1 Supplementary Materials

| 2 | |
|----|---|
| 3 | Label-free optical metabolic imaging of adipose tissues for prediabetes diagnosis |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Liping Chen ^{1, 2} , Guihui Qin ^{1, 2} , Yuhong Liu ³ , Moxin Li ^{1, 2} , Yue Li ^{1, 2} , Lun-Zhang Guo ⁴ , |
| 6 | Lidong Du ^{1, 2} , Weiming Zheng ⁵ , Pei-Chun Wu ^{1, 6} , Yueh-Hsun Chuang ⁷ , Xiaoyan Wang ¹ , |
| 7 | ^{2*} , Tzung-Dau Wang ^{8*} , Ja-An Annie Ho ^{6*} , Tzu-Ming Liu ^{1, 2*} |
| 8 | |
| 9 | ¹ Institute of Translational Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau, Macao SAR, |
| 10 | China. |
| 11 | ² MOE Frontiers Science Center for Precision Oncology, University of Macau, Macao SAR, China. |
| 12 | ³ School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, 510006, |
| 13 | China. |
| 14 | ⁴ Institute of Biomedical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan. |
| 15 | ⁵ Translational Medicine R&D Center, Zhuhai UM Science and Technology Research Institute, Zhuhai, |
| 16 | China. |
| 17 | ⁶ Department of Biochemical Science & Technology, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan. |
| 18 | ⁷ Department of Anesthesiology, National Taiwan University Hospital and College of Medicine, Taipei |
| 19 | 10002, Taiwan. |
| 20 | ⁸ Cardiovascular Center and Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, National Taiwan |
| 21 | University Hospital and College of Medicine, Taipei 10002, Taiwan. |
| 22 | |
| 23 | *Corresponding author. Tzu-Ming Liu, tmliu@um.edu.mo; Ja-An Annie Ho, jaho@ntu.edu.tw; Tzung- |
| 24 | Dau Wang, tdwang@ntu.edu.tw; Xiaoyan Wang, xiaoyanwang85@yahoo.com. Address: Faculty of |
| 25 | Health Sciences, University of Macau, Avenida da Universidade, Taipa, Macao SAR, China. TEL: |
| 26 | +853-8822-4693. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |

Table of contents

| 34 | Supplementary Text |
|----|---|
| 35 | Figure S17 |
| 36 | Figure S29 |
| 37 | Figure S3 |
| 38 | Figure S4 |
| 39 | Figure S5 |
| 40 | Figure S6 |
| 41 | Figure S7 |
| 42 | Figure S8 |
| 43 | Figure S9 |
| 44 | Figure S10 |
| 45 | Figure S11 |
| 46 | Table S1. ROC analysis for adipocytes (1 month of feeding) 22 |
| 47 | Table S2. ROC analysis for macrophages (4 months of feeding) |
| 48 | Table S3. ROC analysis for adipocytes (4 months of feeding) |
| 49 | Table S4. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of all optical readouts in control adipose |
| 50 | tissues and the z-scores of all groups represented in the heatamps |
| 51 | Table S5. ROC analysis of PCA differentiation accuracy 25 |
| 52 | Table S6. ROC analysis on optical deadouts of adipocytes for differentiating control from |
| 53 | HFD and HFHSD-fed mice (feeding for 2 months) |
| 54 | Supplementary methods |
| 55 | Forest plot of Odds Ratios: Screening the association obetween optical matrics and |
| 56 | diabetes |
| 57 | References |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| 60 | |

64 Supplementary Text

Validation that GFP-positive cells in adipose tissue of C57BL/6J-c2J-LysM-eGFP mice are macrophages.

67 An immunostaining assay was conducted to verify if GFP-positive cells in the 68 epididymal fat of C57BL/6J-c2J-LysM-eGFP mice are macrophages. Fixed adipose tissue 69 was stained with Alexa Fluor 647 anti-mouse F4/80 antibody. Under the excitation of 960 70 nm and 1200 nm respectively, GFP and Alexa Fluor 647 were visualized in adipose tissue 71 (Figure S1A). GFP-positive cells can be labeled with Alexa Fluor 647 anti-mouse F4/80 72 antibody. GFP and Alexa Fluor 647 showed good co-localization, with a PCC value of 0.58 73 \pm 0.042, an MCC-M1 value of 0.99, and an MCC-M2 value of 1. It suggests that GFP-74 positive cells express the F4/80 marker (Figure S1B).

75

In vitro validation of lipofuscin-like fluorescence as a label-free marker for macrophages.

78 Immunofluorescent staining of CD86, CD206, and CD163 with flow cytometric 79 analysis confirmed that bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were successfully 80 polarized (Figure S1C). The characteristic fluorescence of lipofuscin-like pigments was 81 almost absent in other cell lines, such as 3T3-L1 cells (Figure S1D). To further confirm 82 that red autofluorescence is derived from lipofuscin-like pigments, co-localization analysis of lysosomal and lipofuscin-like fluorescence was performed in BMDMs (Figure S1E). 83 84 Colocalization coefficient values including PCC (0.53 \pm 0.048) and MCC (M1 = 0.93 \pm 85 0.037, M2 = 0.97 ± 0.011) demonstrated a good correlation and high co-occurrence (Figure 86 **S1F**). It can be determined that the fluorescent substance is a lipofuscin-like pigment 87 according to the fluorescence emission spectrum (Figure S1G).

88

89 Exploration of prediabetes and diabetes models over time.

90 Previous studies have shown that in the presence of overnutrition, the metabolism of 91 adipose tissue could be switched from OXPHOS to glycolysis, and adipose tissue 92 macrophages are polarized to M1 macrophages. Besides, nutrient excess also affects 93 macrophage mtDNA release, mtROS production, and mitochondrial dynamics and 94 promotes obesity-induced inflammation [1-5]. Thus, in the present study, we chose the

95 dietary-induced diabetes model, which has unique advantages in simulating the etiology of 96 human T2DM, especially the onset characteristics of obese patients with diabetes mellitus. 97 Two different diets were used to induce diabetes models since pathologic responses may 98 vary in different dietary components. Long-term exposure to a high-fat diet (HFD) with 99 60% kcal fat (D12492i, Research Diets Inc., New Brunswick, NJ, USA) causes several 100 pathologies, including obesity, insulin resistance (IR) [6], inflammation [7], fatty liver [8], 101 diabetic renal injury, and intestinal barrier dysfunction [9], and neurodegeneration [10]. 102 Prolonged consumption of a high-fat-high-sucrose diet (HFHSD) with 58% kcal fat and 103 sucrose (D12331i, Research Diets Inc., New Brunswick, NJ, USA) leads to obesity, IR, 104 and diabetic myocardial damage [11], and fatty liver [12].

105 To find out when IR and diabetes developed in mice, we measured the mice's fasting 106 blood glucose and insulin levels weekly. We found that the mice body weight of the HFD 107 and HFHSD groups increased significantly compared with the control group fed with 108 normal chow (Figure S2A). Although HFD- and HFHSD-fed mice exhibited elevated 109 fasting insulin levels at weeks 5 and 6, respectively (Figure S2B), both groups had 110 significantly higher HOMA-IR index at week 5 than the control group (Figure 2A). Mice 111 fed HFD or HFHSD showed increased HOMA-IR value, suggesting the presence of IR [13, 112 14]. Prediabetes refers to an intermediate hyperglycemia [15, 16] state in which insulin 113 resistance (IR) is already present and blood glucose levels are higher than normal but lower 114 than those in diabetes. In this study, we expected to establish a prediabetes detection 115 method, that is, the IR stage, so we chose the time around the onset of IR as the detection time point, the 4th week of HFD or HFHSD feeding. Comparing the metabolic profiles of 116 117 prediabetes and diabetes is conducive to the establishment of detection technology for 118 prediabetes, so it is also important to find out the onset of diabetes.

119 Although impaired fasting glucose levels were detected after 8 weeks of feeding on 120 HFD or HFHSD (**Figure 2B**), these mice displayed clear-cut diabetes with fasting blood 121 glucose levels of above 13 mmol/L at the 16th week, which was compatible with the 122 previous study [17]. Furthermore, random blood glucose levels were significantly elevated 123 only after 16 weeks of HFD or HFD feeding (**Figure S2C**). Thus, we set the 16th week of 124 feeding on HFD or HFHSD as the time point for diabetes diagnosis. So, the period from 125 the presence of IR until the fasting blood glucose level reaches 13 mmol/L is the prediabetic state. That is, mice fed HFD or HFHSD for 4 to 15 weeks are considered prediabetic. From
16 weeks onwards, mice entered the diabetic stage. Besides, we found that IR precedes
hyperglycemia, which is consistent with previous studies [18-20].

129 Anatomical diagrams of mice demonstrated that epididymal fat hypertrophy was 130 observed in mice fed HFD or HFHSD for either 1 or 4 months (Figure S2D-E). Besides, 131 we performed a 2-NBDG glucose uptake assay to verify that adipose tissue developed 132 insulin resistance in mice fed HFD or HFHSD for 1 and 4 months. 2-NBDG is a fluorescent 133 tracer used to monitor glucose uptake by living cells. Measurement of glucose uptake rate 134 reflects insulin sensitivity [21, 22]. Fluorescence images and signals were acquired to 135 ensure that 2-NBDG was absorbed by adipose tissue, and the result showed the peak of the 136 spectrum was at 540 nm, which is the emission wavelength of 2-NBDG (Figure S2F-G). 137 2-NBDG uptake by epididymal fat was significantly reduced in mice fed HFD or HFHSD 138 (Figure S2H), indicating that epididymal fat may have IR.

139 During the establishment of IR and diabetes mice models, in addition to tracking 140 changes in fasting blood glucose and insulin levels, we also performed RNA-seq analysis 141 of adipose tissue, looking for evidence at the transcriptomic level of whether the IR and 142 diabetes mice models were successfully established. Heatmap clustering analysis 143 manifested that HFD or HFHSD feeding for 1 month and 4 months resulted in the down-144 regulation of insulin receptor (Insr) and its substrate (Irs1) in adipose tissue, suggesting IR 145 in adipose tissue. In addition, an increase of the adipokine leptin (Lep) was observed in the 146 adipose tissue of mice fed HFD or HFHSD for 1 or 4 months (Supplementary Figure S2i, 147 j). Up-regulation of leptin is associated with the increased risk of IR and T2DM [23-25]. 148 Adiponectin (Adipoq) is another adipokine with the opposite biological function to leptin 149 in inflammation and IR [26]. Compared with the control group, although the down-150 regulation of adiponectin and its receptor (Adipor2) was not detected at 1 month of HFD 151 or HFHSD feeding, the expression of these two genes was down-regulated in both HFD 152 and HFHSD groups after 4 months of feeding, indicating that long-term feeding of 153 HF(HS)D causes more severe symptoms of adipose tissue IR than short-term feeding. 154 Accumulated evidence revealed that mitochondrial dysfunction could be one of the 155 pathogenic factors of IR and T2DM [27, 28]. Suppression of metabolic genes involved in 156 mitochondrial biogenesis and glucose/fatty acid metabolism including proliferator

| 157 | peroxisome-activated | receptor (PPAR) | coactivator-1α, | PGC-1a | (Ppargc1a), | the PPARs |
|-----|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-------------|-----------|
|-----|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-------------|-----------|

158 members (Ppara, Ppard, and Pparg), and 5'AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (Prkaa1,

159 Prkaa2, Prkab2) were observed in T2DM subjects [29-31]. In our study, both short- and

- 160 long-term exposure to HF(HS)D resulted in the down-regulation of these metabolic genes,
- 161 implying mitochondrial dysfunction in adipose tissue. Evidence from RNA-seq supported
- 162 the successful construction of IR and diabetes mice models in this study.

Figure S1



| 190 | Figure S1. Lipofuscin-like red autofluorescence is specific to adipose tissue macrophages. (A) |
|-----|--|
| 191 | Colocalization of GFP and macrophage marker F4/80 in the visceral fat of C57BL/6J-c2J-LysM-eGFP |
| 192 | mice. GFP excited by 960 nm was presented by green color, and Alexa Fluor 647 anti-mouse F4/80 |
| 193 | excited by 1200 nm was presented by red color. Scale bar: 50 µm. (B) Colocalization analysis for GFP |
| 194 | and Alexa 647. PCC = 0.58 ± 0.04 , M1 = 0.99, M2 = 1. (n = 3) (C) Flow cytometry assay for different |
| 195 | macrophage phenotypes identification. (D) Representative images of lipofuscin-like red |
| 196 | autofluorescence in 3T3-L1 cells and BMDMs (n = 6). Scale bar: 20 μ m. (E) Representative images |
| 197 | displaying colocalization of lysotracker green and lipofuscin-like red autofluorescence in BMDMs. |
| 198 | Scale bar: 10 µm. (F) Colocalization analysis for lysotracker green and lipofuscin-like red |
| 199 | autofluorescence. PCC = 0.53 ± 0.048 , MCC-M1 = 0.93 ± 0.038 , MCC-M2 = 0.97 ± 0.011 . (G) The |
| 200 | fluorescence emission spectra of lipofuscin-like pigments in macrophages. Data are presented as Mean |
| 201 | \pm SD (n = 3 or 6). |
| 202 | |
| 203 | |
| 204 | |
| 205 | |
| 206 | |
| 207 | |
| 208 | |
| 209 | |
| 210 | |
| 211 | |
| 212 | |
| 213 | |
| 214 | |
| 215 | |
| 216 | |
| 217 | |
| 218 | |
| 219 | |
| 220 | |
| 221 | |
| 222 | |
| 223 | |
| 224 | |
| 225 | |



Figure S2. Establishment of Type II Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)/Pre-T2DM model in mice. (A) Weight gain in mice fed HFD or HFHSD for 16 weeks. (B) Fasting insulin levels in mice fed HFD or

| 230 | HFHSD for 16 weeks. (C) Random blood glucose levels in mice fed HFD or HFHSD for 16 weeks. (D- |
|------|---|
| 231 | E) The representative anatomy diagram of mice (D: 1 month of feeding; E: 4 months of feeding). (F) |
| 232 | The fluorescence emission spectra of 2-NBDG in adipose tissue. (G) Representative fluorescence |
| 233 | images of 2-NBDG glucose uptake in fresh epididymal fat (Top: 1 month of feeding; bottom: 4 months |
| 234 | of feeding). Scale bar: 100 µm. (H) Quantification of relative 2-NBDG fluorescence intensity in adipose |
| 235 | tissue (Left: 1 month of feeding; right: 4 months of feeding). Each group contains 25-30 data points |
| 236 | from 3 biological replicas. Each data point is the average of 10 cells in one field of view. (I-J) Heatmaps |
| 237 | of expression profiles of insulin receptor genes, adipokine genes, and metabolic-related genes in adipose |
| 238 | tissues. Data are expressed as Mean \pm SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001, the HFD |
| 239 | group vs. the control group; ${}^{\#}P < 0.05$, ${}^{\#\#}P < 0.01$, and ${}^{\#\#\#}P < 0.001$, the HFHSD group vs. the control |
| 240 | group. |
| 241 | |
| 0.40 | |
| 242 | |
| 242 | |
| 243 | |
| 244 | |
| 244 | |
| 245 | |
| 210 | |
| 246 | |
| | |
| 247 | |
| | |
| 248 | |
| | |
| 249 | |
| | |
| 250 | |
| | |
| 251 | |
| | |
| 252 | |
| | |
| 253 | |



- 256 Figure S3. Identification of metabolic and inflammatory phenotypes of prediabetic adipose tissue. 257 (A) Expression profile of glycolysis-related genes in adipose tissues of normal and prediabetic mice 258 (HFD or HFHSD fed for 1 month). (B) (left panel) Seahorse analysis of oxygen consumption rate (OCR) 259 of normal and prediabetic adipose tissues. (right panel) Comparative analysis of basal respiration, ATP 260 production, and maximum respiration capacity in adipose tissues of healthy and prediabetic mice. (C) 261 (left panel) Seahorse analysis of extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) of normal and prediabetic 262 adipose tissues. (right panel) Comparative analysis of glycolysis, glycolytic capacity, and glycolytic 263 reserve in adipose tissues of normal and prediabetic mice. (D) (upper panel) Hematoxylin and Eosin 264 (H&E) staining and immunostaining of F4/80, CD68, CD80, iNOS and CD206 in epididymal fat from 265 normal and prediabetic mice. (lower panel) Quantification of relative expression of F4/80, CD68, CD80, 266 iNOS, and CD206 in adipose tissue paraffin sections. Data are expressed as Mean \pm SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 relative to the control group. 267
- 268











Figure S5. Histopathological examination of adipose tissues from mice fed for 4 months. (A)
Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) staining of epididymal fat in control mice and diabetic mice (HFD and

HHFSD groups). The red arrow marks the crown-like structures (CLSs). The bar chart shows the number of CLSs. Immunostaining of (B) F4/80, (C) CD68, (D) CD80, (E) iNOS, and (F) CD206 in paraffin sections of adipose tissues showing CLSs. Relative expression of F4/80, CD68, CD80, iNOS, and CD206 in adipose tissue sections was quantified using Image Pro Plus. Scale bar: 250 μ m for large images and 50 μ m for inset images in (B) and (C), 50 μ m in (D), (E), and (F). Data are presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 3). **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, and ****P* < 0.001 versus the control group.

294 Figure S6



NAD(P)H Ex. @ 740 nm / FAD Ex. @ 890 nm



в







- 296 Figure S6. TPFM and FLIM metabolic imaging of BMDMs. (A) Representative images of NAD(P)H 297 and FAD fluorescence intensity in different phenotypes of BMDMs, including M0, M1, and M2. Scale 298 bar: 20 µm. (B) Quantification of redox ratio of M0, M1, and M2 macrophages. (C) Representative 299 pseudo-color-coded FLIM a₁ and t₂ images of NAD(P)H of M0, M1, and M2 macrophages. Scale bar: 300 20 μ m. (D) The a₁-t₂ scatter plot manifests a good separation of M1 from M0 (original classification 301 accuracy: 83.9% cross-validation: 83.9%), and M1 from M2 (original classification accuracy: 87.8% 302 cross-validation: 87.8%). Quantification of free NAD(P)H fraction a₁ (E) and long lifetime component 303 t₂ (F) in BMDMs. Each group in (B, D, E, F) contains 25-30 data points from 6 biological replicas. Each 304 data point is the average of 10 cells in one field of view. Data in (B, D, E, F) are presented as Mean \pm 305 SD. *** P < 0.001 compared with the control group.



307

Figure S7. Identification of metabolic profile of diabetic adipose tissue. (A) Heatmap of glycolysisrelated genes' expression in adipose tissues of the control and diabetic mice (HFD or HFHSD fed for 4 months). (B) (left panel) Oxygen consumption rate (OCR) profile plots of epididymal fat from the control and diabetic mice. (right panel) Quantification of basal respiration, ATP production, and maximum respiration capacity in epididymal fat of the control and diabetic mice. (C) (left panel) Extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) profile plots of adipose tissue from the control and diabetic mice. (right panel) Quantification of glycolysis, glycolytic capacity, and glycolytic reserve in adipose

- 315 tissues of the control and diabetic mice. Data are shown as Mean \pm SD (n = 3). ***P* < 0.01 relative to the
- 316 control group.
- 317

318 **Figure S8**







Figure S8. Diet changes improving prediabetes symptoms in mice. (A) Timeline showing mice fed HFD or HFHSD for 2 months, followed by normal chow for 1 month. Mice's blood glucose levels were monitored by using an insulin tolerance test (ITT) (B) and an intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test

323 (IPGTT) (C) (n = 8). (D) HE staining of adipose tissue (n = 3). (E) Quantification of adipocyte size.

324 Data are represented as Mean \pm SD (n = 3 or 8).





Figure S9. TPFM and FLIM metabolic imaging of epididymal fat in prediabetic mice. (A) Representative images of NAD(P)H, FAD, and lipofuscin-like fluorescence intensity in epididymal fat from mice fed HFD or HFHSD for 2 months. Scale bar: 50 μ m. The redox ratios of adipocytes (B) and macrophages (C) were quantified. Data were presented as Mean \pm SD (n = 8). ****P* < 0.001 versus the control group. (D) False-color-coded FLIM a₁ and t₂ images of NAD(P)H in adipose tissues. Scale bar: 50 μ m. The a₁-t₂ scatter plots of (E) adipocytes and (F) macrophages. (G, H) ROC curves and AUC

values for optical readouts (redox ratio, a₁, t₁, t₂, and t₂-a₁-redox ratio-integrated parameter) of
adipocytes, showing their ability to distinguish between (G) control and HFD groups or between (H)
control and HFHSD groups. Other parameters of ROC and AUC analysis were shown in **Table S6**.
Each group in (B, C, E, and F) contains 25-30 data points from 8 biological replicas. Each data point is
the average of 10 cells in one field of view.

339 Figure S10



Figure S10. NAD(P)+/NAD(P)H content measurement. (A-B) Relative NAD(P)+/NAD(P)H content
in adipose tissue. Adipose tissue from mice fed for 1 month (A) and 4 months (B) respectively. Data
are represented as Mean ± SD (n = 3).

344

340



NAD(P)H Ex. @ 740 nm / FAD Ex. @ 890 nm / Red FL Ex. @ 1040 nm





Α

Figure S11. No changes in the redox ratio and NAD(P)H lifetime of adipocytes were observed in mice fed HFD or HFHSD for 2 weeks. (A) Representative images of NAD(P)H, FAD, and lipofuscin fluorescence intensity. Scale bar: 50 μ m. (B) Quantification of redox ratio in adipocytes. (C) Quantification of redox ratio in macrophages. (D) FLIM images of adipose tissues. Scale bar: 50 μ m. (E) The a₁-t₂ scatter plot. Data are represented as mean \pm SD (n = 8). Each group in (B, C, E) contains 25-30 data points from 8 biological replicas. Each data point is the average of 10 cells in one field of view.

| - | | ROC curve | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|--------|--------|--|
| Group | Optical index | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC | Youden | Cutoff | |
| | | | | | muex | | |
| | t ₁ | 60.61% | 50% | 0.52 | 0.11 | 477 | |
| Control | t ₂ | 93.94% | 68.42% | 0.82 | 0.62 | 2802 | |
| VS | a ₁ | 93.94% | 92.11% | 0.87 | 0.86 | 60.05 | |
| HFD | Redox ratio | 90.91% | 71.05% | 0.8 | 0.62 | 0.08 | |
| | Predicted | 96 97% | 100% | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.58 | |
| | probability | 90.9770 | 10070 | 0.90 | 0.97 | 0.58 | |
| | t ₁ | 35.14% | 76.32% | 0.5 | 0.11 | 507.6 | |
| Control | t ₂ | 75.68% | 84.21% | 0.81 | 0.60 | 2729 | |
| VS | a ₁ | 78.38% | 92.11% | 0.83 | 0.70 | 60 | |
| HFHSD | Redox ratio | 97.30% | 68.42% | 0.83 | 0.66 | 0.08 | |
| | Predicted probability | 89.19% | 100% | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.77 | |

Table S1. ROC analysis for adipocytes (1 month of feeding)

Table S2. ROC analysis for macrophages (4 months of feeding)

| | | ROC curve | | | | | | |
|---------|--|------------------|-------------|------|-----------------|--------|--|--|
| Group | Optical index | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC | Youden index | Cutoff | | |
| | t ₁ | 88% | 92.59% | 0.87 | 0.81 | 451.1 | | |
| | t ₂ 96% | 96% | 92.59% | 0.87 | 0.89 | 2345 | | |
| Control | a ₁ | 56% | 81.48% | 0.68 | 0.37 | 75.4 | | |
| VS | Redox ratio 88% Lipofuscin 84% intensity | 88% | 62.96% | 0.77 | 0.51 | 0.44 | | |
| HFD | | 81.48% | 0.82 | 0.65 | 1.2 | | | |
| | Predicted probability | 100% | 96.30% | 0.86 | 0.96 | 0.44 | | |

| | t ₁ | 85.71% | 100% | 0.86 | 0.86 | 475 |
|---------|----------------|---------|---------|------|------|-------|
| | t ₂ | 91.43% | 96.30% | 0.87 | 0.88 | 2374 |
| Control | a ₁ | 57.14% | 81.48% | 0.71 | 0.39 | 75.39 |
| VS | Redox ratio | 77.14% | 70.37% | 0.76 | 0.48 | 0.43 |
| HFHSD | Lipofuscin | 600/ | 00 000/ | 0.74 | 0.40 | 1 27 |
| | intensity | 00% | 00.0970 | 0.74 | 0.49 | 1.57 |
| | Predicted | 01 20% | 100% | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.63 |
| | probability | 77.2970 | 10070 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.05 |
| | - | | | | | |

Table S3. ROC analysis for adipocytes (4 months of feeding)

| | | ROC curve | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|------|-----------------|--------|--|
| Group | Optical index | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC | Youden index | Cutoff | |
| | t ₁ | 96.67% | 20% | 0.55 | 0.17 | 609.9 | |
| Control | t ₂ | 96.67% | 86.67% | 0.86 | 0.83 | 2778 | |
| vs | a ₁ | 100% | 56.67% | 0.79 | 0.57 | 60.01 | |
| HFD | Redox ratio | 76.67% | 83.33% | 0.81 | 0.6 | 0.06 | |
| | Predicted probability | 100% | 96.67% | 0.88 | 0.97 | 0.47 | |
| | t ₁ | 50% | 76.67% | 0.65 | 0.27 | 488.8 | |
| Control | t ₂ | 86.67% | 83.33% | 0.84 | 0.7 | 2812 | |
| VS | a ₁ | 96.67% | 56.67% | 0.73 | 0.53 | 59.7 | |
| HFHSD | Redox ratio | 83.33% | 93.33% | 0.83 | 0.77 | 0.056 | |
| | Predicted probability | 100% | 90% | 0.87 | 0.9 | 0.30 | |

| 360 | Table S4. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of all optical readouts in control |
|-----|---|
| 361 | adipose tissues and the z-scores of all groups represented in the heatmaps |
| 362 | |
| 363 | Statistics of optical readouts in the control group |

| Optical readouts | Mean | SD |
|---|-------|-------|
| OR1: Adipocyte_redox_ratio | 0.090 | 0.022 |
| OR2: Adipocyte_NAD(P)H_a ₁ (%) | 55.95 | 3.94 |
| OR3: Adipocyte_NAD(P)H_t ₁ (ps) | 470 | 43 |
| OR4: Adipocyte_NAD(P)H_t ₂ (ps) | 2827 | 120 |
| OR5: Macrophage_redox_ratio | 0.429 | 0.071 |
| OR6: Macrophage_NAD(P)H_a ₁ (%) | 71.51 | 3.95 |
| OR7: Macrophage_NAD(P)H_t ₁ (ps) | 470 | 60 |
| OR8: Macrophage_NAD(P)H_t ₂ (ps) | 2510 | 151 |
| OR9: Macrophage_lipofuscin_FL (Counts/cell) | 10386 | 3864 |

Z-scores of all groups

| | Control | | Prediabetes | | Dia | betes |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Optical readouts | HFD | HFHSD | HFD | HFHSD | HFD | HFHSD |
| Adipocyte_redox_ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.16 | -1.48 | -1.71 | -1.89 |
| Adipocyte_NAD(P)H_a ₁ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.73 | 2.14 | 2.90 | 2.27 |
| Adipocyte_NAD(P)H_t1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.03 | -0.03 | -0.65 |
| Adipocyte_NAD(P)H_t ₂ | 0.00 | 0.00 | -1.62 | -1.35 | -1.75 | -1.62 |
| Macrophage_redox_ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.05 | -0.04 | -1.21 | -1.11 |
| Macrophage_NAD(P)H_a ₁ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | -0.04 | 0.95 | 1.26 |
| Macrophage_NAD(P)H_t1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.20 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.56 |
| Macrophage_NAD(P)H_t ₂ | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.52 | -0.13 | 2.51 | 2.73 |
| Macrophage_lipofuscin_FL | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 2.83 | 1.94 |

| | | ROC curve | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|-----------------|--------|--|
| | Group | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC | Youden index | Cutoff | |
| HFD- induced model HFHSD- induced model | Prediabetes | | 93.94% | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.31 | |
| | vs Diabetes | 100% | | | | | |
| | Control vs Prediabetes | 96.97% | 85.71% | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.40 | |
| | Control vs Diabetes | 100% | 97.14% | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.31 | |
| | Prediabetes vs Diabetes | 83.33% | 100% | 0.87 | 1 | 0.71 | |
| | Control vs Prediabetes | 37.84% | 97.14% | 0.85 | 0.97 | 0.84 | |
| | Control vs Diabetes | 73.33% | 100% | 0.88 | 1 | 0.98 | |

Table S5. ROC analysis of PCA differentiation accuracy

372

Table S6. ROC analysis on optical readouts of adipocytes for differentiating control
 from HFD and HFHSD-fed mice (feeding for 2 months)

| | | ROC curve | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|-----------------|--------|--|--|
| Group | Optical index | Sensitivity | Specificity | AUC | Youden index | Cutoff | | |
| Control vs HFD | t ₁ | 68.97% | 50% | 0.55 | 0.19 | 559.6 | | |
| | t ₂ | 82.76% | 92.86% | 0.84 | 0.76 | 2782 | | |
| | a ₁ | 93.10% | 60.71% | 0.74 | 0.54 | 53.61 | | |
| | Redox ratio | 93.10% | 89.29% | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.057 | | |
| | Predicted probability | 96.55% | 92.86% | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.4 | | |
| | t ₁ | 40% | 82.14% | 0.55 | 0.22 | 471.3 | | |
| Control | t ₂ | 70% | 92.86% | 0.78 | 0.63 | 2781 | | |
| vs HFHSD | a ₁ | 86.67% | 67.86% | 0.76 | 0.55 | 55.36 | | |
| | Redox ratio | 83.33% | 89.29% | 0.82 | 0.73 | 0.057 | | |
| | Predicted probability | 93.33% | 89.29% | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.43 | | |

377 Supplementary methods

378 Procedures for quantifying cellular redox ratio and NAD(P)H lifetime



Calculation of macrophage redox ratio

379

1) Locate macrophages based on lipofuscin-like red autofluorescence, and use thefreehand selections tool in Image J to circle the cells with red fluorescence.

382 2) Apply these ROIs to NAD(P)H and FAD fluorescence images, respectively, and
383 measure the NAD(P)H and FAD intensities of each ROI.

384 3) Calculate the redox ratio of each cell based on the formula of $I_{FAD} / [I_{NAD(P)H} + I_{FAD}]$, 385 and analyze at least 30 cells' redox ratio.

Calculation of adipocyte redox ratio



387

Adipocyte cytoplasm can be visualized in both fluorescence channels, whereas lipids have little detectable signal in the FAD channel [32]. So, the lipids area and macrophage region was excluded when calculating the redox ratio. The steps to analyze the redox ratio

- 391 of adipocytes are as follows:
- Circle the adipocyte cytoplasm on the NAD(P)H fluorescence image using the freehand
 selections tool in Image J.
- 394 2) Apply these ROIs to the FAD fluorescence image and measure the NAD(P)H and FAD
 395 intensities of each ROI.
- 396 3) Calculate the redox ratio of each cell based on the formula of $I_{FAD} / [I_{NAD(P)H} + I_{FAD}]$, 397 and analyze at least 30 cells' redox ratio.
- 398

Analysis of macrophage NAD(P)H lifetime



400 1) Use an IRF convoluted two-component model $f(t) = IRF \otimes (a_1 e^{-t/t1} + a_2 e^{-t/t2})$ to fit 401 the decay traces.

- 402 2) Locate macrophages based on lipofuscin fluorescence image.
- 403 3) Circle macrophages on the NAD(P)H fluorescence lifetime image using the "Define
 404 mask" tool in SPCimage software.
- 405 4) Export the optical metrics, including a_1 , t_1 , a_2 , t_2 , and t_m , and analyze at least 30 cells.
- 406
- 407
- 408



Analysis of adipocyte NAD(P)H lifetime

- 409
- 410 1) Use an IRF convoluted two-component model $f(t) = IRF \otimes (a_1 e^{-t/t1} + a_2 e^{-t/t2})$ to fit 411 the decay traces.
- 412 2) Circle the adipocyte cytoplasm on the NAD(P)H fluorescence lifetime image using the
- 413 "Define mask" tool in SPCimage software.
- 414 3) Export the optical metrics, including a₁, t₁, a₂, t₂, and t_m, and collect at least 30 cells'
- 415 NAD(P)H lifetime.
- 416

417 **Procedures for measuring adipocyte size**



- 418
- 419 1-3) Make sure that the pixel resolution of the image agrees with the scale bar.
- 420 4) Manually circle the adipocyte using the freehand selections tool in Image J.
- 421 5) Measure the ROI and obtain the area of adipocytes.

422 Forest plot of Odds Ratios: Screening the association between optical matrics and

423 diabetes

HFD-induced prediabetes model (1-month feeding)



424

425 Forest plot showing the association between adipocytes' optical metrics and prediabetes (HFD-induced

426 model, 1 month of feeding).

427

HFHSD-induced prediabetes model (1-month feeding)



428

- 429 Forest plot showing the association between adipocytes' optical metrics and prediabetes (HFHSD-
- 430 induced model, 1 month of feeding).

HFD-induced prediabetes model (2-month feeding)



433 Forest plot showing the association between adipocytes' optical metrics and prediabetes (HFD-induced

434 model, 2 months of feeding).

435

HFHSD-induced prediabetes model (2-month feeding)



436

437 Forest plot showing the association between adipocytes' optical metrics and prediabetes (HFHSD-

438 induced model, 2 months of feeding).





441 Forest plot showing the association between adipocytes' optical metrics and diabetes (HFD-induced

442 model, 4 months of feeding).

443

HFHSD-induced diabetes model (4-month feeding)



444

445 Forest plot showing the association between adipocytes' optical metrics and diabetes (HFHSD-

446 induced model, 4 months of feeding).

HFD-induced diabetes model (4-month feeding)



448

449 Forest plot showing the association between macrophages' optical metrics and diabetes (HFD-induced

450 model, 4 months of feeding).

451

HFHSD-induced diabetes model (4-month feeding)



452

453 Forest plot showing the association between macrophages' optical metrics and diabetes (HFHSD-

454 induced model, 4 months of feeding).

455

456 **References**

457 1. Coats BR, Schoenfelt KQ, Barbosa-Lorenzi VC, Peris E, Cui C, Hoffman A, et al.
458 Metabolically activated adipose tissue macrophages perform detrimental and beneficial functions during
459 diet-induced obesity. Cell Rep. 2017; 20: 3149-61.

2. Zhang P, Li T, Wu X, Nice EC, Huang C, Zhang Y. Oxidative stress and diabetes: antioxidative
strategies. Front Med. 2020; 14: 583-600.

462 3. Khan MSH, Hegde V. Obesity and diabetes mediated chronic inflammation: a potential 463 biomarker in alzheimer's disease. J Pers Med. 2020; 10. 464 4. Bournat JC, Brown CW. Mitochondrial dysfunction in obesity. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes 465 Obes. 2010; 17: 446-52. 466 Catrysse L, van Loo G. Adipose tissue macrophages and their polarization in health and obesity. 5. 467 Cell Immunol. 2018; 330: 114-9. 468 6. Barbier-Torres L, Fortner KA, Iruzubieta P, Delgado TC, Giddings E, Chen Y, et al. Silencing 469 hepatic MCJ attenuates non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) by increasing mitochondrial fatty 470 acid oxidation. Nat Commun. 2020; 11: 3360. 471 7. Bapat SP, Whitty C, Mowery CT, Liang Y, Yoo A, Jiang Z, et al. Obesity alters pathology and 472 treatment response in inflammatory disease. Nature. 2022; 604: 337-42. 473 8. Huang J, Jia Y, Fu T, Viswakarma N, Bai L, Rao MS, et al. Sustained activation of PPARα by 474 endogenous ligands increases hepatic fatty acid oxidation and prevents obesity in ob/ob mice. FASEB 475 J. 2012; 26: 628-38. 476 9. Hua Q, Han Y, Zhao H, Zhang H, Yan B, Pei S, et al. Punicalagin alleviates renal injury via 477 the gut-kidney axis in high-fat diet-induced diabetic mice. Food Funct. 2022; 13: 867-79. 478 10. Bao J, Liang Z, Gong X, Yu J, Xiao Y, Liu W, et al. High fat diet mediates amyloid-β cleaving 479 enzyme 1 phosphorylation and SUMOylation, enhancing cognitive impairment in APP/PS1 Mice. J 480 Alzheimers Dis. 2022; 85: 863-76. 481 Brahma MK, Ha CM, Pepin ME, Mia S, Sun Z, Chatham JC, et al. Increased glucose 11. 482 availability attenuates myocardial ketone body utilization. J Am Heart Assoc. 2020; 9: e013039. 483 12. Mayer AE, Löffler MC, Loza Valdés AE, Schmitz W, El-Merahbi R, Viera JT, et al. The kinase 484 PKD3 provides negative feedback on cholesterol and triglyceride synthesis by suppressing insulin 485 signaling. Sci Signal. 2019; 12. 486 13. Fraulob JC, Ogg-Diamantino R, Fernandes-Santos C, Aguila MB, Mandarim-de-Lacerda CA. 487 A mouse model of metabolic syndrome: insulin resistance, fatty liver and non-alcoholic fatty pancreas 488 disease (NAFPD) in C57BL/6 mice fed a high fat diet. J Clin Biochem Nutr. 2010; 46: 212-23. 489 14. Avtanski D, Pavlov VA, Tracey KJ, Poretsky L. Characterization of inflammation and insulin 490 resistance in high-fat diet-induced male C57BL/6J mouse model of obesity. Animal Model Exp Med. 491 2019; 2: 252-8. 492 15. Selvin E, Rawlings AM, Bergenstal RM, Coresh J, Brancati FL. No racial differences in the 493 association of glycated hemoglobin with kidney disease and cardiovascular outcomes. Diabetes Care. 494 2013; 36: 2995-3001. 495 16. Selvin E. Are there clinical implications of racial differences in HbA1c? A difference, to be a 496 difference, must make a difference. Diabetes Care. 2016; 39: 1462-7.

- 497 17. Surwit RS, Kuhn CM, Cochrane C, McCubbin JA, Feinglos MN. Diet-induced type 2 diabetes
 498 in C57BL/6J mice. Diabetes. 1988; 37: 1163-7.
- Tabák AG, Jokela M, Akbaraly TN, Brunner EJ, Kivimäki M, Witte DR. Trajectories of
 glycaemia, insulin sensitivity, and insulin secretion before diagnosis of type 2 diabetes: an analysis from
 the Whitehall II study. Lancet. 2009; 373: 2215-21.
- Hulman A, Simmons RK, Brunner EJ, Witte DR, Færch K, Vistisen D, et al. Trajectories of
 glycaemia, insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion in South Asian and white individuals before
 diagnosis of type 2 diabetes: a longitudinal analysis from the Whitehall II cohort study. Diabetologia.
 2017; 60: 1252-60.
- 506 20. Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, Brunner EJ, Kivimäki M. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for
 507 diabetes development. Lancet. 2012; 379: 2279-90.
- 508 21. Kim M, Song K, Kim YS. Alantolactone improves prolonged exposure of interleukin-6509 induced skeletal muscle inflammation associated glucose intolerance and insulin resistance. Front
 510 Pharmacol. 2017; 8: 405.
- 511 22. Zou C, Wang Y, Shen Z. 2-NBDG as a fluorescent indicator for direct glucose uptake
 512 measurement. J Biochem Biophys Methods. 2005; 64: 207-15.
- Asakawa H, Tokunaga K, Kawakami F. Relationship of leptin level with metabolic disorders
 and hypertension in Japanese type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. J Diabetes Complications. 2001; 15: 5762.
- 516 24. Uslu S, Kebapçi N, Kara M, Bal C. Relationship between adipocytokines and cardiovascular
 517 risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Exp Ther Med. 2012; 4: 113-20.
- 518 25. Abdella NA, Mojiminiyi OA, Moussa MA, Zaki M, Al Mohammedi H, Al Ozairi ES, et al.
- Plasma leptin concentration in patients with Type 2 diabetes: relationship to cardiovascular disease risk
 factors and insulin resistance. Diabet Med. 2005; 22: 278-85.
- 521 26. López-Jaramillo P, Gómez-Arbeláez D, López-López J, López-López C, Martínez-Ortega J,
 522 Gómez-Rodríguez A, et al. The role of leptin/adiponectin ratio in metabolic syndrome and diabetes.
 523 Horm Mol Biol Clin Investig. 2014; 18: 37-45.
- 524 27. Petersen KF, Befroy D, Dufour S, Dziura J, Ariyan C, Rothman DL, et al. Mitochondrial
 525 dysfunction in the elderly: possible role in insulin resistance. Science. 2003; 300: 1140-2.
- 526 28. Kelley DE, He J, Menshikova EV, Ritov VB. Dysfunction of mitochondria in human skeletal
 527 muscle in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2002; 51: 2944-50.
- 528 29. Coughlan KA, Valentine RJ, Ruderman NB, Saha AK. AMPK activation: a therapeutic target
 529 for type 2 diabetes? Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2014; 7: 241-53.
- 530 30. Hu Y, Chen Y, Ding L, He X, Takahashi Y, Gao Y, et al. Pathogenic role of diabetes-induced
 531 PPAR-α down-regulation in microvascular dysfunction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110: 15401-
- 532 6.

- 533 31. Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, Subramanian A, Sihag S, Lehar J, et al. PGC-1α-
- 534 responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human
- 535 diabetes. Nat Genet. 2003; 34: 267-73.
- 536 32. Alonzo CA, Karaliota S, Pouli D, Liu Z, Karalis KP, Georgakoudi I. Two-photon excited
- 537 fluorescence of intrinsic fluorophores enables label-free assessment of adipose tissue function. Sci Rep.
- 538 2016; 6: 31012.