1	A hierarchically acidity-unlocking nanoSTING stimulant
2	enables cascaded STING activation for potent innate and
3	adaptive antitumor immunity
4	Shunyao Zhu ^{1,+} , Tao He ^{1,+} , Yan Wang ¹ , Yushan Ma ^{2,3} , Wenmei Li ⁴ , Songlin Gong ¹ ,
5	Yanghui Zhu ¹ , Xiangwei Wang ¹ , Xu Xu ⁵ , Qinjie Wu ¹ , Changyang Gong ^{1,*} , Yanjie
6	You ^{2,3,*}
7	¹ Department of Biotherapy, Cancer Center and State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy,
8	West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041, China
9	² Department of Gastroenterology, the Third Clinical Medical College of Ningxia
10	Medical University, Yinchuan, 750002, China
11	³ Department of Gastroenterology, People's Hospital of Ningxia Hui Autonomous
12	Region, Yinchuan, 750002, China
13	⁴ Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, People's Hospital of Ningxia Hui
14	Autonomous Region, Ningxia Medical University, Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region,
15	Yinchuan, 750002, China
16	⁵ School of Pharmacy, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, China
17	* To whom correspondence should be addressed (C Gong and Y You), E-mail:
18	chygong14@163.com and youyanjie@163.com.
19	⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work.

A	Antibody		Clone	Fluorophore	Source	
C	CD3		17A2	PE-cy7	Biolegend	
C	CD3		17A2	APC/Cyanine5	Biolegend	
C	CD4		GK1.5	PE	Biolegend	
C	CD45		QA17A26	APC	Biolegend	
C	CD8a		53-6.7	FITC	Biolegend	
C	CD8a		53-6.7	PE/Cyanine5	Biolegend	
C	CD80		16-10A1	APC	Biolegend	
C	CD86		GL-1	PE-cy7	Biolegend	
C	CD69		H1.2F3	PE	Biolegend	
C	CD25		3C7	FITC	Biolegend	
C	CD11b		M1/70	FITC	Biolegend	
N	NK1.1		S17016D	PE	Biolegend	
C	CD44		IM7	FITC	Biolegend	
C	CD62L		MEL-14	APC	Biolegend	
F	Foxp3		FJK-16s	APC	eBioscience	
C	CD16/32	(Fc	93		Discoirman	
В	Block)				ebioscience	
γ	H2AX		S139		Abcam	
1	dsDNA		J2		Cell	Signaling
d					Technology	
G	STING		Ser365		Cell	Signaling
S					Technology	
	p-STING		Ser366		Cell	Signaling
р					Technology	
T			D83B9		Cell	Signaling
1	KF3				Technology	
р	o-IRF3		SER396		Cell	Signaling

20 Table S1 List of antibodies applied to flow cytometric analysis.

			Technology	
	D1B4		Cell	Signaling
IBKI			Technology	
TDV1	Ser172		Cell	Signaling
p-IBKI			Technology	
	D4C6R		Cell	Signaling
GAPDH			Technology	

		Polymer	Zata notantial	Dovominioin
	Size (nm)	dispersity index	(mV)	
		(PDI)		(%)
0 Day	152.4 ± 1.6	0.218 ± 0.023	-10.42 ± 1.07	100.0 ± 0.3
7 Day	154.7 ± 2.9	0.168 ± 0.019	$\textbf{-4.51} \pm 0.16$	99.7 ± 0.9
14 Day	154.1 ± 3.0	0.144 ± 0.018	$\textbf{-6.49} \pm 0.43$	98.6 ± 1.3
21 Day	154.7 ± 0.4	0.167 ± 0.009	-5.17 ± 1.24	99.2 ± 0.8
28 Day	155.3 ± 1.0	0.161 ± 0.008	$\textbf{-4.16} \pm 0.76$	99.4 ± 1.0

22 Table S2 The long-term stability of AUG at 4 °	$^{\circ}C(n=3).$
---	-------------------





Figure S1. The synthesis routes of C7A-NH₂ (compound 2).





27 Figure S2. ¹H NMR spectrums of compound 1.



29 Figure S3. The synthesis routes of CHOL-COOR.





31 Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectrums of CHOL-COOR



33 Figure S5. The synthesis routes of CHOL-C7A.



35 Figure S6. ¹H NMR spectrums of compound CHOL-C7A.



37 Figure S7. MS spectra of CHOL-C7A.



39 Figure S8. Size distribution of C7A-Lipo at pH 7.4.



41 Figure S9. The standard curve was prepared by CHOL-C7A.



Figure S10. Representative gel image and quantitative statistics of (A) STING and pSTING; (B) TBK1 and p-TBK1. (C) IRF3 and p-IRF3 proteins expression in B16-F10

45 cells within different treatments.



47 Figure S11. Quantification of ATP released from B16-F10 cell induced by DOX (n =

- 48 3). (I) PBS; (II) Free DOX; (III) DOX-Lipo; (IV) C7A-Lipo and (V) AUG. Data are
- 49 means \pm SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 determined by Student's t-test.



50

51 Figure S12. Representative fluorescence images depicting the infiltration and

52 subsequent elimination of the therapeutic agent AUG within tumor tissues, with AUG

53 being fluorescently labeled with DiD for enhanced visualization. Scale bar: 500 μm.



55 Figure S13. Changes of body weight during the treatment (n = 3). (I) PBS; (II) Free

56 DOX; (III) DOX-Lipo; (IV) C7A-Lipo and (V) AUG.



Figure S14: The antitumor effect of AUG in MC38 tumor. (A) Tumor growth curves for each mouse. (B) Total tumor growth curves of mice in different groups posttreatment. (C and D) Photographs of dissected tumors (C) and average tumor weights (D) at the end of the treatment. Ns = non-significant, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P <0.001.



Figure S15: The gating strategy for the tumor microenvironment. (A) CD4⁺ and CD8⁺
T cells in tumor microenvironment. (B) CD8⁺CD69⁺ cells in CD3⁺ T cells. (C) The
proportion of Treg cells in tumor microenvironment. (D and E) Calculate the number
of mature DCs (D) and NK cells (E) in the tumor microenvironment. The percentages
indicated correspond to the relative frequency of cells within the respective parent gate,
providing a clear representation of the cellular composition.



70

Figure S16. The gating strategy for manual analysis to sequentially identify the proportion of $CD4^+/CD8^+$ (A) and $CD62L^+$ (B) expressed in the spleen. Note: the percentages shown represent the frequency of cells relative to the parent gate.



75 Figure S17. H&E staining of tissues including heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney from

76 C57BL/6 mice after various formulation treatment. The scale bar is 100 μ m.



Figure S18. Serum chemistry levels in mice, including ALB, AST, UREA, ALP, TP and

- 79 CKMB. (I) PBS; (II) Free DOX; (III) DOX-Lipo; (IV) C7A-Lipo and (V) AUG (n = 4).
- 80 Data are means \pm SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 determined by Student's t-
- 81 test.



82

83 Figure S19. Blood routine levels in mice after treatment, including WBC, RBC, HGB

and PLT. (I) PBS; (II) Free DOX; (III) DOX-Lipo; (IV) C7A-Lipo and (V) AUG (n = (n + 1)

4). Data are means \pm SD. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001 determined by Student's

86 t-test.



87

88 Figure S20. The gating strategy for manual analysis to sequentially identify the

89 proportion of T_{CM} and T_{EM} cells in the spleen. Note: the percentages shown represent

90 the frequency of cells relative to the parent gate.