the large pool of αSMA+ cells, suggesting a potential smooth muscle cell origin (Supplementary material online, Figures S2-S3) [17]. When co-stained with antibodies against alpha-smooth muscle actin (αSMA), GLP-1R+ cells localized within the intimal space, demonstrated spindle shape usually characteristic of vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs), and confined within a large lipid pool, however without complete colocalization. In contrast, mouse BCA sections co-stained with antibodies against αSMA, demonstrated medium-to-high level of co-localization (Figures SIF).

Primary mouse CD11b+ but not CD11c+ cells expressed Glp1r (Figure II), although the levels were low compared to pancreas. The expression levels in the negative fraction (CD11b+CD11c-) were high, although somewhat varied. Given these results, we set out to examine the expression of the full-length Glp1r mRNA in atherosclerosis. Using a high fidelity
polymerase and a set of primers that yields a PCR product encompassing the majority of the GLP-1R open reading frame, we analyzed Glp1r expression in the whole aorta from thirty Apoe−/− mice at different stages of atherosclerosis progression (Figure 1). The expression was detected using Southern blotting with a hapten-tagged Glp1r-specific probe. A housekeeping gene hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (Hprt) that had stable expression across all samples examined was used for normalization (Supplementary material online, Figure S1D). When normalized against the level of Hprt, Glp1r expression levels in age-matched chow-fed and high-fat high-cholesterol-fed ApoE−/− mice varied greatly, and levels in age-matched chow-fed and high-fat high-cholesterol-fed ApoE−/− mice were independent of the amount of time on the diet. To explore this variability and to gain insight into the pattern of the Glp1r expression, we performed bulk RNA sequencing (RNAseq) using the same RNA from which cDNA was generated for PCR amplification and Southern hybridization. We analyzed low vs. high Glp1r (Glp1rlow vs. Glp1rhi) expressing aortas (Figure 1K), including those from animals on a normal chow diet. Pathway analysis (Figure 1L) revealed that Glp1rhi aortas had upregulated proteasome and CLEC7A signaling, indicative of heightened expression of pattern-recognition receptors (PRR) in myeloid cells and increased phagocytosis. TGFβ-signaling was downregulated, while TGFβ-signaling deactivating pathway fibroblast growth factor receptor/ receptor substrate 4 (FRS/FGFR4) was upregulated [18]. TGFβ-signaling is central in vascular smooth muscle (VSMC) cell differentiation and proliferation [19], with its downregulation suggestive of de-differentiation of these cells to a macrophage-like population. These pathways have been extensively validated in VSMC-to-macrophage-like transition in atherosclerosis [17,18]. There was a significant positive correlation of Glp1r expression with classic macrophage markers Mac3 (also known as Lamp2), Mrc1 (CD206) and others (Figure 1M and Supplementary Table S3), including transcription factor Klf4, which are all known to play a role in VSMC de-differentiation [17].

**Glp1r expression in smooth muscle cells as a function of de-differentiation**

In order to examine the role of SMC-to-macrophage transition on Glp1r expression, we cultured primary mouse aortic smooth muscle cells (MASMCs) under various cholesterol-loading conditions. In agreement with prior work by others [19], appreciable cholesterol loading was achieved only with free cholesterol (complexed with cyclodextrin), but not with modified low density lipoproteins or other commonly used conditions (Supplementary material online, Figure S5A). Acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase inhibitor CP-113818 (ACAT-i) was used to maintain high intracellular levels of free cholesterol in MASMCs for 48 h. The phenotype of “transitioned” smooth-muscle cells to a macrophage phenotype was confirmed in cholesterol-loaded-MASMCs by RNAseq and was in agreement with the previously reported expression signature (Supplementary material online, Figure S5B) [20]. Southern blotting analysis (Figure 2A) demonstrated significant upregulation of the Glp1r expression, as compared to vehicle, cholesterol or ACAT-i only controls in the transitioned cells. Co-incubation with 100 nM GLP-1R agonist liraglutide (Lira) appeared to attenuate the Glp1r expression in these cells in response to cholesterol loading, suggesting potential receptor downregulation. The changes in Glp1r expression in response to cholesterol positively correlated with loss of SMC markers and gain of macrophage-like markers, including Abca1, Lgals3 and Lamp2 (Mac3). Notably, the SMC dedifferentiation marker Klf4 was highly upregulated (Figure 2B) [17]. Lira treatment partially rescued the SMC phenotype, most significantly manifested in Abca1 and Lgals3 downregulation (Figure 2B and Supplementary material online, Figure S6A).

Importantly, cultured BMDMs, popular endothelial cell line 3B11 and primary aortic endothelial cells (ECs) displayed no or very low Glp1r expression in response to either cholesterol or TGFβ (Figure 2C,D). TGFβ was previously speculated to cause macrophage-to-SMC transdifferentiation [21] and endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndoMT) [22]. Nevertheless, neither of these atherosclerosis-relevant *in vitro* conditions were able to induce Glp1r expression, even when ECs were expressing high levels of smooth muscle markers Acta2 and Sm22 (Supplementary material online, Figure S6B).

Importantly, GLP-1R was highly expressed on the protein level in MASMCs, as demonstrated by immunofluorescence experiments using mAb 7F38 (Supplementary material online, Figure S6C).

In cholesterol-loaded MASMCs, Lira restored the expression of αSMA (encoded by Acta2), SM22 (also known as transgelin and encoded by TAGLN), smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (SM-MHC, encoded by Myh11), and downregulated macrophage-like markers such as Mac3 (encoded by Lamp2), and Galectin-3 (encoded by Lgals3) (Figure 2E).

Collectively, these observations suggest that Glp1r expression occurs in atherosclerosis, but primarily in vascular smooth muscle cells. Myeloid cells (macrophages, monocytes) or endothelial cells are unlikely to be the source of the cells expressing Glp1r in atherosclerosis. This was further confirmed
using mAb 7F38 and co-staining in Apoe−/− BCA sections with macrophage (CD68) and endothelial cell (VE-Cadherin) markers (Supplementary material online, Figure S7). The data above provided a sound rationale for the delivery of a GLP-1R agonist Lira as an intervention in atherosclerosis with the goal of targeting cholesterol-loaded smooth muscle cells to effectively prevent smooth muscle-to-macrophage transition.

**Figure 1.** GLP-1R is expressed in human and mouse atherosclerosis. A-H) Human coronary artery pathology obtained from a 35 years old white male autopsy case with severe coronary multivessel atherosclerotic disease and a history of prior myocardial infarction. A) Low power H&E staining section of atherosclerotic coronary artery (early fibroatheroma) in proximal LAD. B) Middle power H&E image of black rectangular field in A. C) IF staining image of αSMA (green) and GLP-1R (red) with DAPI nuclear staining (blue) which is adjacent to section A. D-E) Middle power (D) and high power (E) image of white rectangular field in C and D. GLP1R (red) positive but αSMA (green) negative cells (likely macrophage) were observed in the field. F) High power image of adventitial lesion at white rectangular field in C. Multiple GLP1-R positive cells were observed. G-H) IHC image of GLP1R positive cell (brown) in the fields of intra-plaque (G) and adventitia (H) which is obtained from adjacent fields of E and F. I)
Atherosclerosis-resident macrophage-like (CD11b) and pan-negative fraction but not dendritic cell-like (CD11c) cells expressed Glp1r as determined via magnetic-bead sorting followed by real-time quantitative PCR. J) Full-length Glp1r mRNA transcripts in whole aortas from Apoe<sup>-/-</sup> mice (fed as indicated) as analyzed by Southern blotting. Hprt served as a housekeeping control. Neg.ctrl - Glp1r<sup>−/−</sup> lung, Pos.ctrl - Glp1r<sup>+/+</sup> lung. K) Ward’s hierarchical cluster analysis allowed for clustering of tissues expressing high, low and medium levels of Glp1r. L) mRNA from B was analyzed by RNA sequencing that demonstrated differentially regulated top canonical pathways comparing tissues expressing high vs. low levels of Glp1r. M) Results of the correlation analysis between Glp1r expression found in (J-L) and the levels of various myeloid and smooth muscle-related gene mRNA transcripts. Confidence belt is 85% and is shown in grey. Abbreviations: H&E - hematoxylin and eosin; LAD - left anterior descending artery; NC - necrotic core; DAPI - 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; N.d. - not detected.

Figure 2. Glp1r is highly expressed in mouse aortic smooth muscle cells (MASMCs). A) Southern blotting analysis of Glp1r expression in MASMCs from Apoe<sup>-/-</sup> mice treated as indicated for 48 h. Hprt served as a housekeeping control. Neg.ctrl - Glp1r<sup>−/−</sup> lung, Pos.ctrl - Glp1r<sup>+/+</sup> lung. B) Markers characteristic of VSMCs (blue) or macrophages (red) as examined by qPCR. Fold change (FC) vs. DMSO control is shown as a bubble chart, with the bubbles sized by their p value, as analyzed by ANOVA with the Tukey post hoc test. The complete list of markers is presented in the Supplementary Figure 6A and the detailed statistical analysis is presented in Table S4. C) Glp1r expression in BMDMs treated as indicated and analyzed by Southern blotting as in (A). D) Glp1r expression in the murine endothelial cell (EC) line 3B11 and in the primary ECs isolated from carotid artery, treated as indicated (and in the Supplementary material online, methods) and analyzed by Southern blotting as in (A, B). E) Immunofluorescence staining of VSMC and macrophage markers (red and green respectively) in MASMCs subjected to the treatments as indicated. Nuclei were counterstained with Hoescht (blue).

Characterization of proteolysis resistant, MMP-activatable GlpNP

We next evaluated the impact of a novel plaque-targeted nano-GLP1 agonist at very low doses to allow decoupling of systemic effects in an effort to understand the impact of direct plaque delivery on atherosclerosis. Given recent data indicating that the majority of foam cells [23] and inflammatory cells in plaque could originate from SMCs and our preliminary data suggesting Glp1r expression in de-differentiated SMCs that express macrophage markers, we designed and synthesized multilayered nanoparticles (NPs) decorated with phosphatidyl-
serine (PtdSer) “eat-me” signals that allow for delivery and uptake [24]. These engineered NPs were coated with polyethylene glycol (PEG) to increase their lifespan in circulation, and equipped with an outer gelatin coat, allowing their selective cleavage in the presence of gelatinases within plaque (Figure 3A and Supplementary material online, Figure S8A) [25]. The inclusion of the GLP-1R agonist Lira between the particle’s core and gelatin layer allowed for “context specific” release upon cleavage by matrix metalloproteinases (gelatinases) present in plaque. A scheme depicting the proposed mechanism of action of these NPs, termed GlpNP, is presented in Supplementary material online, Figure S8A. Size and drug loading characteristics were determined for various GlpNP formulations, and the optimal formulation was selected (Supplementary Table S2). Two controls were used, one containing PtdSer (CtrlNP) but without Lira, and another lacking both PtdSer and Lira (PtdSernegCtrlNP). We tested if GlpNP display PtdSer in the presence of gelatinases as described in Supplementary material online, Figures S8B-D.

Figure 3. GlpNP carrying the GLP-1R agonist liraglutide are bioactive and resistant to proteolysis. A) GlpNP were synthesized from a fluorescent core, Lira, gadolinium-DTPA and PEG lipids. Inset shows DLS and cryo-TEM characterization of GlpNP. B) GlpNP are resistant to proteolysis by neutral endopeptidase (NEP) in cell-free assays as determined by HPLC. Average of two independent experiments is shown. C) GlpNP but not CtrlNP improved oral glucose tolerance (OGTT) after a single I.V. injection 2 h before the glucose challenge and followed by a glucose load. D) GlpNP promoted insulin release in the same set of experiments. E) Area under the curve (AUC) quantification derived from OGTT and insulin release in C and D, respectively. F) Plasma from fasting Apoe−/− mice injected with NPs was subjected to fast protein liquid
DPP-4 and neutral endopeptidase (NEP, nephrilysin) are the two most important enzymes that catalyze GLP-1 (and its clinically-used analogs) proteolytic inactivation in vivo [26]. Given the fact that GlpNP particles were “protected” by both PEG and the gelatin shell, we reasoned that GlpNP would be especially resistant to enzyme-driven degradation in plasma [27]. Indeed, Figure 3B and Supplementary material online, Figure S9 show high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis of incubation of GlpNP with NEP that demonstrated resistance to proteolytic hydrolysis, when compared to that of Lira alone. Physicochemical characterization of GlpNP in the absence of the enzymes confirmed that the particles were intact and had protein, phospholipid and polystyrene signatures, as determined by Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy and size exclusion chromatography (Supplementary material online, Figures S10A, B). GlpNP did not induce significant cytotoxicity in MASMCs and macrophages over the range of concentrations 0.1-100 µg/mL (Supplementary material online, Figures S10C). However, in the presence of gelatinases GlpNP rapidly released Lira in a time-dependent manner (Supplementary material online, Figures S11A).

Lira retained in vitro biological activity when incorporated within the NPs (Supplementary Figure 11B) manifesting in prototypical cyclic AMP (cAMP) release from RINm5F rat pancreatic islet insulinoma cells incubated with GlpNP or relevant controls. Importantly, in vivo biological activity of GlpNP was assessed in Apoe-/- mice. A single intravenous injection of 30 µg/kg GlpNP (based on Lira concentration in GlpNP) but not CtrlNP in high-fat-fed mice (20 weeks on a Western diet), resulted in potent glucose lowering and insulinotropic effects following an oral glucose challenge (Figure 3C-E).

Consistent with prior observations, we noted reductions in fasting levels of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in the GlpNP-, but not in CtrlNP-injected mice (Figure 3F, G) [28]. Apolipoprotein B-48 (ApoB-48) was also reduced after GlpNP injection (Figure 3H). Total plasma cholesterol or low- and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL and HDL) did not change with GlpNP treatment (Figure 3F, G and Supplementary material online, Figure S12A). The analysis of common lipid-regulating genes in liver homogenates (Figure 3I) demonstrated significant upregulation of mRNA for Abca1 (cholesterol transport), downregulation of Fabp2 (triglyceride-rich lipoprotein synthesis), and downregulation of Plin1 (marker of mature lipid droplets). These data seemed to suggest reduced lipid accumulation and enhanced cholesterol efflux in response to GlpNP. These effects were recapitulated in human primary aortic smooth muscle cells (HASMC) incubated with acetyl-LDL in the presence of GlpNP or Lira, which contained fewer lipid droplets in the GlpNP group, but not in the two control groups (Supplementary material online, Figure S12B). HASMC, which were cholesterol-loaded with acetyl-LDL plus BODIPY-cholesterol followed by HDL-mediated cholesterol efflux, demonstrated higher efflux in GlpNP-treated cells vs. CtrlNP (without Lira) and these effects were dependent on cAMP activation and blocked by a large excess of GLP-1R antagonist exendin (9-39) (Supplementary material online, Figure S12C).

Acute GlpNP administration targets atherosclerotic plaque allowing for theranostic application

Flow cytometry analysis demonstrated that both GlpNP and CtrlNP were engulfed by blood monocytes and accumulated within CD11b+CD11c+ cells (Supplementary material online, Figure S13A, B) [29]. However, αSMA+ cells were the locus of GlpNP accumulation in atherosclerotic plaque, while CD45+4/80+ macrophages were targeted by GlpNP to a slightly lesser degree (50.1 vs. 43.3% of total NP+ cells, respectively), as shown in Figures 4A-C and Supplementary material online, Figures S13C, D.

Plasma GlpNP levels were detectable by their intrinsic fluorescence after a bolus intravenous injection (30 µg/kg). Their persistence in circulation was observed for up to 7 h (Supplementary material online, Figure S14A).

To further demonstrate targeting and accumulation of GlpNP in atherosclerosis, we performed magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of plaque in Apoe-/- mice with advanced atherosclerosis (30 weeks on a Western diet). The animals underwent treatment with a single dose of GlpNP, CtrlNP and PtdSer+CtrlNP, all containing 0.05 mmol/kg of gadolinium (Gd) lipids as a T1-weighted MR contrast agent. MR imaging demonstrated that GlpNP significantly accumulated in atherosclerotic plaque as compared to NPs without PtdSer, referred to as PtdSer+CtrlNP (Figure 4D, E). Notably, CtrlNP containing PtdSer, but not Lira, also accumulated within atherosclerotic plaque (Supplementary...
material online, Figure S14B), indicating that particle retention in plaque was not dependent on Lira-GLP-1R interaction. Furthermore, when gelatin coating was omitted in the synthesis of Lira-containing NPs (GelatinnegGlpNP), prominent accumulation in tissues known to express Glp1r (pancreas, mesenteric adipose, lung) [4] was noted (Supplementary material online, Figure S14C). This, however, did not occur when GelatinnegGlpNP was co-administered with a 10-fold excess of free Lira (300 µg/kg). This is suggestive that the gelatin coating in GlpNP protected from agonist-receptor binding in the off-target tissues allowing for site-selective accumulation in plaque. GlpNP biodistribution studies 24 h post injection detected GlpNP in abdominal aorta and off-target organs such as liver and kidneys (Figure 4F). Deposition of NPs in atherosclerosis was confirmed by immuno fluorescence in aortic root sections (Figure 4G,H) and BCA (Supplementary material online, Figure S15).

Figure 4. GlpNP target myeloid and SMCs in atherosclerosis. A, B) GlpNP preferentially target myeloid and smooth muscle cells in atherosclerosis as shown by flow cytometry. Apoe-/- mice were bolus-injected with saline or Cy-labeled GlpNP and the aortas were extracted 24 h later. Single-cell suspensions from enzymatically-digested whole
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Atherosclerotic plaque after administration of gadolinium-loaded GlpNP or PtdSer⁺/CtrlNP as indicated. E) Signal-to-noise measurements from (D) represented as signal intensities (SI) in aorta vs. SI in muscle. Significance was determined by repeated measures ANOVA. F) Whole-organ fluorescence imaging 24 h after GlpNP administration. Red-yellow signal is indicative of GlpNP accumulation in the given organ as annotated.

**Table 1. Metabolic parameters after six weeks of treatment with GlpNP, liraglutide or CtrlNPs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GlpNP</th>
<th>Liraglutide</th>
<th>CtrlNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body weight and glycaemia metrics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight, g</td>
<td>34.26 ± 1.30</td>
<td>34.79 ± 1.54</td>
<td>34.12 ± 1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin, ng/mL</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose, mg/mL</td>
<td>146 ± 16</td>
<td>150 ± 21</td>
<td>140 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasma cholesterol, lipids and lipoproteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>798 ± 21</td>
<td>802 ± 21</td>
<td>792 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting total triglycerides, mg/dL</td>
<td>84 ± 18</td>
<td>48 ± 11*</td>
<td>79 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mg/dL (FPLC)</td>
<td>32 ± 3</td>
<td>23 ± 7</td>
<td>34 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol, mg/dL (FPLC)</td>
<td>217 ± 23</td>
<td>226 ± 35</td>
<td>215 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vLDL cholesterol, mg/dL (FPLC)</td>
<td>332 ± 19</td>
<td>359 ± 25</td>
<td>334 ± 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL triglycerides, mg/dL (FPLC)</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>15 ± 3</td>
<td>23 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vLDL triglycerides, mg/dL (FPLC)</td>
<td>34 ± 1</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>35 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver lipids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver cholesterol, μg/mg</td>
<td>39.2 ± 5.1</td>
<td>34.2 ± 9.1</td>
<td>35.6 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver triglycerides, μg/mg</td>
<td>282.3 ± 28.3</td>
<td>290.3 ± 29.6</td>
<td>289.2 ± 26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atherosclerotic plaque cholesterol</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque total cholesterol, nmoles/mg (LCMS)</td>
<td>35.23 ± 2.89*</td>
<td>49.43 ± 9.12</td>
<td>43.02 ± 3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque free cholesterol, nmoles/mg (LCMS)</td>
<td>28.32 ± 4.24*</td>
<td>36.67 ± 2.54</td>
<td>40.12 ± 3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque esterified cholesterol, nmoles/mg (calculated)</td>
<td>16.92 ± 2.12</td>
<td>12.76 ± 4.31</td>
<td>13.1 ± 3.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented are mean ± stdev. Group animal numbers were as follows: GlpNP n=8, Liraglutide n=9, CtrlNP n=6. *P < 0.01 vs. baseline; †P < 0.05 vs. Liraglutide; **P < 0.0047 vs. CtrlNP. FPLC - fast performance liquid chromatography; LCMS - liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry.

**Chronic delivery of GlpNP containing a very low dose of liraglutide alleviates plaque pathology.**

We next tested the ability of GlpNP containing a sub-systemic dose to Lira to modify the progression and stability of established atherosclerotic lesions in chronic intervention experiments. The sub-systemic dose of Lira in injected GlpNP was deliberately selected to be substantially lower (1 μg/kg) than previously described doses of GLP-1R agonist that had effects on atherosclerosis and weight loss [30]. Nineteen Apoe⁻/⁻ mice were maintained on a Western diet for 24 weeks, after which animals were randomized into different groups (GlpNP, CtrlNP and Lira) and were assessed for their body weight, glycemic metrics and plasma lipids (Figure 5A, Table 1). These measurements were referred to as “baseline”. Animals were then started on intravenous injections of GlpNP, CtrlNP or Lira twice weekly for six weeks while continuing with the high-fat diet regimen. No significant body weight change at week 30 was observed between treatment groups, including Lira alone (Figure 5B). GlpNP reduced the atherosclerosis burden (Figure 5C-D) and macrophage content (F4/80⁺ cells). Necrotic core was also reduced (not shown), although this did not reach significance in GlpNP vs. Lira groups. Notably, we observed a trend of an increase in αSMA, and statistically significant increase in collagen I, both of which are plaque stabilization markers [17].

A comprehensive assessment of metabolic parameters at the end of the experiment (Table 1) demonstrated no significant changes in glycemia and insulin, lipids or lipoproteins across all treatment groups, except for the levels of vLDL and total triglycerides, which were reduced in the GlpNP group. Liquid chromatography mass-spectrometry (LCMS) quantification revealed a significant decrease in total and free cholesterol in whole atherosclerotic aortas of GlpNP-treated animals as compared to Lira- or CtrlNP-treated mice (Table 1).

Given that GLP-1 has previously been associated with improved vascular function [31], and that smooth muscle cells are likely to be targeted by GlpNP in plaque, we tested the effects of GlpNP on vasorelaxation (Figure 5E). Our results show only a trend towards an increase in aortic relaxation in GlpNP-treated mice at acetylcholine (ACH) concentrations lower than 100 nM, which is indicative of only somewhat improved endothelial function [32].

Gene expression analysis in plaque GlpNP⁺ (vs. CtrlNP⁺) cells isolated using laser capture microdissection showed that GlpNP-targeted cells exhibited a lower inflammatory status, manifested by the decrease in Tnf and Icam1 expression with a...
concomitant increase in Arg1, a marker of alternative macrophage activation (Figure 5F, G). Supplementary material online, Figure S16 details additional genes tested which demonstrate a downregulation in the expression of inflammatory genes. Overall, our data indicate that GlpNP can selectively target atherosclerotic plaque, where they appear to accumulate in VSMC-like cells and favorably modulate atherosclerosis.

Figure 5. GlpNP reduced plaque burden without changes in body weight. A) ApoE−/− mice were fed Western diet (WD) for 24 weeks to induce atherosclerosis. Animals were then fasted as indicated and baseline metrics were taken as described in Methods and the text. Mice were continued on WD and received GlpNP, CtrlNP or Lira (1 µg/kg based on Lira or 100 µg of particles in CtrlNP) i.v. for 6 weeks twice weekly. B) Body weight measurements over time before and after randomization. C) Morphometric assessment of plaque burden with representative sections of aortic root after indicated staining. Sections were stained with Hematoxylin Eosin (H&E, general tissue morphology), Collagen I, F4/80 (macrophage-like cells), alpha smooth muscle actin (αSMA). D) Quantification of IHC staining indicated 15% reduction in aortic sinus lesion area and 13% decrease in macrophage content, while Collagen I expression increased by 18% (GlpNP vs. Lira) via pairwise T-test with Holm post-hoc correction. n= 7-9/group. E) Concentration-response curves recorded in the presence of acetylcholine (Ach) of aortic rings from animals injected with NPs. Pre-contraction was induced with 10⁻⁵ M phenylephrine (Phe). F) Aortic sections from mice injected with NPs were subjected to laser capture microdissection, after which targeted cells were identified by characteristic NP fluorescence (arrows). G) Captured cells were analyzed according to mRNA expression of Icam, Tnf and Arg1. *p<0.05, †p<0.01 vs CtrlNP via pairwise T-test with Holm correction in G and ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test in E. n= 3-4/group.
Discussion

In this study, we demonstrate the feasibility of a degradation-resistant GlpNP at weight-neutral ultra-low doses to target atherosclerotic plaque. We further demonstrate Glp1r expression in atherosclerosis and plaque-associated cells, which functionally resemble macrophages but appear to be of smooth muscle origin. The expression of Glp1r in immune cells and in the cardiovascular system has been a topic of considerable debate [32]. Interpretation of studies has been further complicated by the lack of commercial availability of antibodies with specificity for murine GLP-1R [5,6]. In many studies, the demonstration of a physiological or therapeutic effect of GLP-1 or commercially available GLP-1R agonists on cells, organs or in vivo has been considered proof of Glp1r signaling [4]. Recent studies have started to support the idea that GLP-1 may exert its effects in the absence of Glp1r in the tissue, via intermediary molecules such as atrial natriuretic peptide, particularly in the heart, which has low level of Glp1r expression [9]. Other reports have suggested that biologically active degradation products of GLP-1, such as GLP-1(9–36) and GLP-1(28–36), either directly or via an as yet to be described receptor may mediate the effects of GLP-1R [33].

Using a variety of approaches including lineage tracing and Southern blotting, we confirm that Glp1r was expressed at very low levels in vivo in myeloid CD11b+ cells, in cultured macrophages, other immune cells and endothelial cells [5,6]. These data seem to suggest that myeloid cells are unlikely to be a major source of Glp1r in atherosclerosis. We therefore posited that macrophage-like cells could potentially represent de-differentiated vascular smooth muscle cells. Recent data seem to strongly suggest that the vast majority of macrophage and foam cells in atherosclerosis may indeed have smooth muscle cell origins [17,23]. Indeed, cultured mouse aortic smooth muscle cells expressed Glp1r transcript, which increased in response to cholesterol loading, a well-known stimulus to induce SMC dedifferentiation and SMC-to-macrophage transition. Further, Glp1r expression was positively correlated with hallmarks of dedifferentiation (such as increased Klf4) [17]. loss of SMC markers and gain of macrophage-like markers (Abca1, Lgals3 and Mac3) [17]. In contrast, the induction of endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition upon treatment of ECs with TGFβ was not sufficient to induce Glp1r expression in primary ECs that lacked noticeable baseline Glp1r expression. Glp1r expression in experimental atherosclerotic plaque was highly variable but aortas from Apoe−/− mice expressing high levels of Glp1r demonstrated downregulated TGFβ-signaling, important in VSMC dedifferentiation. The upregulation of CLEC-1 (dectin-1), FGGR1, IGF1R and cAMP signaling is consistent with a “macrophage-like” phenotype. In line with our mouse studies, human Type III atherosclerotic lesions (PIT) expressed GLP-1R in spindle shaped cells resembling VSMC’s in the midst of CD68+ and αSMA+ cells.

In vivo targeting of the GlpNP system was achieved with PtdSer, an “eat-me” signal coated with gelatin and PEGylated, designed to be resistant to plasma proteases and subject to degradation within the milieu of the plaque by gelatinases in plaque while enabling prolonged plasma residence time. We used NPs in the size range of 54±12 nm that may not readily cross the blood-brain barrier, which is consistent with the effects noted in our study including lack of weight loss. Lira release was shielded by the gelatin layer and improved its resistance to proteolysis by DPP-4 and NEP and unintended GLP-1R ligation. Interestingly, GlpNP were actively engulfed by monocytes with αSMA+ cells being the locus of GlpNP accumulation in atherosclerotic plaque, while CD45+F4/80+ macrophages were targeted by GlpNP to a lesser degree. MR imaging demonstrated significant accumulation in atherosclerotic plaque through a PtdSer-dependent mechanism.

Notably, the GlpNP system may be one of the very few described that draws therapeutic benefits from unintended, “off-target” accumulation. A portion of the injected GlpNP accumulated in the liver, which is not surprising, given the fact that most nanoparticles are known to deposit in the reticuloendothelial system despite any targeting or activatable features [34]. Although many nanoparticle technologies are motivated by the promise of reducing side effects through site-specific delivery, many still suffer from unintended liver accumulation and may contribute to hepatic dysfunction or impairment of liver metabolism. For example, Fisher E.A. and colleagues demonstrate potent plaque reduction with NPs carrying liver X receptor agonist (NP-LXR), however, the authors also note triglyceride levels increased by 20% in NP-LXR group vs. NP without LXR agonist [35]. In contrast, our GlpNP technology enables synergistic effects on liver and plaque, manifested in the reduction of triglycerides and plaque pathology. We did not specifically test the liver toxicity of GlpNP, as it is beyond the scope of this manuscript, however, we believe that this next generation of non-toxic, biodegradable and biocompatible GlpNP may benefit liver metabolism and insulin sensitivity, especially if administered at low doses as demonstrated by our work.

It is likely that the low dose used in our study
was the reason why only a very modest effect on vascular function was observed: ACh-mediated relaxation was statistically significant only at high ACh concentrations (Figure 5E). The response to ACh is mainly endothelium-dependent [32], and thus the lack of the robust effect may also be explained by the fact that GlpNP had restricted accumulation in plaque intima, rather than in the endothelium.

Clinically used GLP-1R agonists are not devoid of side effects. For example, when delivered systemically, they cause gastrointestinal distress in ~25% of individuals. Some adverse effects may also arise through sympathetic nervous system (SNS) activation. However, as medium- and large-sized NPs (≥250 nm) such as GlpNP, do not cross the blood-brain barrier, the off-target effects seen with systemic delivery of GLP-1R agonists may be averted.

We specifically selected a weight-neutral ultra-low dose (1 µg/kg) for chronic delivery. This contrasts with clinical doses of 10-30 µg/kg of liraglutide (referred to as “high dose” in this paper), which is typically associated with weight loss, improved glycemic control and reduction in blood pressure [36]. Using such a dose allowed us to dissociate actions associated with lowered body weight and potentially additional hemodynamic and systemic anti-inflammatory effects.

It is worth noting that the anti-inflammatory effects seen in previously published works remain controversial. Studies that investigated the effects of GLP-1R agonism in atherosclerosis in the context of monocyte adhesion [37], foam cell formation [38], and macrophage M1/M2 phenotypes [39] all used high doses of GLP-1R agonists (100-300 µg/kg) with either daily injections or continuous osmotic pump infusions; with one study employing adeno-associated virus overexpression of the GLP-1 and its split products [40]. Unfortunately, many such studies, including a recent article describing GLP-1 effects in vascular endothelium [41], have not convincingly demonstrated the expression of functional Glp1r (encoding the open-reading frame of GLP-1R protein) thus making conclusions of these studies hard to interpret. The use of non-validated anti-GLP-1R antibodies, which plagued the antibody vendor market in recent years, was a common occurrence in many studies.

Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the only investigation to date that profiled multiple cell types found in atherosclerosis in vitro and in vivo using lineage tracing and Southern blotting in mice and staining in mouse and human plaques.

Conclusions

In this study we demonstrate through carefully performed in vitro and in vivo studies including lineage tracing that Glp1r expression occurs at insignificant levels in hematopoietic cells but upregulated in de-differentiated VSMCs in response to SMC-to-macrophage transition. Glp1r expression was noted in human Type III atherosclerosis; known for a high number of de-differentiated VSMCs. Importantly, delivery of a non-weight loss inducing dose of GlpNP demonstrated important trends in metrics of plaque stabilization and reduced plaque cholesterol. These results, in conjunction with targeting of vascular smooth muscle cells and enhanced cholesterol efflux support the hypothesis of plaque pacification in response to targeted GLP-1R delivery. Our study has important implications on understanding of GLP-1 biology in atherosclerosis and highlights the possibility of human translation of plaque-targeted GLP-1R agonists. We describe a new technology of gelatinase-activatable nanoparticles. We believe that such a technology may find future use in multiple diseases where MMP2/MMP9 gelatinases are overexpressed and play a pathogenic role (including cancer). Thus, our paper is an important proof-of-concept study that demonstrates the potential of such a technology. The use of GLP-1R agonist liraglutide as a model cargo provided compelling evidence of effectiveness of GlpNP technology.

Limitations

We acknowledge that we have not provided conclusive evidence that the effects of our targeting strategy are exclusively mediated by vascular expression of Glp1r. It is possible that degradation products of liraglutide via NEP may still mediate effects [33]. There were technical limitations of this study, such as that we were not able to co-stain GLP1R, αSMA and CD68 in the same human atherosclerosis specimens. We also acknowledge that our results may also involve other poorly understood indirect neural or gastrointestinal pathways. The lack of significant weight loss, systemic delivery avoiding the gut and nanoparticle size that may not penetrate the blood brain barrier, however lowers the likelihood of these as significant contributors.
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